

## East Hampshire District

### Personal Details:

**Name:** David Alexander

**E-mail:**

**Postcode:**

**Organisation Name**

### Comment text:

The proposal to create a large ward that combines Rowlands Castle with parts of Horndean is highly unsatisfactory. The two parishes are very different and each needs its own separate representation. Depending on who stands for election, this proposal could result in all Councillors for the new ward being from one village or the other, which would mean large groups of people with no local representation. I object strongly to any proposed boundary change that combines such dissimilar villages. District wards should be designed to keep parish wards intact. Cllr. David Alexander, Horndean Parish Council

### Uploaded Documents:

None Uploaded

## East Hampshire District

### Personal Details:

**Name:** louise Baker

**E-mail:** 

**Postcode:** 

**Organisation Name:**

### Comment text:

I strongly oppose the Boundary commissions revised proposal for a three-member ward to include Rowlands Castle and even more of Horndean and Kings Ward. I believe Catherington and Lovedean Ward, Downs Ward and Murray Ward should remain single councillor wards. The large three councillor ward suggested by the these revised plans should in fact be separated out into three single councillor wards comprising Horndean Kings and Hazleton, Horndean Village and Blendworth and also Rowlands Castle. There is a vast differences between the two areas of Horndean and Rowlands Castle and I do not feel community identity between them exists. I do not feel councillors could fairly represent both towns.

### Uploaded Documents:

None Uploaded

## East Hampshire District

### Personal Details:

**Name:** Ian Barwell

**E-mail:** 

**Postcode:** 

**Organisation Name:**

### Comment text:

I do not agree with the boundary commission's proposal for the reasons set out in the attached document. I agree with the suggestion set out in said document that the large three councillor ward suggested by the LGBCE should be separated out into three single councillor wards comprising Horndean Kings and Hazleton, Horndean Village and Blendworth and also Rowlands Castle.

### Uploaded Documents:

**[Download \(https://consultation.lgbce.org.uk/download\\_document?file=draft%2F1520081314\\_horndean-and-rowlands-castle-submission-final-1.pdf\)](https://consultation.lgbce.org.uk/download_document?file=draft%2F1520081314_horndean-and-rowlands-castle-submission-final-1.pdf)**

## East Hampshire District

### Personal Details:

**Name:** Mary Bennett

**E-mail:**

**Postcode:**

**Organisation Name:**

### Comment text:

I see that the complaint of the dividing line running through Horndean village still applies and requests to change this have been completely ignored. The village centre needs to be in Horndean. This new demarcation could lead to Rowlands Castle claiming they have completed their additional housing requirements and Horndean have not. the village centre must remain hasnit is now.

### Uploaded Documents:

None Uploaded

## East Hampshire District

### Personal Details:

**Name:** Graham Buttle

**E-mail:**

**Postcode:**

**Organisation Name:**

### Comment text:

I strongly oppose the proposal to merge Horndean, Blendworth and Rowlands Castle wards. I feel that this links the wards together as one mass removing any individual 'identity' of each area. It will encourage even further unsustainable development between each ward until there is inevitably no gap between them. The local infrastructure can not cope with this and many residents strongly oppose it.

### Uploaded Documents:

None Uploaded

## East Hampshire District

### Personal Details:

**Name:** Stephen Cox

**E-mail:** 

**Postcode:** 

**Organisation Name:** Mr

### Comment text:

May I urge you to closely consider and act upon the thoughtful and considered alternatives put forward, after a lot of work and consultation, by the EHDC and Horndean Parish counsellors. My sense is these suggestions are far more grounded into the best representational outcome for the people of Horndean, having been done locally and with an understanding of local nuances. I feel certain that your desk-top number-balancing process is made with all good intentions. However, it is likely to be of a far too simplistic nature and you must listen to the wisdom of the people local to Horndean who care deeply for the area and know how best to organise themselves to the benefit of their communities.

### Uploaded Documents:

None Uploaded

## East Hampshire District

### Personal Details:

**Name:** Nicholas Crang

**E-mail:** 

**Postcode:** 

**Organisation Name:**

### Comment text:

Unfortunately the further draft recommendation misses the point in relation to the creation of a large three councillor ward comprising Horndean Kings, Horndean Hazleton and Blendworth and the Parish of Rowlands Castle. This would not be in the interests of the residents of the affected areas for all of the reasons previously stated. The insistence on pursuing the idea of joining up Horndean and Rowlands Castle despite local opposition and in the face of reasonable alternatives is difficult to understand. A response prepared by local councillors is attached. It summarises the issues and proposes a suitable alternative.

