

## Have your say

We are now consulting local people on a new pattern of wards for Kingston upon Thames Council. We have an open mind about our final recommendations, and we will consider every piece of evidence we receive from local groups and people, regardless of whom it is from or whether it relates to the whole council area or just a part of it.

If you agree with our recommendations, please let us know. If you don't think our recommendations are right for Kingston upon Thames we want to hear alternative proposals for a different pattern of wards.

# Kingston upon Thames Council

## Draft Recommendations on the new electoral arrangements

We aim to propose a pattern of wards for Kingston upon Thames Council which delivers:

- **Electoral equality:** each councillor represents a similar number of voters.
- **Community identity:** reflects the identity and interests of local communities.
- **Effective and convenient local government:** helping your council discharge its responsibilities effectively.

### A good pattern of wards should:

- Provide good electoral equality, with each councillor representing, as closely as possible, the same number of voters.
- Reflect community interests and identities and include evidence of community links.
- Be based on strong, easily identifiable boundaries.
- Help the council deliver effective and convenient local government.

### Electoral equality

- Does your proposal mean that councillors would represent roughly the same number of voters as elsewhere in the council area?

### Community identity

- **Transport links:** are there good links across your proposed ward? Is there any form of public transport?
- **Community groups:** is there a parish council, residents association or another group that represents the area?
- **Facilities:** does your pattern of wards reflect where local people go for shops, medical services, leisure facilities etc?

**Write to:**  
LGBCE c/o  
Innovation House  
Coniston Court  
Riverside Business Park  
Blyth  
NE24 4RP

- **Interests:** what issues bind the community together or separate it from other parts of your area?
- **Identifiable boundaries:** are there natural or constructed features which make strong boundaries for your proposals?

### Effective local government

- Are any of the proposed wards too large or small to be represented effectively?
- Are the proposed names of the wards appropriate?

### Useful tips

- Our website has a special consultation area where you can explore the maps and draw your own proposed boundaries. You can find it at [consultation.lgbce.org.uk](http://consultation.lgbce.org.uk).
- We publish all submissions we receive on our website. Go to: [www.lgbce.org.uk](http://www.lgbce.org.uk)

**Our consultation area:**  
[consultation.lgbce.org.uk](http://consultation.lgbce.org.uk)

**Email:**  
[reviews@lgbce.org.uk](mailto:reviews@lgbce.org.uk)

**Twitter:**  
[@LGBCE](https://twitter.com/LGBCE)



### Who we are

- The Local Government Boundary Commission for England is an independent body set up by Parliament.
- We are not part of government or any political party.
- We are accountable to Parliament through a committee of MPs chaired by the Speaker of the House of Commons.
- Our main role is to carry out electoral reviews of local authorities throughout England.

### Electoral review

An electoral review examines and proposes new electoral arrangements for a local authority, including:

- The total number of councillors representing the council's voters ('council size').
- The names, number and boundaries of wards
- The number of councillors for each ward.

### Why Kingston upon Thames?

- The Commission has a legal duty to carry out an electoral review of each council in England 'from time to time'.
- Kingston upon Thames Council has not been reviewed since 1999 and the Commission has therefore decided that it should review Kingston upon Thames in advance of the elections in 2022.
- We are seeking to improve levels of electoral equality for local voters.

### Our proposals

- We propose that the council should have **48 councillors** in future, representing 10 three-councillor wards and nine two-councillor wards across the council area.

**You have until 27 July 2020 to have your say on the recommendations**

## Summary of our recommendations

Our draft recommendations propose that Kingston upon Thames Council should have 48 councillors.

Those councillors should represent 10 three-councillor wards and nine two-councillor wards across the council area.

The boundaries of all wards should change.

Before drawing up the draft recommendations, the Commission carried out a public consultation inviting proposals for a new pattern of wards for Kingston upon Thames.

We have considered all of the submissions we received during that phase of consultation.

An outline of the proposals is shown in the map to the right. A detailed report on the recommendations and interactive mapping is available on our website at: [www.lgbce.org.uk](http://www.lgbce.org.uk).

We welcome comments on our draft recommendations, whether you support the proposals or wish to put forward alternative arrangements.

Have your say at [consultation.lgbce.org.uk](http://consultation.lgbce.org.uk):

- view the map of our recommendations down to street level.
- draw your own boundaries online.
- zoom into the areas that interest you most.
- find more guidance on how to have your say.
- read the full report of our recommendations.
- send us your views directly.

Stage of Review	Description
3 September 2019 - 18 December 2019	Public consultation on warding arrangements
3 March 2020 - 11 May 2020	Public consultation on draft recommendations - paused
16 June 2020 - 27 July 2020	Public consultation on draft recommendations - relaunched
4 August 2020	Publication of final recommendations
May 2022	Subject to parliamentary approval - implementation of new arrangements at local elections

# Overview of draft recommendations for Kingston upon Thames Council

View this map online and draw your own boundaries: [consultation.lgbce.org.uk](http://consultation.lgbce.org.uk)

Follow the review on Twitter: [@LGBCE](https://twitter.com/LGBCE)

