

## Hinds, Alex

---

**From:** Fuller, Heather  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 18, 2015 04:08 PM  
**To:** Hinds, Alex  
**Subject:** FW: Comment on Elmbridge Borough Council Proposed Boundaries - Molesey East

**From:** Peter Szanto [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 18 August 2015  
**To:** reviews <reviews@lgbce.org.uk>  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Sub**

Dear Boundary Commission,

I am a serving Borough Councillor for East Molesey ward and wish to comment on your current proposals for boundary changes necessitated by the reduction from 60 to 48 councillors for Elmbridge.

In general, I support the proposal to divide the former three Molesey wards (South, East and North) into two (Molesey East and West) with a north/south boundary between the two.

One area where I and local residents believe the proposed boundary changes are illogical is the proposal to move roads on the north eastern boundary of the Molesey ward (Creek Road, Queens Reach, Oarsman Place, Cedar Road, Bridge Gardens and part of Bridge Road) from East Molesey Ward to Thames Ditton Ward.

To include these roads within the Thames Ditton ward seems inappropriate. Geographically, or socially there is no association with Thames Ditton and the Rivers Mole and Ember form a natural boundary between the two areas.

I understand the need to have roughly equal constituents in each of the new wards, but the residents of these roads are clearly part of the East Molesey community and are separated from Thames Ditton by a definitive natural boundary.

I ask you to include as many of the streets on the North Eastern part of the current East Molesey ward in the proposed East Molesey ward as possible to maintain their sense of community and address their concerns about being an 'appendix' to Thames Ditton.

Thank you and best wishes,

Regards,  
Peter Szanto