

## **Starkie, Emily**

---

**From:** Louisson, Charles [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 28 February 2018 10:10  
**To:** Starkie, Emily  
**Subject:** East Hampshire District boundary review

Hello Emily,

I have recently had the opportunity to review some of the comments lodged in response to the draft recommendations and, while I understand that the consultation has closed, wanted to point out some of the misconceptions that have been stated concerning the potential integration of Hawkley and Colemore & Priors Dean into an extended ward also containing Ropley.

Many of the comments concern the differing planning regimes that will exist across the proposed ward. As the existing District Councillor for the Ropley and Tisted ward I would point out that this is the current situation, as Ropley is outside the SDNP and so has East Hampshire as its Local Planning Authority (LPA), while East Tisted, West Tisted and Newton Valence (the other parishes in the current Ropley & Tisted ward) are within the SDNP and have the SDNPA as their LPA. I already have to understand two different planning regimes and adding Hawkley and Colemore & Priors Dean would merely extend that position for the councillor for this proposed new ward.

Thanks and kind regards,

Charles Louisson,  
District Councillor for Ropley and Tisted ward, EHDC.