

Carlsson-Hyslop, Dan

From: Alan Rhead [REDACTED]
Sent: 05 February 2018 21:34
To: reviews
Cc: Peter Phillips; Andrew Thompson; Alex Hall; Angela Warner; Chris & Stephen Cross; Clare Sawdon; Jean Liggins; moira-ann grainger; stacey calder; [REDACTED]; Warwick Office
Subject: Warwick District Boundary Changes
Attachments: LGBCE Warwick & Leamington proposals 180205.pdf; Warwick and Leamington Conservative Association LGBCE figures.xlsx

Dear Sirs

On behalf of Warwick & Leamington Conservatives we attach our LGBCE review for your consideration.

Regards
Alan Rhead
[REDACTED]

Chairman
Warwick & Leamington Conservatives

Tel: + [REDACTED]
Fax [REDACTED]
Mobile: [REDACTED]

I raise money for Way Ahead Support Services
Please join with me at www.justgiving.com/wayahead-supportservices

Introduction

- Warwick District Council requested an early LGBCE review primarily due to concerns about administrative inconvenience of managing small town wards and due to electoral inequality of wards at the time, and the exacerbation of that over the future five years due to large amounts of growth caused by the development programme laid out in the new Local Plan, which was approved by Warwick District Council in September 2017. The growth in the Local Plan is uneven across the District.
- In 2017, there were 6 of 22 wards (27%) that had a variance from the average numbers of electors of +/- 10% or greater – Kenilworth Abbey, Leamington Brunswick, Leamington Manor, Stoneleigh and Cubbington, Warwick Woodloes, and Warwick Saltisford. One ward, Leamington Brunswick, had a variance of over 30%. 13 of the 46 Councillors (28%) were representing wards with a variance of 10% or greater.
- The paper submitted by WDC to the LGBCE to request an electoral review predicted that there would be 8 wards that would have a variance of 10% or greater in 2018 (36% of wards) – Kenilworth Abbey, Warwick Aylesford, Bishop’s Tachbrook, Leamington Brunswick, Leamington Clarendon, Warwick Emscote, Leamington Manor, and Warwick Saltisford. 16 of 46 Councillors (35%) were predicted to represent wards with a variance of 10% or greater.
- On the LGBCE website, there is access to the Excel spreadsheet which details the WDC calculations on predicted variance of the current wards, using the data from the new Local Plan and predicted growth in Warwick District, if the Council continued as it is with 46 Councillors. This shows that, with the number of large developments that are taking place to the south of Warwick, both Warwick Myton & Heathcote, and Bishop’s Tachbrook, would have a variance of over 30%, at 54% and 64% variance respectively. Including these two wards, it is predicted that 10 of 22 wards (45%) would have a variance of 10% or greater. 22 of 46 Councillors (48%) are predicted to represent wards of 10% variance or greater.
- It is noted that the LGBCE definition of electoral inequality includes when either
 - A) A single ward has a variance from the average number of electors of 30% or greater, or
 - B) When 30% or more of wards have a variance of 10% or greater.
- In 2017 there was one ward that had a variance of 30% or greater, the predictions for 2018 showed that 36% of wards and 35% of Councillors would have wards of 10% or greater variance, and the 2023 predictions showed that two wards would have variances of 30% or above with 45% of all wards and 48% of all Councillors representing wards of 10% or greater variance. Therefore, there were current and near future concerns about electoral inequality in Warwick District, thereby leading to the request for the LGBCE coming in to look at electoral changes.
- It is further noted that the current County Council divisions within Warwick District do not take into consideration the medium term predicted growth in the electorate in parts of the District, as detailed in the new Local Plan.
- The second main criterion for the LGBCE is about ensuring that wards make good community sense. The LGBCE did an excellent job last time they reviewed the Warwick District Council

boundaries, producing a set of wards that mapped communities really well, and felt natural and understandable in most cases.

- One further request that Warwick District Council made is that wherever possible there should be co-terminosity of the District Council wards with Town Council wards and County Council divisions would be desirable where possible, to help reduce some of the confusion that can be caused to the electorate, particularly when there are wards on different Council tiers with the same name.
- In terms of priority and due to the composition of the County Council divisions not taking full account of the forthcoming growth in the new Local Plan, the simultaneous attainment of electoral equality, geographic community cohesion and co-terminosity with the existing County Council divisions is largely unachievable. We therefore recommend that the priority for the review should be to achieve electoral equality, and wards that make community sense.
- There is unlikely to be complete co-terminosity, equality and geographic cohesion until County Council divisions, District Council wards, and Town Council wards, are all considered at the same time, using the same data. It is therefore a request that at the next review the LGBCE consider all three tiers together.
- The LGBCE made a recommendation to reduce the number of councillors on Warwick District Council by two, to 44 Councillors.
- Warwick and Leamington Conservatives accept the proposal agreed by the Licensing & Regulatory Committee of Warwick District Council, as detailed below, which produces a potential warding pattern for Warwick District Council that
 - Achieves a higher level of electoral equality,
 - Helps to keep the strong community warding patterns that the LGBCE produced in their last review, and
 - Keeps as much co-terminosity as possible, with no micro-Town Council wards formed because of District Council / County Council ward/division crosses.
- The below proposal is for 20 wards, with a mixture of 1, 2, and 3 Councillor wards, giving electoral equality, and recognising the individual communities within Warwick District.

Electoral Equality

- The accepted methodology from WDC/LGBCE means that there is an elector to Councillor ratio in 2023 of 2803 electors per Councillor.
- The Conservatives endorse the warding proposal agreed by the Licensing & Regulatory Committee of Warwick District Council, albeit with two amendments within the Leamington proposals, which would give the following wards and warding variances

<u>Proposed ward Name</u>	<u>No. Cllrs</u>	<u>2017 Electorate</u>	<u>2017 % from equality in</u>	<u>2023 Electorate</u>	<u>2023 % from equality</u>
Bishop's Tachbrook	2	2289	-54	5474	-2
Budbrooke	2	5710	14	6416	14
Cubbington & Leek Wootton	2	5301	6	6499	16
Kenilworth Park Hill	3	7980	7	9154	9
Kenilworth St. John's	3	7825	4	8160	-3
Lapworth & West Kenilworth	3	6986	-7	7961	-5
Leamington Brunswick	3	8217	10	8571	2
Leamington Clarendon Town Centre	1	2616	5	2972	6
Leamington Crown	2	5062	1	5062	-9.7
Leamington Manor	2	5077	2	5147	-8
Leamington Milverton	2	5577	12	5632	0
Leamington Newbold	2	5773	16	5850	4
Leamington Sydenham	1	2215	-11	3056	9
Leamington Willes	2	5822	17	6027	8
Radford Semele	1	2258	-10	2686	-4
Warwick Aylesford	2	5124	3	5186	-7
Warwick Myton & Heathcote	3	5562	-26	8115	-3
Warwick Saltisford	2	5433	9	5503	-2
Warwick Woodloes	3	7663	2	8200	-2
Whitnash	3	7365	-2	7664	-9

- Attached with this proposal is a more detailed breakdown of the proposed wards; however, on the whole, it mirrors the data and submission of the Licensing & Regulatory Committee of Warwick District Council.
- There are two proposed variations to the proposals from the WDC Licensing & Regulatory Committee, both of which help to improve the electoral equality of residents in Leamington, and strengthens the strong communities that have been highlighted.

