

Mayers, Mishka

From: Tim Capper [REDACTED]
Sent: 16 May 2018 15:00
To: Mayers, Mishka
Cc: Pat Graham; Andrew Clarke
Subject: Copeland Review
Attachments: CleatorMoorePC-PTC-Copeland-2018-02-08_Redacted.pdf

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Hi Mishka

This is to let you know the decisions of the Council at its meeting on 8 May on the Commission's Draft Recommendations for Copeland.

- 1 The Council agreed to support a combined **2 member ward for Gosforth and Seascale** (Wards 9 and 15 in the Draft Recommendations), for the following reasons:

Whilst in one sense Gosforth and Seascale are separate communities, their function and operation has been linked since Viking times as referenced by the recently constructed Viking Way cycle path which was built on the main historical connecting route between the communities.

Seascale as the larger settlement has always been the service hub providing the Health Centre, Pharmacy, Post Office and rail link for Gosforth, Wasdale, Ponsonby, Drigg and Carleton.

Gosforth in return provides the cemetery. That role continues to this day.

Gosforth and Seascale also work together on Tourism with Seascale providing the seaside amenities and Gosforth the gateway to the western lakes.

Merging the two Parishes to become one, two Member ward would be equally as acceptable to the communities who recognise the interrelationship between the villages and this approach is also supported by all the local Parish councils.

It would also avoid the need to redefine the complex funding arrangements provided to Drigg and Carleton Parish for hosting a national nuclear facility. Although this is not directly a matter for the review, at local level, this is a valid consideration.

- 2 The Council agreed to support a **3 Member ward for the whole of the civil parish of Cleator Moor, including Cleator**. The Cleator Moor members have submitted the attached as supporting evidence for this proposal. We are aware that this has already been submitted to the Commission at an earlier stage of the Review.

- 3 On ward names, it is suggested that Rosebank is not an appropriate name for this ward as, although it is a street name in the area, it is not a name commonly used to refer to this part of Whitehaven. "Sneckyeat" is suggested as a more suitable alternative, on the basis that the following, at least, exist in the area of the proposed ward:

- Sneckyeat Road
- Sneckyeat Industrial Estate
- Sneckyeat Grove
- Sneckyeat Court

- Sneckyeat Community Centre

4 At the invitation of the Commission in paragraph 49 of the Draft Recommendations, the Council is putting forward the following as additional information in support of the proposed Corkickle ward: “This ward is a mainly residential area to the south-east of the town centre which has the main road of Back Corkickle/Inkerman Terrace running through its heart. It contains the private Valley Park housing estate, the former public sector housing around Calder Avenue and Esk Avenue, and the conservation area containing Front and Back Corkickle, Inkerman Terrace and Foxhouses Road. It contains Corkickle railway station as a hub.”

I hope this is straightforward but please don't hesitate to get back to me if you need to.

Tim Capper
Boundary Review Project Officer



Copeland - the best place to live in Cumbria This email is confidential and is for the attention of the addressee only. Copeland Borough Council accept no responsibility for information, errors or omissions contained in it. We make every effort to keep our network free from viruses. You should independently check this e-mail and any attachments for viruses, as we can take no responsibility for any computer viruses that might be transferred by way of this e-mail.