

Pascoe, Mark

From: Mayers, Mishka on behalf of reviews
Sent: 09 February 2016 09:20
To: Pascoe, Mark
Subject: FW: Draft Recommendations for Birmingham City Council
Attachments: 1454969606.6996698.pdf

From: Keith Hanson [REDACTED]
Sent: 08 February 2016 22:25
To: reviews <reviews@lgbce.org.uk>
Subject: Draft Recommendations for Birmingham City Council

Dear Sirs

We have collected a number of signatures to a petition in support of the Labour Party's latest proposals, recently submitted, and a number of comments have been made.

I attach these a pdf document.

Yours faithfully

Keith Hanson
Secretary
The Birmingham Labour Party
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Ward Boundaries

Petition to: The Boundary Commission

I support the Boundary Commissions's proposals with The Labour Party's amendments for revised boundaries to meet community concerns about the initial proposals for Balsall Heath, Hall Green and Moseley.

Total signatures: 79

Name	Comment
1. [Redacted]	
2. [Redacted]	If the Boundary Commission is intent on making changes to Hall Green Ward and will not allow the status quo to remain, then I support their proposals with The Labour Party's amendments for revised boundaries to meet community concerns about the initial proposals for Hall Green, Balsall Heath and Moseley.
3. [Redacted]	
4. [Redacted]	willing to sign
5. [Redacted]	
6. [Redacted]	
7. [Redacted]	
8. [Redacted]	
9. [Redacted]	The boundary commission proposals for Erdington make sense.I have been part of other changes to Erdington Const. and this one has worked most successfully
10. [Redacted]	
11. [Redacted]	
12. [Redacted]	
13. [Redacted]	
14. [Redacted]	
15. [Redacted]	
16. [Redacted]	Keep the Name Kings Norton in both North and South.
17. [Redacted]	I fully endorse these proposals. They reflect the communities that people recognise.
18. [Redacted]	
19. [Redacted]	[Redacted]
20. [Redacted]	Labour's proposals are based on sound community arguments.
21. [Redacted]	I support Labour's amendments as an improvement, but I think the boundaries are still manifestly worse, as they give some wards 2 members and others 1.
22. [Redacted]	
23. [Redacted]	
24. [Redacted]	I have attended discussions at local groups an feel that the boundaries following rivers, railways, and main roads plus Cityboundaries as that is my understanding of the objective whilst attempting to equalise numbers of people represented. I believe the Labour Party locally have made proposals to stick to these guidelines totally.
25. [Redacted]	
26. [Redacted]	

	Name	Comment
27.		
28.		
29.		I support the Boundary Commissions's proposals with The Labour Party's amendments for revised boundaries to meet community concerns about the initial proposals for Balsall Heath, Hall Green and Moseley.
30.		
31.		
32.		
33.		This looks far more sensible - the original Boundary Commission proposals for Moseley and Kings Heath look a bit of a dog's breakfast
34.		
35.		The Labour Party revised boundary makes sense- it would be madness not to include Moseley village centre in the Moseley Ward !
36.		Labour's proposals put right some startling errors in the Boundary commission's proposals, not least in Moseley, where the crucially important community there is held intact by Labour's proposals.
37.		
38.		I Fully support the Boundary commissions proposals with the changes suggested by the local labour party of including Windermere Road in Moseley Ward not Springfield Ward
39.		The boundary commissions changes are of great concern and appear to be an attempt to favour 1 party in the election I believe the labour party's ammendments make much more sense and are a lot fairer
40.		I do NOT support these suggestions,even though they are somewhat better. A significant part of Moseley is now in the Kings Heath ward, including part of the Moseley conservation area.
41.		
42.		I'm very pleased to see that I stay in a Hall Green ward rather then Tyseley. I have made my own submission to the Boundary Commission already.
43.		
44.		
45.		
46.		
47.		
48.		
49.		
50.		I believe this is a very good idea as the current system offers efficient enough support and we get a more expressive community within the areas that we have.
51.		This proposal makes far more sense for the residents of Kings Heath and Moseley
52.		
53.		
54.		
55.		This looks a very sensible alternative
56.		

	Name	Comment
57.	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
58.	[REDACTED]	Having Moseley as a two Member Ward with the boundaries proposed by the Labour Party on the latest (3rd) Map makes much more sense! The area around the southern stretch of Moor Green Lane and Elizabeth Road should definitely be included in Moseley , together with Highbury Park, and also Moseley Bog on the eastern side of Moseley. It would be sensible to include Edgbaston Cricket Ground in Edgbaston and this small boundary change would make no difference to 'numbers'.
59.	[REDACTED]	
60.	[REDACTED]	
61.	[REDACTED]	I am especially pleased with the Commission's proposals for the new 'Summerfield' Ward- which is where I live. Logical to have a Ward around Edgbaston Reservoir between Dudley Rd and Hagley Rd. And I like how you have kept the essential communities of the new 'Ladywood' ward together i.e. Central Ladywood estates, Core City Centre and Attwood Green. The A457 Dudley Rd. to the Queensway is a good boundary between Ladywood and the 'Winson Green' Ward- support naming this @The Jewellery Quarter and Soho' Ward.
62.	[REDACTED]	
63.	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
64.	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
65.	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
66.	[REDACTED]	
67.	[REDACTED]	
68.	[REDACTED]	I agree with earlier comments that The Labour Party revised boundary makes more sense!
69.	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
70.	[REDACTED]	I fully support the Labour Party's amendments.
71.	[REDACTED]	They should not destroy Moseley which has a real community for beurocratic purposes or political party news,
72.	[REDACTED]	
73.	[REDACTED]	Erdington does not need 3 full time councilors especially as they are invisible.
74.	[REDACTED]	I am happy with the proposals as I think they are drawn on community lines.
75.	[REDACTED]	I support the proposed boundary changes as I think they have done the best they can to keep communities together. Especially in the Chester Road area.
76.	[REDACTED]	
77.	[REDACTED]	
78.	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
79.	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]