- 1) Move properties on the north side of the Cubbington Road from the Licensing & Regulatory proposed Leamington Manor ward, to Leamington Crown ward.

This would be between the west side of South View Road, (along the line of the Parliamentary constituency boundary between Warwick & Leamington and Kenilworth & Southam) and Elm Road, (the current boundary between Leamington North and Leamington Milverton County divisions) and include both the west side of South View Road and the Holt into Leamington Crown ward;

These properties would stay within the Leamington North division, but this would improve the electoral equality, and still recognises the different communities in that area of Leamington.

- 2) The current polling district of WCL5A should be included in the Leamington Clarendon Town Centre ward, rather than the Leamington Brunswick ward; this improves the electoral equality of both the proposed wards so that they have a variance of below 10%, whilst also helps improve the community elements, with Avenue Road considering itself part of North Leamington, and Spencer Yard and Spencer Street part of the Town Centre, and part of the forthcoming District Council proposals for the Town Centre's Creative Quarter.

- The Community aspects of this proposal will be detailed more below.
- In terms of electoral equality, the above proposal would have 20 wards, with 18 of them having a variance below 10% in 2023; the wards of Budbrooke and Cubbington & Leek Wootton, would have variances of 10% or greater, at 14% and 16%. This means that 10% of the proposed wards have a variance of 10% or greater. Only 4 of the 44 Councillors (9%) would represent wards that had a variance of 10% or greater.

Noting that the LGBCE is minded to recommend a new Council size of 44 councillors, if instead there were to be a Council size of 45, and allocating the extra councillor between a revised Cubbington & Leek Wootton, and Budbrooke ward, then it would be possible for all wards and councillors to be within the 10% variance target of the LGBCE.

Community Interests and Identities

Warwick Woodloes ward (Woodloes & the Percy Estate areas)

- The proposed Warwick Woodloes ward covers all of the County Division of Warwick North, which is primarily made up of the well-defined and locally recognised communities of the Woodloes and Percy estates.
- The Woodloes estate itself has natural defined boundaries of the A46 to the north, the Grand Union Canal and Birmingham Road to the south. The boundary between it and Percy estate is the Coventry Road that provides a clear separation between two defined communities. The Woodloes estate centres around the Woodloes School, shops and pub.
- The Percy estate shares the boundary of the Grand Union Canal to the south but to the north and east shares the town boundary of the River Avon. There are strong community links within this area of All Saints and Emscote schools; the All Saints Church; and, the Gap community centre and local shopping facilities.
- Outside of these two main areas there are a small number of residential properties south of Birmingham Road at the western end of the ward but this area is clearly defined as its own special community with St Mary's Lands to the south and A46 to the west. There are also a small number of properties to the east of the ward south of Emscote Road that form their own small community.
- Regarding the Woodloes, the communities of Eastley Crescent, Warmington Grove, Lyster Close, Wilmhurst Road, and Goode Close are re-united with the rest of the Woodloes and Warwick North Community, which they are a part of, but were unfortunately separated from in the County Council Divisions. This area would form a new Town ward of a sensible working size.

The Woodloes estate, the Percy Estate and the All Saints parish areas around the Emscote Road are well-defined communities, where many residents have lived and grown up or have long standing family connections with the Estates and identify as the Warwick North part of our town.

For these residents, Warwick North is how they identify only being split at the last WDC/WCC change so to go back to a WDC ward know as Warwick North will seem only logical and welcomed. The smaller Town ward created by the non co-terminosity of the WDC and WCC ward/divisions will present a workable Town ward so would be acceptable to the Town Council.

Warwick Aylesford ward

- The proposed Aylesford ward centres around the Stratford Road, West Street, and Henley Road within Warwick, and is a recognised community that looks towards both St. Mary's Lands, and to Castle Park; this ward is very similar to the current Aylesford District Council ward which represent the community well.
- This ward contains both the Forbes and Chase Meadow estates, with the latter new development having a well-used community centre, shopping development, and local public house. This community includes a number of schools – Aylesford Secondary, Newburgh, and Westgate – which again help to build up an identity of the community in this area.

- As mentioned, the community in this part of Warwick look towards the large public open space of St. Mary's Lands and the associated community sports clubs of Racing Club Warwick, and Warwick Cricket Club, included within the proposed Aylesford ward.
- On the east side, Warwick Castle Park is also looked to, and is a well-loved asset by most of the Town of Warwick, but in particular the communities that look directly to it around the Stratford Road.
- It should be noted that the current County Divisions do not represent the community of this area of Warwick well, with the community split down the Stratford Road, leaving the east side of the Stratford Road in a division where the Castle Park area divides them from most of the rest of the other voters in Warwick South Division. The proposed Aylesford ward reunites the residents on the east side of the road with the rest of the community that looks towards St. Mary's lands. This makes a much more logical warding and unites what is known as the Stratford Road area within the Town, which certainly does not identify with Warwick South which residents see as the area South of the river Avon.

Warwick Saltisford (Warwick Town Centre)

- The proposed ward of Saltisford covers the heart of Warwick and in doing so recognises a number of small communities. It has clearly defined boundaries provided by the other three wards using main roads, the Grand Union Canal and the River Avon.
- Within the vibrant centre of Warwick there are communities that exist around the Town Centre and Market Square, as well as Warwick Hospital. The Licensing & Regulatory Committee proposals re-join these two parts of the beating heart of Warwick's centre. This makes a much more recognisable Town Centre ward, and would also contain St. Nicholas Park Leisure Centre which is used by many resident who live within the centre. The Conservatives support this change and believe that it is time that the centre was truly identified and not just part of a wider arbitrary ward. There was a Warwick Town Central ward in the past, and now that electoral numbers justify such warding, we are sure town residents will be very pleased to be better represented.

Warwick Myton & Heathcote (Warwick South)

- The final ward of Myton & Heathcote, which overlaps significantly with Warwick South County division, covers a significantly larger area in three clearly definable areas.
- The north of the ward would represent the Coten End area of the Warwick Town. The two remaining communities are around Myton Road and the Heathcote estate, known as Warwick Gates.
- The Myton Road area is historic and long established alongside Warwick School, Myton School and Bridge End. The one in the Heathcote area is much newer. These two areas will merge over the next five years, with thousands of houses being built in the area in-between at Gallows Hill and the Asps.
- The area around Myton Road and its surrounding properties includes Warwick School and Myton School but due to its natural boundary of the River Avon and open farmland (currently) to the south is a naturally defined community.