### Uploaded Documents:

**[https://consultation.lgbce.org.uk/download\\_document?file=draft%2F1520256418\\_horndean-and-rowlands-castle-submission.pdf](https://consultation.lgbce.org.uk/download_document?file=draft%2F1520256418_horndean-and-rowlands-castle-submission.pdf)**

## East Hampshire District

### Personal Details:

**Name:** Neal Davies

**E-mail:**

**Postcode:**

**Organisation Name:** na

### Comment text:

Having lived in both Whitehill and more recently Alton, the proposals seem reasonable and cater for the numbers living in both towns.

### Uploaded Documents:

None Uploaded



## East Hampshire District

### Personal Details:

**Name:** FREDERIC Deeks

**E-mail:** 

**Postcode:** 

**Organisation Name:**

### Comment text:

I believe the boundary comissiun have not understood the locality and its representation at Parish or District Council level. The Horndean Parish Council proposal attached is the preferable solution

### Uploaded Documents:

**[https://consultation.lgbce.org.uk/download\\_document?file=draft%2F1520097084\\_horndean-and-rowlands-castle-submission-final-1.pdf](https://consultation.lgbce.org.uk/download_document?file=draft%2F1520097084_horndean-and-rowlands-castle-submission-final-1.pdf)**

## East Hampshire District

### Personal Details:

**Name:** Anthony Denton

**E-mail:** 

**Postcode:** 

**Organisation Name:**

### Comment text:

We reject the boundaries commission recommendations. We support the proposal to maintain separate wards, as the history of the area is relate to village boundaries and identities.

### Uploaded Documents:

None Uploaded

## East Hampshire District

### Personal Details:

**Name:** Ian Edge

**E-mail:** 

**Postcode:** 

**Organisation Name:**

### Comment text:

Horndean and Rowlands castle are totally separate communities in both personal affluence and housing so have nothing in common. They are also physically distant from each other with intervening countryside so should not be lumped together into one ward.

### Uploaded Documents:

None Uploaded

## East Hampshire District

### Personal Details:

**Name:** Bruce Graham

**E-mail:**

**Postcode:**

**Organisation Name:**

### Comment text:

The proposals submitted by the Boundaries Commission seem not to understand the local circumstances and geography. Horndean and Rowlands Castle are two completely separate and different communities. It makes no sense to straddle the two communities with one ward. The local councillors have put forward their own proposal for forming wards which have a strong relevance to each village. This has been based on their local knowledge which I respectfully suggest is far superior to that of distant civil servants. Please take note of their proposal and base the new wards on the knowledge of local inhabitants.

### Uploaded Documents:

None Uploaded

## East Hampshire District

### Personal Details:

**Name:** KEITH HARRISON

**E-mail:**

**Postcode:**

**Organisation Name:**

### Comment text:

A a resident of Catherington Horndean for over twenty years, I am quite content that the red line boundaries remain as they are today.

### Uploaded Documents:

None Uploaded

## East Hampshire District

### Personal Details:

**Name:** Celia Howard

**E-mail:** 

**Postcode:** 

**Organisation Name:**

### Comment text:

I have read the proposals by your Commission and also the views and proposals of our local councillors. I think because you as commissioners do not reside within any of the boundaries under discussion, then it is hard for you to understand that the villages of Horndean and Rowlands Castle have nothing in common, whether it be way of life, typical types of peoples and residencies, etc. Therefore I cannot see how each community could be fairly supported or governed by these councillors. Rowlands Castle is an entire and smart village with its own railway station, central village green, a place many would like to be able to live in, and with few social housing areas. Horndean is much more part of an urban sprawl, with little village centre atmosphere because of a motorway that divides it. Also since the area designated Land East of Horndean is about to be developed into 700 more homes plus its own infrastructure including a school, then has this extra population been considered. This again will become an entirely different kind of governance for the Horndean Councillor. I really cannot see an equal and happy merge of these two distinctly different areas being a comfortable possibility and therefore support the response from our Horndean councillors.

