



HMP BUCKLEY HALL

Rochdale

Prison Rules 2010 (consolidated)
Paragraph 80

Annual Report to the Secretary of State for Justice

Year Ending: 30th June 2012

Date of Publication: 1st December 2012

INDEPENDENT MONITORING BOARD (IMB)

ANNUAL REPORT 2012

1. STATUTORY ROLE OF THE IMB

1.1 The Prisons Act 192 and the Immigration and Asylum Act 1999 require every prison to be monitored by an independent Board appointed by the Secretary of State for Justice and from members of the community in which the prison is situated.

1.2 The Board is specifically charged to:

1.2.1 satisfy itself as to the humane and just treatment of those held in custody within its prison and the range and adequacy of the programmes preparing them for release.

1.2.2 inform promptly the Secretary of State, or any official to whom he has delegated authority as it judges appropriate, any concern it has.

1.2.3 report annually to the Secretary of State on how well the prison has met the standards and requirements placed on it and what impact these have on those in its custody.

1.3 To enable the Board to carry out these duties effectively its members have right of access to every prisoner, every part of the prison and also to the prison's records.

CONTENTS

2. Description of the Prison

3. Executive Summary

4. Matters for the consideration of the Secretary of State

5. Matters for the consideration of the Chief Executive of the National Offender Management Service

6. Reports
 - 6.1 Equality & Inclusion
 - 6.2 Education, Learning & Skills
 - 6.3 Healthcare & Mental Health
 - 6.4 Purposeful Activity
 - 6.5 Resettlement
 - 6.6 Safer Custody
 - 6.7 Segregation, Care & Separation
 - 6.8 Residential Services
 - 6.9 Other issues

7. The Work of the Board

8. Appendix
 - 8.1 Applications to the Board: July 2010 – June 2011

2. DESCRIPTION OF THE PRISON

- 2.1 The prison is a Category C training prison for men. It is built on a steep site on the edge of the Pennines, near Rochdale. Most of the prisoners are from the north-west and the majority are serving long-term sentences; that is four years or more.
- 2.2 The prison opened in 1994 as one of four contracted prisons and was managed by Group 4 for a period of 5 years. In 2000, a ten year contract was put out for tender and won by the Prison Service. During this contract, the prison held Category C men, then Women and then Category C men again.
- 2.3 In 2011, the Prison Service was successful in its bid for the latest contract and the Board wishes to congratulate Management and prison staff on being awarded the new contract. The contract began in November 2011 and will run, initially, for the next seven years. Although the contract requires considerable changes to the Prison's regime - remaining within the public sector was the preferred choice of the majority of staff.
- 2.4 Buckley Hall is a Level 3 performing prison. In terms of the separate Performance Measures, it scores mainly levels three or four. Education & Training and Purposeful Activity score particularly well and there has been a significant improvement in the level of Staff absence compared to last year. Positive MDT results continue to be above target but there are strategies in place, aimed at both the demand and supply side, for a problem not confined to Buckley Hall.
- 2.5 Five services are sub-contracted:
- | | |
|---------------------|----------------------------------|
| Education | Manchester College |
| Catering | ESS Criminal Justice Division |
| Healthcare | Pennine Primary Care Trust |
| Visitors' Reception | Partners of Prisoners & Families |
| Library Service | Rochdale Council |
- 2.6 There are four Residential blocks with a certified normal capacity of 441 and an operational capacity of 445. Some 80% of prisoners are in single cells. The new block – with a capacity of 60 single cells, each with its own shower and toilet is fully open and enjoys a waiting list. The Chaplaincy moved to the new Multi-Faith building in October 2011.

3. Executive Summary

Winning the bid has meant far from a period of stability and ‘drawing breath’. In addition to the routine demands of running a busy prison, staff and management have had much to contend with. The bid proposals have had to be implemented, there has been a full HM Inspection, a Security Audit to be prepared for and the introduction of ‘Fair & Sustainable’ planned for.

Staff continue to experience a considerable process of change and it is commendable they respond to this in such a positive and constructive manner. The results from a recent staff survey showed the level of staff engagement at Buckley Hall was the highest in the North-West and, indeed, second highest in HMPS. Management are aware that staff morale and commitment are vital but fragile elements in achieving their vision for the prison.

The Board has some concerns about the adequacy of staffing levels – most evident during periods of annual leave and illness. On occasion, during the year, Management has had to take the exceptional action of making temporary cut backs to the period of Prisoner Association because of staffing issues.