- The Heathcote estate is a large area within this proposed ward of agricultural land most of which will be developed over the coming years, as outlined within the methodology previously submitted by WDC. The Heathcote is a relatively new estate that has developed in recent years and includes a large commercial and business area with the residential properties in one area.
- Over the next two election cycles, the Councillors for this area are going to have to help look after these two settled communities and at the same time help with the community building of new, large settlements that bridge the gap of the two existing communities. We feel therefore that for the present time we should be keeping this was a single multi-councillor ward so that relationships can be developed as the two communities merge.

Leamington Manor

- The proposed ward for Leamington Manor builds upon the success of the current District Council ward of the same name, less the part ward of Old Milverton & Blackdown Parish. This proposal also includes the housing development to the north of the Town (within the town boundary) on the former North Leamington School site as this area forms part of the Town physically rather than the rural community it currently falls within. In addition, all access and services are from the Leamington Manor ward, and therefore there is a strong logic in combining this site as part of Leamington Manor ward.
- The proposed boundary also tidies up the existing arrangement to the north of the ward, where roads and communities are currently split across ward boundaries, whilst maintaining the wishes of the community of Cubbington to maintain their identity as separate from Manor, as communicated in the recent consultation in 2014/15. The proposal otherwise has clearly defined boundaries of main roads, and established Parish Boundaries.

Leamington Sydenham

- The proposed boundary for Leamington Sydenham ward creates an area with a clearly defined and shared identity, which some locals refer to as Upper Sydenham. The recent development in this area has generated a great sense of community, and the proposed boundary seeks to maximise this. It has a boundary to the east of a main railway line and to the west and south of Whitnash Brook. The northern boundary would use an established polling district boundary which is along principle roads within the community. The area would also include both the Sydenham School and Campion School (Secondary).
- This wards abuts against Whitnash, with large development planned to the south of the ward over the next five years; the Councillor for this area will have to play a large role in helping embed the large new developments, and help integrate the new community into the existing community of Upper Sydenham.

Leamington Brunswick

- The proposed Leamington Brunswick ward would represent the same area as identified by the County Council Division, and therefore has largely clearly defined boundaries, bar the current

Polling District of WCL5A. This ward has seen an increase in registered electors in recent years mainly as a result of improved electoral registration rather than through increased development.

- The area is largely split into two halves by Tachbrook Road, with the older development to the east and the more recent Council housing development to the west. It is recognised that this area includes a large student population so the elector numbers can change depending on the time of year. This said there is a strong community value in this area with Eagle Recreation Ground, the Westbury Centre, Brunswick Healthy Living Centre and Kingsway, Shrubland Street and St Patrick's Schools. The ward sees Park Drive and the Princes Drive bridge area all included.

Leamington Clarendon

- The proposed Leamington Clarendon ward represents part of the County Division of Leamington Clarendon. The proposal maintains the community feeling evident in this area, with a clearly defined identity as being at the centre of Leamington. The ward boundaries focus around the town centre, including the main shopping areas, Jephson Gardens, Spencer's Yard, and Avenue Road, taking the River Leam as a natural boundary to the south of the ward.
- There are a number of large projects and proposals taking place at a District Council level within the centre of Leamington. The Creative Quarter is a medium-term development strategy that covers most of the centre of Leamington including the Pump Rooms, Spencer's Yard, and Victoria Terrace. There is also a relocation project within the ward for the District Council's headquarters, moving it from the current site on Milverton Hill, which will be developed for ~170 houses, to Covent Garden, where a new car park and apartments will be developed.
- There is a Business Improvement District within the town of Leamington, which is contained within the Clarendon ward. The town centre has a large amount of retail, with residents above retail units, and densely populated accommodation. The community of businesses and residents within the Town Centre is very unique, in a livelier area.
- As stated above, it makes much more sense for the polling district of WCL5A to remain part of a Clarendon ward, with residents along Avenue Road having a strong affinity to the Town Centre, and considering themselves as part of central Leamington, rather than South Leamington which Brunswick entails.

Leamington Newbold

- The proposed ward of Leamington Newbold covers the other part of the County Division of Leamington Clarendon. The proposed changes address the current anomaly that key areas with a shared nomenclature are not currently included in Newbold ward, such as Newbold Comyn. There are historic affinities within the community that the most recent changes in boundaries cut apart, such as the Beeches area (comprising Campion Terrace, Upper Holly Walk, Princes Street, Comyn Street) and the historic Willes Estate.
- The current proposal put forward by the Licensing & Regulatory Committee will reunite these historic affiliations, using existing established boundaries and build on the identifiable community that is very different from the community in the Town Centre.

Leamington Crown

- The Leamington Crown ward recognises a clear identifiable community within Leamington focussing around Crown Way, Cubbington Road, Lillington Nursery & Primary School, recreation grounds and the Community Centre. There is a strong identity to this area, dating back to its existence as a village called Lillington, recorded in the Domesday Book. In addition to this, it provides clearly defined boundaries that are established to all sides.

Leamington Milverton

- The Leamington Milverton ward returns perfect electoral equality by using the same boundaries as the County Division of the same name but stops at the Kenilworth Road, as a more clearly defined boundary of this community. Whilst the proposed ward does not include the village of Old Milverton, the recommendations of the previous boundary review made clear that it would not be desirable to group the village with the more urban ward of Milverton. The revised ward boundary does go some way however to tidy up the boundary at the north of the ward.

Leamington Willes

- The final ward for Leamington is Leamington Willes. Not only does the proposal bring about electoral equality, there is a clearly defined community with an association to the Willes name, being predominantly located around Willes Road. By incorporating part of the existing Sydenham ward with Leam ward, the proposal better reflects the identity of the local community. Green areas such as New Street Burial Ground, and Packington Place Pocket Park, are community spaces.
- The Willes Road is looked to by many within this proposed ward as a central artery that people operate around.

Whitnash

- The proposed ward of Whitnash largely reflects the boundary for Whitnash Town Council, with the exception of the Whitnash Town Council East ward. While this is not ideal, in excluding this ward, this is an accepted anomaly where the housing development has only started in earnest within the last three years and there is no direct relationship with the rest of Whitnash town, although it has strong significant historical value to the Town.
- This arises as the areas are separated by a railway line and the access to the East ward from Whitnash is only through Leamington Spa, albeit there is a pedestrian bridge over the railway. Therefore, it is considered appropriate to follow the boundary as identified by the County Council Division.