### Uploaded Documents:

None Uploaded

## East Hampshire District

### Personal Details:

**Name:** Ted Howard

**E-mail:** 

**Postcode:** 

**Organisation Name:**

### Comment text:

The Boundary Commission recommended changes to the wards in the Horndean area of East Hampshire District Council appear to be a bureaucratic exercise in balancing numbers within the current population without consideration to future growth within Horndean wards. It also seems to fail to take into consideration the completely different local communities of Horndean and Rowlands Castle, their totally separate village identities nor the very obvious demographic differences between the two communities. Added to this is the anticipated growth in population within the Horndean area which Rowlands Castle, predominately within the South Downs National Park, will not experience. This future growth will almost inevitably result in even further differences in the demographics between the two communities. I wholeheartedly support the response submitted by the East Hampshire District Councillors representing the Horndean and Rowlands Castle Wards who have provided sound arguments against the draft recommendations.

### Uploaded Documents:

None Uploaded

## East Hampshire District

### Personal Details:

**Name:** Laon Hulme

**E-mail:** 

**Postcode:** 

**Organisation Name:**

### Comment text:

We have parliamentary constituencies that cover wide areas and disparate populations. The current proposals do not affect parishes, or the ability of parish councils to serve their own communities. District councils are an unnecessary, undesirable and costly further layer of local government. I don't see any problem for the people Horndean if we have a larger ward.

### Uploaded Documents:

None Uploaded



## East Hampshire District

### Personal Details:

**Name:** David Jewer

**E-mail:** 

**Postcode:** 

**Organisation Name:** Resident

### Comment text:

As a resident of Finchdean I am very aware of the strength of community within Rowland's Castle, Finchdean and Idsworth and while Horndean is nearby it does not 'feel' part of the community as it is more commercially orientated with an industrial estate, major supermarket and bigger population than the very rural Rowland's Castle parish. I object to the proposed formation of Horndean Kings et al

### Uploaded Documents:

None Uploaded

## East Hampshire District

### Personal Details:

**Name:** Alan Key

**E-mail:**

**Postcode:**

**Organisation Name:**

### Comment text:

Horndean and Rowlands Castle are two separate villages separated by about 5 miles consisting of farmland and woods including the large Havant Thicket. Their needs are independent and the councillors of the wards for these two villages have their own villagers requirements to support in EHDC meetings and decisions. Joining the councillors for these wards and reducing them will have detrimental affects on the support for these two communities which because of their very nature- Rowlands Castle is a countryside community where as Horndean is more urban with its industrial units. The parishes are independent in respect of the different communities requirements. Horndean is served by the Morrisons store where as Rowlands Castle villagers mostly use the larger shopping availability at Havant which is nearer to them. There is no real synergy between the two villages and their boundaries do not need to be altered.

### Uploaded Documents:

None Uploaded

Telephone [REDACTED]

email address: [REDACTED]

Review Officer (East Hampshire)  
Local Government Boundary Commission for England

4<sup>th</sup> March 2018

Dear Sir/Madam,

My wife and I came to live in Finchdean in 1977 and lived here ever since. Therefore Rowlands Castle has been our social centre. It is convenient to have a councillor which is local to us to whom we can contact whenever we have a query regarding our village. Being a part of Rowlands Castle makes this very natural and easy. For us to be combined with another area as we were at the last general election seems to diminish the value of our vote. We feel more “at home” as a part of Rowlands Castle.

We object strongly being part of the proposed new ward of Horndean Kings, Blendworth and Rowlands Castle. Even though 3 councillors would be provided.

Yours faithfully,

Godfrey and Estella Knight.

## East Hampshire District

### Personal Details:

**Name:** Jane List

**E-mail:** 

**Postcode:** 

**Organisation Name:**

### Comment text:

Comments relating to the proposed boundary changes for Horndean. One of the aims stated by the Boundary Commission is to even out the number of voters within wards with each Councillor representing approximately 2000 - 2500 electors. However, the proposed boundary changes in the Horndean district requires 3 Councillors for the Horndean Kings, Blendworth and Rowlands Castle ward with an electorate forecast of 7358. I consider that the communities within this large ward would be better served by having a single Councillor, familiar with and accountable to an identified smaller area, providing a single point of contact for residents. Horndean is a large village with a population of nearly 13000 and as such has very different local governing needs to Rowlands Castle which is a small rural village with just over 2000 residents and it's own unique identity. It is also a separate civil parish. In the proposed boundary changes part of the oldest region of Horndean and Blendworth parish, including the centre of the village with it's war memorial, shops and public houses and the old road from Portsmouth to London, (now the A3) would be aligned with Rowlands Castle. It would seem, therefore, that combining Horndean and Blendworth with Rowlands Castle does not fulfil the other aim of the Commission which is to "reflect the interests and identities of communities" for either village. There is little or no liaison between the villages for business, retail or leisure, each village having it's own facilities, and Councillors representing such diverse areas may well experience a conflict of interests especially if Councillors were all from one village. There is currently a well defined green space between Horndean and Rowlands Castle which acts as an identifiable boundary between the communities and although part of this land is destined to be developed with 700 new homes these houses will be part of Horndean village and the green space between the communities will still exist to provide this boundary. There is almost 4 miles between the villages.

