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Introduction

This is the second of a number of publications seeking consultees’ views on aspects of the Law Commission’s project to create a New Sentencing Code for England and Wales. The first consultation document explained our provisional proposals relating to transition to the New Sentencing Code, and was published on 1st Jul 2015 (http://www.lawcom.gov.uk/project/sentencing-procedure/).

We received a healthy crop of responses to that first consultation, which we are in the process of analysing. The general tenor of these responses is extremely supportive. We will summarise those responses alongside the publication of our next issues paper which we aim to publish in the spring of 2016. That paper will address a number of discrete policy issues which we believe may require resolution as part of our task to consolidate and rationalise the law of sentencing.

Unlike the first issues paper in July, or the upcoming issues paper in spring 2016, this document does not directly address questions of policy to inform our recommendations (and shape the draft bill). Rather, this document is intended to be a complete statement of the current primary legislation governing sentencing, with short extracts from some common law and other guidance (guidelines, practice directions etc.) where these are central to the law in a particular area.

Those with knowledge of the current law of sentencing will be unsurprised to learn that the document runs to in excess of a thousand pages. The material is presented thematically rather than in chronological statute order.

The purpose of the publication of this document at this stage, in addition to illustrating the problematic volume of the current law on this topic, is to receive consultees’ views on three key questions:

1. **Is the document comprehensive?** In other words, have we missed any statutory provisions, or are there entire areas, types of sentencing order etc. which are not reflected in the document but which consultees believe should properly fall within the remit of the New Sentencing Code?

2. **Is the document over-inclusive?** In other words, are there provisions included in the document that deal with an area of law that consultees consider should properly fall outside the scope of the New Sentencing Code?

3. **Are there errors in the document, for instance provisions which have been repealed or amended?**

Given the length of the document, it is not envisaged that many consultees will have time to engage in detail with it in its entirety. However, we encourage all with a particular interest in the law and practice of sentencing to, first, look over the index to the document (which is fully electronically navigable) and, second, to look in detail at any sections in which they have a particular interest or expertise with the above questions in mind. Readers should note that this is a statement of the present law – it is certainly not intended that the New Sentencing Code will be as complex or difficult to navigate!
When considering questions (1) and (2) in particular, we would ask consultees to bear in mind the following decisions which have been made about the scope of the project. As a starting point, the New Sentencing Code would ideally contain all of the primary legislative material with which a court might possibly be concerned during the sentencing process, in addition to as much legal guidance from other sources (common law, practice directions, statutory instruments etc.) as proves practical and desirable. This aim is subject to the following qualifications:

(1) We are not addressing the law on confiscation of the proceeds of crime. Whilst we recognise that this is closely related to issues of sentencing law, the sheer volume of the modern law of confiscation means it is not possible for us to include it within the New Sentencing Code. It may be possible for a future codification of confiscation law to take place. Further, given that in the vast majority of cases contested confiscation proceedings are adjourned to be dealt with on a separate occasion from the sentencing hearing, and a separate timetable is set for the confiscation proceedings, we believe that in practice it is possible without great difficulty to separate confiscation from sentencing. That is not to say the New Sentencing Code will contain no reference to confiscation whatsoever. It may do so where that would assist the sentencing court in keeping in mind all of its duties and powers at the sentencing hearing, perhaps by prompting sentencing courts to remember to address confiscation and set a timetable where relevant. However the main body of confiscation law will continue to rest, at least for now, in the Proceeds of Crime Act 2002, and related legislation.

(2) Our provisional view is that it is necessary to include some provisions dealing with the administration of sentences. For instance there are some sections dealing with release from custody which we have included in the document. This is to enable a sentencing court to understand the effect of the sentence it is seeking to impose, and assist it with its obligation to explain the effect of any sentence imposed to the offender. However, it is not our intention to provide a comprehensive source of guidance for those whose role is the administration of sentences handed down by the courts (prison authorities, probation trusts etc.). For instance, the Code would not necessarily assist a prison governor when considering the (often difficult) question of when to release a prisoner serving a sentence handed down under some previous sentencing regime no longer in force. Again, to include all relevant material would be an enormous task in itself, and would not be something we could include in this project with the resources available.

(3) At present we have included provisions and guidance relating to costs in criminal proceedings, as these would often be dealt with at the sentencing stage. We welcome consultees’ views on whether these have been properly included.

(4) However, at present, we have not included provisions relating to the administration or enforcement of financial penalties. This is because such provisions are not typically used at a sentencing hearing, since they relate to separate processes that are merely triggered by the imposition of a sentence, like confiscation (see above). We welcome consultees’ views on whether these have been properly excluded.
Limitations of this publication

We have endeavoured to collect together all of the law on sentencing currently in force, and to display it in an accurate and up to date manner. Some illustration of the difficulty in identifying the present law with accuracy is demonstrated by the fact that this document was created over several months with the assistance of an expert consultant, Lyndon Harris,\(^1\) with access to all the legal databases – legislation.gov.uk, LexisNexis, Westlaw, Lawtel and so on. For a lay person to discover the law would be practically impossible. However, given the sheer volume and complexity of the law in this area, the many different sources in which it is now contained and the frequency with which it is amended and added to, we acknowledge the likelihood that there will be some error or omission in our initial efforts.

It is in the nature of this publication, as a consultation document, that we recognise that it would benefit from the input and expertise of other legal professionals engaged in the theory and practice of sentencing. This document should therefore not be used as an authoritative statement of the current law, nor relied on in its current form in practice.

\(^1\) Our sincere thanks to Lyndon for his work on this project, and to the providers of those databases.
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