Budbrooke and Bishop's Tachbrook (two different wards)

- The current County Council Division of Budbrooke & Bishop's Tachbrook does not have a strong community link with Bishop's Tachbrook isolated from the rest of the Division.
- There is significant distance between the parishes of Shrewley and Bishop's Tachbrook and there is no clear connection or transport link between them without going through Warwick.

- Therefore we support the Council's recommendation to take the opportunity to provide a proposal to split this area, as closely as possible, to electoral equality, but in a way that reflects the strong community bonds within the Parish areas. The proposed ward for Bishop's Tachbrook would also cover the same area as the Bishop's Tachbrook Neighbourhood Plan.
- Whilst greater electoral equality could be achieved by moving Wasperton into the Bishop's Tachbrook ward, there is no direct relationship between these two areas, as access to the village of Wasperton is via a road running north-south from Barford, and Wasperton is part of the Joint Parish Council of Barford, Sherbourne and Wasperton, and Wasperton would be isolated from Bishop's Tachbrook.

Comment on Other Proposals

- As detailed above, the County Council division boundaries were not able to be set (there was not a Local Plan at the time) taking into full consideration the proposed electorate growth that would be caused by the new housing in the new Local Plan. This means that there are structural difficulties, caused by the different data being used, in using the County Council divisions in their entirety for the District Council boundaries and still being able to produce electoral equality.
- It is restated that the LGBCE initiates an electoral review, because of electoral inequality, in areas when there is
 - One or more wards with an electoral imbalance of 30% or more, or
 - When 30% or more of a council's wards, or councillors representing wards, have an electoral imbalance of more than 10% variance.
- There was an initial proposal produced by WDC officers, attempting to use the current County Council divisions to produce a warding pattern that had complete co-terminosity.
- However, they ran into the problems of different data having been used for the County Council divisions, with this proposal seeing Budbrooke, Cubbington and Leek Wootton, Leamington Brunswick, Leamington Milverton, Warwick North, Warwick South (1), and Warwick West, all having an electoral variance of +/-10% or greater out of seventeen proposed wards.
- This means that 41% of wards would have had a variance of +/-10% or greater, with 39% of councillors representing wards of variance of +/-10% or greater. This potential proposal would have meant that there would have been more wards of a variance of 10% or greater than the 2017 figures which initiated the current review, and was clearly unequal. It also meant that the proposal was driven by the current County Council wards above either electoral equality or community areas.
- There has been a proposal put forward by some of the Councillors of opposition Groups current on the District Council. Within the numbers of their proposal, their proposed wards of Budbrooke, Cubbington, Bubbenhall, and Leek Wootton, Leamington Brunswick, Leamington Milverton, Warwick North, Warwick South, and Warwick West, all have an electoral variance of +/-10% or greater out of seventeen proposed wards. This means that 41% of wards have a variance of +/-10% or more, with a slightly increased number of councillors representing wards with variance of +/-10% or more – 43%.
- Again, the number of wards with 10% or greater variance is above the state in 2017 that called in the LGBCE review, and it is not too far out from being as electorally unequal as the projected 2023 wards were going to be, minus any individual ward having a variance of 30% or greater. Again this proposal appears to have been driven by the current make-up of the County Council divisions, rather than a methodology that looks at electoral equality and community warding first.
- At a meeting of the Full Council of Royal Leamington Spa Town Council, Labour and Liberal Democrat Councillors both voiced criticism of the proposal from the Licensing & Regulatory Committee of Warwick District Council because it would be disadvantageous to them to have to campaign over more wards. Therefore, there are concerns that the proposal from the opposition Groups on Warwick District Council, and their campaign to get various individuals to write in and support it, is based on political advantage. Our proposal, building on that of the Licensing & Regulatory Committee of Warwick District Council, has sought to place electoral equality, and

community identity, at the heart of the proposal, as those should be the criteria that proposals are based on, not on the political implications. The electors within Warwick District deserve wards that allow them equal representation as set out by the LGBC's own guidelines, and is also based on community identity.

Ward name	Councillors	2017 electorate	2017 % from equality	2023 electorate	2023 % from equality
Bishops Tachbrook	2	2289	-54	5474	-2.4
Budbrooke	2	5710	14	6416	14.5
Cubbington & Leek Wotton	2	5301	6	6499	15.9
Kenilworth Park Hill	3	7980	7	9154	8.9
Kenilworth St John's	3	7825	4	8160	-3.0
Lapworth & West Kenilworth	3	6986	-7	7961	-5.3
Leamington Brunswick	3	8217	10	8571	1.9
Leamington Clarendon	1	2616	5	2972	6.0
Leamington Crown	2	5062	1	5062	-9.7
Leamington Manor	2	5077	2	5147	-8.2
Leamington Milverton	2	5577	12	5632	0.5
Leamington Newbold	2	5773	16	5850	4.4
Leamington Sydenham	1	2215	-11	3056	9.0
Leamington Willes	2	5822	17	6027	7.5
Radford Semele	1	2258	-10	2686	-4.2
Warwick Aylesford	2	5124	3	5186	-7.5
Warwick Myton & Heathcote	3	5562	-26	8115	-3.5
Warwick Saltisford	2	5433	9	5503	-1.8
Warwick Woodloes	3	7663	2	8200	-2.5
Whitnash	3	7365	-2	7664	-8.9
Total	44	109855		123334	
Ratio		2497		2803	