### Uploaded Documents:

None Uploaded

## East Hampshire District

### Personal Details:

**Name:** Karin Luker

**E-mail:** 

**Postcode:** 

**Organisation Name:**

### Comment text:

The boundary commission states that "We do not consider we have yet received sufficient evidence of community identity to justify a separate Rowlands Castle ward with 15% more electors than the average for East Hampshire." We believe that the communities of Rowlands Castle and Horndean are very separate identities and separated by 5 miles and significant stretches of woodland. There is little synergy between the communities of Rowlands Castle or Horndean with minimal common retail, social or community activities. The two parishes keep themselves very much to themselves and it is more important to help them protect their unique identities than blend them together. I feel Horndean and Rowland's Castle should be able to remain as they are with no changes to the boundary lines.

### Uploaded Documents:

None Uploaded

## East Hampshire District

### Personal Details:

**Name:** ANTHONY MARTEN

**E-mail:** 

**Postcode:** 

**Organisation Name:** East Hampshire Conservative Association

### Comment text:

I am in agreement with the proposals submitted by East Hampshire District Council on 2nd March namely revised single ward boundaries as suggested by them. Alton has substantial housing developments planned and approved and these boundaries reflect those changes.

### Uploaded Documents:

None Uploaded

**East Hampshire District****Personal Details:****Name:** Barry McClure**E-mail:** **Postcode:** **Organisation Name:****Comment text:**

I believe that Blackmoor should remain within the Selborne ward due to its rural nature. With land across Selborne, Oakhanger and Blackmoor all part of the same "Estate" and many rural industries holding land which as a result of the proposed changes will span disconnected wards where one ward has the skills, knowledge and connections to deal with any issues whilst Whitehill has no such skills and knowledge due to its Urban nature. ANY change will cause issues and will result in Blackmoor being "swamped by its more dominant urban neighbour. I believe Selborne Parish council has suggested a pragmatic and sensible proposal which will move the housing in the couple of estates from Blackmoor to Whitehill (the estates are effectively in Whitehill) leaving the rural industries and farm land in the rural ward of Selborne. It feels as though those in charge are carving the area up without any due consideration of those living there. Please get up from your desks, visit the area and look at how your proposals will affect those living there. Its not a paper based exercise!

**Uploaded Documents:**

None Uploaded

## East Hampshire District

### Personal Details:

**Name:** Doug Moody

**E-mail:**

**Postcode:**

**Organisation Name:**

### Comment text:

I cannot believe that the proposed organisation/amalgamation of Horndean, Blendworth and Rowlands Castle is based on local feedback at all. The nature and character of Rowlands Castle and Blendworth is very different from Horndean. So both communities character and representation would be better served by having separate representatives. It appears to me that this split is based on trying to balance number of people associated with each area rather than the communities in each. Please revert to the previous proposal.

### Uploaded Documents:

None Uploaded



## East Hampshire District

### Personal Details:

**Name:** Yvonne Moreman

**E-mail:** [REDACTED]

**Postcode:** [REDACTED]

**Organisation Name:**

### Comment text:

I do not want Horndean to be tied in with Rowlands Castle or anywhere else as since I have lived here (for many years) I have seen Horndean go from a small community to the large one we have today. What are we going to gain if we are pushed in with another area. It sounds to me as if these alterations are being done just for the sake of some of those on the committee. Mrs Yvonne Moreman

### Uploaded Documents:

None Uploaded

## East Hampshire District

### Personal Details:

**Name:** Peter Morgan

**E-mail:** 

**Postcode:** 

**Organisation Name:**

### Comment text:

A councillor for Rowlands Castle would not be Horndean centric and vice versa. For instance our councillor has a clear idea of the problems in our local ward Blendworth and helps to keep in check owners who operate to push the planning and land use laws. With the prestige that is lavished on Rowlands Castle from lifestyle reviews it is not compatible with Horndean and Blendworth and would be hard to push through any agreeable policy decisions for all wards. Do the war memorials get moved?

### Uploaded Documents:

None Uploaded