

Tim Shilvock



Member of the public



27/03/2013 08:30

I believe that 36 councillors is too few a number to properly represent such a large area. The district is already split into 2 sub-divisions for planning purposes and these are overloaded. The West Area Committee often sits in excess of 5 hours. Also, some wards are only represented by one councillor who sometimes has to cover 5 or 6 parishes. I think that 51 is the minimum number with the wards re-organised so that each ward has 2 councillors.