	District	Town	2017	Electorate predicted @ 1.58			2017	2023	Proposed Number of Councillors	2017 Electors per Councillor	2023 Electors per Councillor	2017 Variance	2023 Variance				
Royal Lea	District	Leamington Brunswick (District)	County	Leamington Brunswick	WBR1 - Brunswick (north)	b	B	128	128								
				Leamington Brunswick	WBR1 - Brunswick (south)	b	B	1144	1144								
Royal Lea	District	Leamington Brunswick (District)	County	Leamington Brunswick	WBR2 - Brunswick (north)	B	B	1002	1120								
				Leamington Brunswick	WBR2 - Brunswick (south)	b	B	217	290								
Royal Lea	District	Leamington Brunswick (District)	County	Leamington Brunswick	WBR3 - Brunswick	B	B	1653	1653								
Royal Lea	District	Leamington Brunswick (District)	County	Leamington Brunswick	WBR4 - Brunswick	B	B	1074	1074								
Royal Lea	District	Leamington Brunswick (District)	County	Leamington Brunswick	WBR5 - Brunswick	B	B	1111	1111								
Royal Lea	District	Leamington Clarendon (District)	County	Leamington Brunswick	WCL2A - Clarendon	b	B	374	537								
Royal Lea	District	Leamington Clarendon (District)	County	Leamington Brunswick	WCL5A - Clarendon	CL	AR	524	722								
Royal Lea	District	Leamington Sydenham (District)	County	Leamington Brunswick	WSY3 - Sydenham	B	B	1514	1514	B	8,217	8,571	3	2,739	2,857	9.7%	1.9%
Royal Lea	District	Leamington Clarendon (District)	County	Leamington Clarendon	WCL2 - Clarendon	CL	CL	299	457								
Royal Lea	District	Leamington Clarendon (District)	County	Leamington Clarendon	WCL3 - Clarendon	CL	CL	794	794								
Royal Lea	District	Leamington Clarendon (District)	County	Leamington Clarendon	WCL3A - Clarendon	CL	CL	318	318								
Royal Lea	District	Leamington Clarendon (District)	County	Leamington Clarendon	WCL4 - Clarendon West	CL	CL	115	115	CL	2,616	2,972	1	2,616	2,972	4.8%	6.0%
		Leamington Clarendon (District)	County	Leamington Clarendon	WCL5 Clarendon west	CL	CL	566	566								
Royal Lea	District	Leamington Crown (District)	County	Leamington North	WCR1 - Crown	CR	CR	1033	1033								
Royal Lea	District	Leamington Crown (District)	County	Leamington North	WCR2 - Crown	CR	CR	1193	1193								
Royal Lea	District	Leamington Crown (District)	County	Leamington North	WCR3 - Crown	CR	CR	1215	1215								
Royal Lea	District	Leamington Crown (District)	County	Leamington North	WCR4 - Crown + Cubbington Road	CR	CR	1426	1426	CR	5,062	5,062	2	2,531	2,531	1.4%	-9.7%
Royal Lea	District	Leamington Milverton (District)	County	Leamington Milverton	KWMN5A - Milverton	M	KR	89	159								
Royal Lea	District	Leamington Manor (District)	County	Leamington Milverton	WMN4 - Manor - Cubbington Road	M	KR	261	261								
Royal Lea	District	Leamington Manor (District)	County	Leamington Milverton	WMN5 - Manor	M	KR	1040	1040								
Royal Lea	District	Leamington Newbold (District)	County	Leamington Milverton	WNE2 - Newbold	M	KR	96	96								
Cubbington	District	Leamington Manor (District)	County	Leamington North	KWMN2 - Cubbington (New Cubbington Ward)	M	M	1203	1203								
Royal Lea	District	Leamington Manor (District)	County	Leamington North	WMN3 - Manor	M	M	1658	1658								
Royal Lea	District	Leamington Manor (District)	County	Leamington North	WMN4A - Manor	M	M	796	796	KR	1,291	1,361					
Royal Lea	District	Leamington Manor (District)	County	Leamington North	WMN5A - Manor	M	M	129	129	MN	5,077	5,147	2	2,539	2,573	1.7%	-8.2%
Royal Lea	District	Leamington Milverton (District)	County	Leamington Milverton	KWMV2A - Milverton	MV	MV	120	120								
Royal Lea	District	Leamington Clarendon (District)	County	Leamington Milverton	WCL1 - Clarendon	MV	MV	435	435								
Royal Lea	District	Leamington Milverton (District)	County	Leamington Milverton	WMV2 - Milverton	MV	MV	824	824								
Royal Lea	District	Leamington Milverton (District)	County	Leamington Milverton	WMV3 - Milverton	MV	MV	1228	1228								
Royal Lea	District	Leamington Milverton (District)	County	Leamington Milverton	WMV4 - Milverton	MV	MV	948	975								
Royal Lea	District	Leamington Milverton (District)	County	Leamington Milverton	WMV5 - Milverton	MV	MV	1472	1500								
Royal Lea	District	Leamington Newbold (District)	County	Leamington Milverton	WNE1 - Newbold	MV	MV	277	277								
Royal Lea	District	Leamington Newbold (District)	County	Leamington Milverton	WNE1A - Newbold	MV	MV	273	273	MV	5,577	5,632	2	2,789	2,816	11.7%	0.5%
Royal Lea	District	Leamington Clarendon (District)	County	Leamington Clarendon	WCL5 - Clarendon - East	N	N	609	609								
				Leamington Clarendon	WCL4 - Leamington Clarendon East	N	N	1053	1130								
Royal Lea	District	Leamington Newbold (District)	County	Leamington Clarendon	WNE1B - Newbold	N	N	424	424								
Royal Lea	District	Leamington Newbold (District)	County	Leamington Clarendon	WNE2A - Newbold	N	N	1006	1006								
Royal Lea	District	Leamington Newbold (District)	County	Leamington Clarendon	WNE3 - Newbold	N	N	1389	1389								
Royal Lea	District	Leamington Newbold (District)	County	Leamington Clarendon	WNE4 - Newbold	N	N	1292	1292	NE	5,773	5,850	2	2,887	2,925	15.6%	4.4%
Royal Lea	District	Leamington Sydenham (District)	County	Leamington Willes	WSY2 - Sydenham	SY	SY	1153	1153								
Royal Lea	District	Leamington Sydenham (District)	County	Leamington Willes	WSY4 - Sydenham	SY	SY	877	991								
Whitnash	District	Leamington Sydenham (District)	County	Leamington Willes	WSY5 - Whitnash (East Ward District 1))	SY	SY	185	912	SY	2,215	3,056	1	2,215	3,056	-11.3%	9.0%
Royal Lea	District	Leamington Leam (District)	County	Leamington Willes	WLE1 - Leam	W	W	1661	1661								
Royal Lea	District	Leamington Leam (District)	County	Leamington Willes	WLE2 - Leam	W	W	1515	1515								
Royal Lea	District	Leamington Leam (District)	County	Leamington Willes	WLE3 - Leam	W	W	1246	1451								
Royal Lea	District	Leamington Sydenham (District)	County	Leamington Willes	WSY1 - Sydenham	W	W	1400	1400	WI	5,822	6,027	2	2,911	3,014	16.6%	7.5%
											43,677	15	2,912				

B	8741	9292.24	1	4646.12	2323.06	1	1
CL	2092	2250	1	1125	562.5		
CR	5062	5062	1	2531	1265.5	1	
KR	1291	1360.52	1	680.26	340.13		
MN	2583	2583	1	1291.5	645.75		
MV	5577	5632.3	1	2816.15	1408.075	1	
NE	5773	5850	1	2925	1462.5	1	
SY	2215	2143.76	1	1071.88	535.94		
WI	5822	6027.4	1	3013.7	1506.85	1	
		40201.22					
		2871.516					