The Governor and Management team have made a considerable effort to involve staff in the changes required to implement the new contract through exercises such as ‘Listen to Improve’ and the ‘People Plan’. Overall, there has been a conscious effort to improve the quality of their communications with staff.

Taking the ‘Four Tests of a Healthy Prison’

“All Prisoners feel safe”

The arrangements for Safer Prisons generally work well and suitable procedures and protocols are in place. The majority of prisoners feel safe and believe staff are approachable if there is an issue.

ACCT documents are treated with importance and procedures followed carefully. A more systematic, robust and visible approach to potential incidents of bullying, has been introduced. In the opinion of the Board, staff have a good knowledge of their vulnerable prisoners and discharge their duty of care to them - within the constraints they face - compassionately and, on occasion, imaginatively.

“Prisoners are treated with respect as individuals”

The prison prides itself on the relationship staff have with prisoners. The majority of Prisoners are positive in their views of how they are treated by prison staff at all levels although some BME prisoners express a negative perception of their experience at Buckley Hall. However, the Board cannot find evidence to support this view and neither does it appear to be endorsed by the objective data. Nevertheless, Management remains cognisant of this negative perception and the Equalities staff are tasked to monitor, analyse and report on the relevant SMART data.

“Prisoners are fully and purposefully occupied and expected to improve themselves”

Rates of unemployment have been reduced from last year as new Workshops have come on stream. The quality, provision, take-up and completion rates of Education Courses are good.

“Prisoners can strengthen links with their families and prepare themselves for release”

The Board’s monitoring of visitors suggests that their experience of Visits is efficient, friendly and positive. The initial problems which occurred when the new Visiting Order system was introduced during the year, were soon dealt with and prisoner complaints about the procedure are now rarely heard.

Greater emphasis is now being placed on resettlement generally and new structures have been put in place to achieve it. As part of this, the intention is that the Security Department should work in a more integrated manner with other Departments such as the Offender Management Unit. The number of employment ROTL

opportunities with local employers and community organisations is being actively increased. However, a number of prisoners have complained to the Board about their lack of success when applying for a ROTL specifically for them to 'maintain family ties'.

In the judgment of the Board, the prison performs well on each of the four criteria and, at the same time, represents excellent value for money. The Board would like to congratulate Management and Staff on their recent HMI Report in which the Prison scored Level 3 for Safety, Respect and Resettlement and Level 4 for Purposeful Activity.

There has been a sharp increase in the number of completed accredited Offender Behaviour programmes required. This key area in delivering the new contract represents an exacting target for the Prison. The Board will monitor whether the pursuit of the target impacts adversely on other areas of the prison.

At a recent full staff meeting, Officers were told that the Prison was "on a journey" and it is clear that, under the Governor and Senior Management, it is, indeed, in a period of transition. Nevertheless, in the judgement of the Board, good progress has been made during the year on each of the four 'Tests of a Good Prison'.

The Board wishes to place on record its appreciation of the continued patience, courtesy and cooperation shown to its members by all grades of staff. The Board is grateful that the Senior Management of the prison are so approachable and willing to engage positively with the Board on any issue.

4. Matters for the consideration of the Secretary of State
The legal requirement to refer all finds of mobile phones to the Police and CPS is leading to a backlog of cases in the Adjudication process.

5. Matters for the consideration of the Chief Executive of the National Offender Management Service
None

6. REPORTS

Equality & Inclusion

Issues of diversity are taken seriously by staff and a positive attitude towards it exists. A Board Member attends as an observer at the meetings of the Diversity, Race, Equality Action Team. These meetings offer the opportunity for all to contribute and Prisoner representation from each residential unit occurs at each meeting. The group had been meeting monthly, up until this year. However, a combination of management, personnel and organizational changes appears to have disrupted the timetable and frequency of the meeting this year.

The intention is to replace these monthly meeting with one held every three months and also to combine it with the Safer Custody Meeting. It is to be hoped that the new arrangements will not diminish the priority given to Diversity and Race Equality. The new Equalities Manager will also be responsible for Safer Custody and there is the possibility that the latter may impose more pressing and immediate demands on their time. Given the dual nature of the role, the Board considers it important that the Manager has sufficient staff within the team, to whom tasks can be delegated.

Members of the newly appointed team are undoubtedly committed to their work and enthusiastic. They are keen to develop the role of the Equality Reps and to establish Prisoner Forums which reflect and articulate the needs of specific groups. Work has begun on establishing a Forum for prisoners over the age of 50 and identifying issues specific to this particular group. The initial reaction of the prisoners to this forum has been very positive.