						Proposed District Ward	Proposed Town Area	2017	Electorate predicted @ 1.58		
Warwick District	Warwick Aylesford (District)	County	Warwick South	WAY3 - Aylesford	A	AS	626	626	A = Aylesford		
Warwick District	Bishop`s Tachbrook (District)	County	Warwick South	WAY3A - Bishop`s Tachbrook	A	AS	9	9	AS = Aylesford South		
Warwick District	Warwick Aylesford (District)	County	Warwick West	WAY1 - Aylesford	A	AW	656	718	AW = Aylesford West		
Warwick District	Warwick Aylesford (District)	County	Warwick West	WAY2 - Aylesford	A	AW	1561	1561	5124		
Warwick District	Warwick Aylesford (District)	County	Warwick West	WAY4 - Aylesford	A	AW	1214	1214			
Warwick District	Warwick Aylesford (District)	County	Warwick West	WAY5 - Aylesford	A	AW	1058	1058	5186	2592.81	-7.5%
Warwick District	Bishop`s Tachbrook (District)	County	Warwick South	WBT2A - Myton & Heathcote	M	WS	52	52	8115	2705.093	-3.5%
Warwick District	Warwick Emscote (District)	County	Warwick South	WEM3B - Emscote	M	WS	16	16	5562		
Warwick District	Warwick Emscote (District)	County	Warwick South	WEM4 - Emscote	M	WS	507	507	M = Myton and Heathcote		
Warwick District	Warwick Emscote (District)	County	Warwick South	WEM5A - Emscote	M	WS	1026	1026	WS = Warwick South		
Warwick District	Warwick Myton & Heathcote (District)	County	Warwick South	WMH1 - Myton & Heathcote	M	WS	1821	4227			
Warwick District	Warwick Myton & Heathcote (District)	County	Warwick South	WMH2 - Myton & Heathcote	M	WS	962	962			
Warwick District	Warwick Myton & Heathcote (District)	County	Warwick South	WMH3 - Myton & Heathcote	M	WS	1178	1325	5433		
Warwick District	Warwick Saltisford (District)	County	Warwick South	WSA5A - Saltisford	S	S	348	348			
Warwick District	Warwick Saltisford (District)	County	Warwick South	WSA6 - Saltisford	S	S	1007	1007	5503	2751.26	-1.8%
Warwick District	Warwick Saltisford (District)	County	Warwick West	WSA1 - Saltisford	S	SW	709	709			
Warwick District	Warwick Saltisford (District)	County	Warwick West	WSA2 - Saltisford	S	SW	1272	1291	S = Saltisford		
Warwick District	Warwick Saltisford (District)	County	Warwick West	WSA3 - Saltisford	S	SW	1155	1155	S = Saltisford West		
Warwick District	Warwick Saltisford (District)	County	Warwick West	WSA4 - Saltisford	S	SW	525	525			
Warwick District	Warwick Saltisford (District)	County	Warwick West	WSA5 - Saltisford	S	SW	417	468			
Warwick District	Warwick Emscote (District)	County	Warwick North	WEM1 - Emscote	W	E	1133	1133	W = Woodloes		
Warwick District	Warwick Emscote (District)	County	Warwick North	WEM2 - Emscote	W	E	999	999	E = Woodloes East		
Warwick District	Warwick Emscote (District)	County	Warwick North	WEM3 - Emscote	W	E	879	1119	N = Woodloes West		
Warwick District	Warwick Emscote (District)	County	Warwick North	WEM3A - Emscote	W	E	297	297			
Warwick District	Warwick Emscote (District)	County	Warwick North	WEM4A - Emscote	W	E	80	80			
Warwick District	Warwick Emscote (District)	County	Warwick North	WEM5 - Emscote	W	E	22	243			
Warwick District	Warwick Woodloes (District)	County	Warwick North	WWO1 - Woodloes	W	E	1126	1126			
Warwick District	Warwick Woodloes (District)	County	Warwick North	WWO2 - Woodloes	W	E	1204	1204			
Warwick District	Warwick Woodloes (District)	County	Warwick North	WWO3 - Woodloes	W	E	1222	1222	7663		
Warwick District	Warwick Woodloes (District)	County	Warwick North	WWO4 - Woodloes	W	E	16	92			
Warwick District	Warwick Woodloes (District)	County	Warwick West	WWO4A - Woodloes	W	N	685	685	8200.2	2733.4	-2.5%
								27004			

5400.8

				Proposed		Electorate					
				District	2017	predicted @					
				Ward		1.58 2023					
Ashow	District	Stoneleigh & Cubbington (District)	County	Cubbington & Leek Woc KSC7 - Ashow	SC	113	113				
Baginton	District	Stoneleigh & Cubbington (District)	County	Cubbington & Leek Woc KSC2 - Baginton	SC	645	771				
Blackdow	District	Leamington Manor (District)	County	Cubbington & Leek Woc KWMN1 - Blackdown (Blackdow)	SC	130	130	5301			
Bubbenhall	District	Stoneleigh & Cubbington (District)	County	Cubbington & Leek Woc KSC3 - Bubbenhall	SC	549	549				
Cubbington	District	Stoneleigh & Cubbington (District)	County	Cubbington & Leek Woc KSC5 - Cubbington (Cubbington)	SC	917	917				
Cubbington	District	Stoneleigh & Cubbington (District)	County	Cubbington & Leek Woc KSC6 - Cubbington (Cubbington)	SC	1064	1222	6499	2	3249.32	15.9%
Leek Woo	District	Arden (District)	County	Cubbington & Leek Woc KAR5 - Leek Wootton and Guy`	SC	800	982				
Leek Woo	District	Bishop`s Tachbrook (District)	County	Cubbington & Leek Woc WKAR5A - Bishop`s Tachbrook	SC	11	11				
Leek Woo	District	Warwick Woodloes (District)	County	Cubbington & Leek Woc WKAR5B - Woodloes	SC	5	5				
Old Milverton	District	Leamington Milverton (District)	County	Cubbington & Leek Woc KWMV1 - Old Milverton (Old Milverton)	SC	171	207				
Stoneleigh	District	Stoneleigh & Cubbington (District)	County	Cubbington & Leek Woc KSC1 - Stoneleigh (Stoneleigh)	SC	552	1247				
Weston-Under-Lutterworth	District	Stoneleigh & Cubbington (District)	County	Cubbington & Leek Woc KSC4 - Weston-Under-Wetherby	SC	344	344				
Wappenbury	District	Radford Semele (District)	County	Cubbington & Leek Woc KRS1 - Wappenbury	RS	53	53				
Radford Semele	District	Radford Semele (District)	County	Cubbington & Leek Woc KRS5 - Radford Semele	RS	1707	2135				
Offchurch	District	Radford Semele (District)	County	Cubbington & Leek Woc KRS4 - Offchurch	RS	209	209	2258			
Eathorpe	District	Radford Semele (District)	County	Cubbington & Leek Woc KRS2 - Eathorpe	RS	120	120				
Hunningham	District	Radford Semele (District)	County	Cubbington & Leek Woc KRS3 - Hunningham	RS	169	169	2686	1	2686.18	-4.2%
Bishop`s Tachbrook	District	Bishop`s Tachbrook (District)	County	Budbrooke & Bishop`s Tachbrook WBT1 - Bishop`s Tachbrook (District)	BT	1577	3434				
Bishop`s Tachbrook	District	Bishop`s Tachbrook (District)	County	Budbrooke & Bishop`s Tachbrook WBT2 - Bishop`s Tachbrook (District)	BT	503	503				
Bishop`s Tachbrook	District	Warwick Myton & Heathcote (District)	County	Budbrooke & Bishop`s Tachbrook WMH2B - Bishop`s Tachbrook	BT	6	6	2289			
Bishop`s Tachbrook	District	Warwick Myton & Heathcote (District)	County	Budbrooke & Bishop`s Tachbrook WMH3A - Bishop`s Tachbrook	BT	172	1501				
Bishop`s Tachbrook	District	Whitnash (District)	County	Budbrooke & Bishop`s Tachbrook WWH6A - Bishop`s Tachbrook (District)	BT	31	31	5474	2	2737.14	-2.3%
Budbrooke	District	Budbrooke (District)	County	Budbrooke & Bishop`s Tachbrook WBU3 - Budbrooke (District 1)	BU	351	485				
Budbrooke	District	Budbrooke (District)	County	Budbrooke & Bishop`s Tachbrook WBU4 - Budbrooke (District 2)	BU	1238	1443				
Wasperton	District	Budbrooke (District)	County	Budbrooke & Bishop`s Tachbrook WBU8 - Wasperton	BU	132	132				
Barford	District	Budbrooke (District)	County	Budbrooke & Bishop`s Tachbrook WBU7 - Barford	BU	1148	1278				
Hatton	District	Budbrooke (District)	County	Budbrooke & Bishop`s Tachbrook WBU1 - Hatton (District 1)	BU	1401	1638				
Hatton	District	Budbrooke (District)	County	Budbrooke & Bishop`s Tachbrook WBU2 - Hatton (District 2)	BU	212	212				
Norton Lindsey	District	Budbrooke (District)	County	Budbrooke & Bishop`s Tachbrook WBU5 - Norton Lindsey	BU	327	327	5710			
Sherbourne	District	Budbrooke (District)	County	Budbrooke & Bishop`s Tachbrook WBU6 - Sherbourne	BU	155	155				
Shrewley	District	Arden (District)	County	Budbrooke & Bishop`s Tachbrook KAR6 - Shrewley	BU	746	746	6416.3	2	3208.13	14.5%
						21075					

				Proposed District Ward	Proposed Town Area	2017	Electorate predicted @ 1.58					
Bushwoor District	Arden (District)	County	Lapworth & West Kenilv	KAR2 - Bushwood	A	A	22	22				
Baddesley District	Arden (District)	County	Lapworth & West Kenilv	KAR3 - Baddesley Clinton	A	A	162	190				
Beausale, District	Arden (District)	County	Lapworth & West Kenilv	KAR4 - Beausale, Hasley, Honile	A	A	498	533				
Lapworth District	Arden (District)	County	Lapworth & West Kenilv	KAR1 - Lapworth	A	A	1479	1530				
Rowingto District	Arden (District)	County	Lapworth & West Kenilv	KAR7 - Rowington (District 1)	A	A	477	524				
Rowingto District	Arden (District)	County	Lapworth & West Kenilv	KAR8 - Rowington (District 2)	A	A	356	356				
Burton Gr District	Kenilworth Abbey (District)	County	Lapworth & West Kenilv	KAB1 - Burton Green (Burton G	A	A	782	1596				
Burton Gr District	Kenilworth Abbey (District)	County	Lapworth & West Kenilv	KAB2 - Burton Green (Universit	A	A	788	788				
Kenilwort District	Kenilworth Abbey (District)	County	Lapworth & West Kenilv	KAB3 - Abbey	A	A	922	922	6986			
Kenilwort District	Kenilworth Abbey (District)	County	Lapworth & West Kenilv	KAB5 - Abbey	A	A	129	129				
Kenilwort District	Kenilworth Abbey (District)	County	Lapworth & West Kenilv	KAB6 - Abbey	A	A	1366	1366				
Kenilwort District	Kenilworth Abbey (District)	County	Lapworth & West Kenilv	KPH1A - Abbey	A	A	5	5	7961	3	2653.62	-5.3%
Kenilwort District	Kenilworth Abbey (District)	County	Kenilworth St. John`s	KAB4A - Abbey	SJ	SJ	320	320				
Kenilwort District	Kenilworth Abbey (District)	County	Kenilworth St. John`s	KAB5A - Abbey	SJ	SJ	724	724				
Kenilwort District	Kenilworth St. John`s (District)	County	Kenilworth St. John`s	KSJ1 - St. John`s	SJ	SJ	1277	1277				
Kenilwort District	Kenilworth St. John`s (District)	County	Kenilworth St. John`s	KSJ1A - St. John`s	SJ	SJ	457	457				
Kenilwort District	Kenilworth St. John`s (District)	County	Kenilworth St. John`s	KSJ2 - St. John`s	SJ	SJ	360	379				
Kenilwort District	Kenilworth St. John`s (District)	County	Kenilworth St. John`s	KSJ2A - St. John`s	SJ	SJ	287	287				
Kenilwort District	Kenilworth St. John`s (District)	County	Kenilworth St. John`s	KSJ3 - St. John`s	SJ	SJ	983	983				
Kenilwort District	Kenilworth St. John`s (District)	County	Kenilworth St. John`s	KSJ4 - St. John`s	SJ	SJ	1043	1201				
Kenilwort District	Kenilworth St. John`s (District)	County	Kenilworth St. John`s	KSJ5 - St. John`s	SJ	SJ	1241	1276	7825			
Kenilwort District	Arden (District)	County	Kenilworth St. John`s	KSJ5A - Arden	SJ	SJ	18	141				
Kenilwort District	Kenilworth St. John`s (District)	County	Kenilworth St. John`s	KSJ6 - St. John`s	SJ	SJ	1115	1115	8160	3	2719.987	-3.0%
Kenilwort District	Kenilworth Abbey (District)	County	Kenilworth Park Hill	KAB3A - Abbey	PH	PH	153	153				
Kenilwort District	Kenilworth Abbey (District)	County	Kenilworth Park Hill	KAB4 - Abbey	PH	PH	1056	1056				
Kenilwort District	Kenilworth Park Hill (District)	County	Kenilworth Park Hill	KPH1 - Park Hill	PH	PH	1314	1461				
Kenilwort District	Kenilworth Park Hill (District)	County	Kenilworth Park Hill	KPH2 - Park Hill	PH	PH	1158	1158				
Kenilwort District	Kenilworth Park Hill (District)	County	Kenilworth Park Hill	KPH3 - Park Hill	PH	PH	1354	1631				
Kenilwort District	Kenilworth Park Hill (District)	County	Kenilworth Park Hill	KPH4 - Park Hill	PH	PH	1161	1438	7980			
Kenilwort District	Kenilworth Park Hill (District)	County	Kenilworth Park Hill	KPH5 - Park Hill	PH	PH	780	1254				
Kenilwort District	Kenilworth Park Hill (District)	County	Kenilworth Park Hill	KPH6 - Park Hill	PH	PH	1004	1004	9153.9	3	3051.313	8.9%

25275

					Proposed District Ward	Proposed Town Area	2017	Electorate predicted @ 1.58				
Whitnash District	Warwick Myton & Heathcote (District)	County	Whitnash	WMH2A - Whitnash West (Dist	W	W	237	237				
Whitnash District	Whitnash (District)	County	Whitnash	WWH1 - Whitnash (North War	W	W	891	891				
Whitnash District	Whitnash (District)	County	Whitnash	WWH2 - Whitnash (North War	W	W	1417	1417				
Whitnash District	Whitnash (District)	County	Whitnash	WWH3 - Whitnash (South War	W	W	1168	1261				
Whitnash District	Whitnash (District)	County	Whitnash	WWH4 - Whitnash (South War	W	W	1163	1163				
Whitnash District	Bishop`s Tachbrook (District)	County	Whitnash	WWH4A - Bishop`s Tachbrook	W	W	182	387				
Whitnash District	Whitnash (District)	County	Whitnash	WWH5 - Whitnash (West Warc	W	W	1075	1075				
Whitnash District	Whitnash (District)	County	Whitnash	WWH6 - Whitnash (West Warc	W	W	1232	1232	7664	3	2554.54	-8.9%
							7365	7664				

In making our submission we focussed on the principle of achieving electoral equality based on the LGBCE's indicated decision to have a Council size of 44.

Whilst it was possible based on a council size of 44 to largely achieve electoral equality as outlined in our proposal to the LGBCE, but there still was marked inequality for the two rural wards of Budbrooke and Cubbington & Leek Wootton .

However if we had a council size of 45, then we could achieve electoral equality across all wards and that led to the comment that you have queried:

“Noting that the LGBCE is minded to recommend a new Council size of 44 councillors, if instead there were to be a Council size of 45, and allocating the extra councillor between a revised Cubbington & Leek Wootton, and Budbrooke ward, then it would be possible for all wards and councillors to be within the 10% variance target of the LGBCE.”

The new structure of the two wards would be as follows:

Parish/Polling District	2017	2017	2017 % from equality*	Electorate 2023	2023	2023 % from equality *
-------------------------	------	------	-----------------------	-----------------	------	------------------------

Stoneleigh & Cubbington : 2 Councillors

KSC1 - Stoneleigh (Stoneleigh Ward)	552			1247		
KSC2 - Baginton	645			771		
KSC3 - Bubbenhall	549			549		
KSC4 - Weston-Under-Wetherley	344			344		
KSC5 - Cubbington (Cubbington Ward District 1)	917			917		
KSC6 - Cubbington (Cubbington Ward District 2)	1064			1222		
KSC7 - Ashow	113	4,184	-14.3%	113	5,164	-5.8%

Budbrooke & Leek Wootton : 3 Councillors

KAR5 - Leek Wootton and Guy's Cliffe	800			982		
KWMN1 - Blackdown (Blackdown Ward)	130			130		
KWMV1 - Old Milverton (Old Milverton Ward)	171			207		
WKAR5A - Bishop's Tachbrook	11			11		
WKAR5B - Woodloes	5			5		
KAR6 - Shrewley	746			746		
WBU1 - Hatton (District 1)	1401			1638		
WBU2 - Hatton (District 2)	212			212		
WBU3 - Budbrooke (District 1)	351			485		
WBU4 - Budbrooke (District 2)	1238			1443		
WBU5 - Norton Lindsey	327			327		
WBU6 - Sherbourne	155			155		
WBU7 - Barford	1148			1278		
WBU8 - Wasperton	132	6,827	7.4%	132	7,751	-5.7%

* Based on 45 councillors

Stoneleigh & Cubbington (“S&C”) ward would be fully within the Cubbington & Leek Wootton County Division, while the new Budbrooke & Leek Wootton (“B&LW”) ward would be split between Cubbington & Leek Wootton and Budbrooke & Bishop’s Tachbrook County Divisions. Importantly each of the wards would maintain the integrity of the existing Parish councils, and neither of the new District Wards would split an existing Parish Council.

Details of each ward:

The proposed S&C ward would be the same as the existing Stoneleigh & Cubbington District ward, represented by two councillors.

The new B&LW ward would consist of the existing District ward of Budbrooke, plus the parish of Shrewley that is now included within the current Budbrooke & Bishop's Tachbrook County Divisions. As well as including the parishes of Leek Wootton & Guy's Cliffe, Old Milverton and Blackdown, it would sweep up the two "orphan" polling districts of WKAR5A and WKAR5B, reducing some of the current administrative complexity that the Electoral Registration Officers face.

Overall proposal for the District Council based on 45 councillors

Ward name	Councillors	2017 electorate	2017 % from equality	2023 electorate	2023 % from equality
Bishops Tachbrook	2	2289	-53	5474	0
Budbrooke & Leek Wootton	3	6827	-7	7751	-6
Cubbington & Stoneleigh	2	4184	-14	5164	-6
Kenilworth Park Hill	3	7980	9	9154	11
Kenilworth St John's	3	7825	7	8160	-1
Lapworth & West Kenilworth	3	6986	-5	7961	-3
Leamington Brunswick	3	8217	12	8571	4
Leamington Clarendon	1	2616	7	2972	8
Leamington Crown	2	5062	4	5062	-8
Leamington Manor	2	5077	4	5147	-6
Leamington Milverton	2	5577	14	5632	3
Leamington Newbold	2	5773	18	5850	7
Leamington Sydenham	1	2215	-9	3056	11
Leamington Willes	2	5822	19	6027	9.96
Radford Semele	1	2258	-8	2686	-2
Warwick Aylesford	2	5124	5	5186	-5
Warwick Myton & Heathcote	3	5562	-24	8115	-1
Warwick Saltisford	2	5433	11	5503	0
Warwick Woodloes	3	7663	5	8200	0
Whitnash	3	7365	1	7664	-7
Total	45	109855		123334	

With some minor changes to Leamington Sydenham and Kenilworth Park Hill, it will be possible to have all the wards within the +/- 10% electoral that deliver electoral equality for all voters.