Some BME prisoners express a negative perception of their experience at Buckley Hall. However, the Board cannot find evidence to support this view and neither does it appear to be endorsed by the objective data. Nevertheless, Management are cognisant of this negative perception and the Equalities staff are tasked to monitor, analyse and report regularly to them on the relevant SMART data.

The needs of those of a religious persuasion are well met. For example, the commitment of Management and Staff ensured that Muslim prisoners were able to observe the period of Ramadan and celebrate the Festival of Eid. Christians are equally well catered for by the Chaplaincy Team and the major religious festivals receive due attention. In addition, efforts are made to meet the religious needs of prisoners who belong to less mainstream denominations. The Chaplaincy Team organise a 'Black History' month and members of the Travelling Community meet intermittently with one of the team. The Chaplain and his team make a very positive contribution to the life of the Prison and are well-regarded by both staff and prisoners.

Education, Learning & Skills

The Education Unit has expanded its provision, in part to cater for the increased number of prisoners at Buckley Hall. Additional staff have been appointed, new courses established, additional classrooms opened and IT provision expanded.

The range of educational provision is good. On average, some 95% of education places are filled. Attendance and retention rates are high. This will become increasingly important in order to secure the new funding streams and there may be a growing reluctance on the part of the Education Department to lose prisoners to other areas of the prison before a course has been completed. The board will monitor over the coming year whether or not this becomes an issue within the Prison

The Education Department's proposed Horticulture Course has taken rather a long time to begin and for its new classroom and facilities to be in place. It is still not entirely clear when the course does start operating, whether

or not it is to exist separately and alongside the work of the Gardens Department – run by the Prison - or managed as a joint activity.

Literacy and numeracy provision is effective and tailored to individual needs. A member of the British Dyslexia Association visits the prison, Toe2Toe is well established and organized and there exists an appropriate range of reading material for those who need help. Outreach and one-to-one work is offered to prisoners needing support or lacking basic literacy and numeracy skills.

The use made by prisoners of the Library is improving and there are new initiatives to increase use of the Library and its resources. A recent 'Meet the Author' event proved popular and the intention is to establish Reading and Creative Writing Groups, which meet in the Library. However, the movement of prisoners from the Wings to events like these has been an issue and it would be unfortunate if this hampered their success.

Gym staff offer a number of certificated courses, which are popular. The physical environment in which they deliver the more theoretical aspects of courses is much improved with the addition of a dedicated classroom. There has been an increase in the space available for Gym facilities, more cardio-vascular machines have been increased and a restriction on the number of weights sessions available to each prisoner is proposed. The Gym facilities are extremely popular with many prisoners and rumours about proposed cutbacks to gym availability can cause considerable unrest and agitation among them.

Healthcare & Mental Health

Prisoners receive a good provision for their medical needs. The Healthcare Department is efficient and forward thinking. All new prisoners are screened by Healthcare and there are programmes aimed at the 'Older Prisoner' and 'Well Man'. Gym staff provide input through their contribution to healthy lifestyle courses and a Health Trainer programme was well-received by prisoners when it was delivered in December.

The overall standard of healthcare is good although the length of time prisoners are required to wait for non-emergency dental treatment remains an issue. The absence of a permanent doctor during part of the year and consequent reliance on the use of locums did lead to delays for prisoners in receiving an appointment.

There are some concerns over the relatively large number of Prisoners at times, in the Healthcare Waiting Room prior to their Appointments and who are not subject to supervision by Prison Officers. The result can be a somewhat querulous environment and lengthy waiting times for less assertive Prisoners. The proposed initiative to increase the amount of in-possession medication should help to reduce the numbers who have to attend Healthcare and improve the current situation for both staff and prisoners.

A patient representative group meets bi-monthly and PALS provides a vehicle for prisoner complaints about healthcare. Overall, prisoners are satisfied with their access to medical treatment and the quality of care they receive.

The Mental Health staff provide a good level of service and have a very positive relationship with the prisoners. When appropriate, Counsellors are made available through the prison chaplaincy e.g. for bereavement. It had been hoped that the Lantern Project could be restarted, through the Chaplaincy, but the attempts to do so appear to have stalled.

Purposeful Activity

The Bid has led to some considerable changes in terms of the Workshops. It is disappointing to report that the proposed Call Centre did not come to fruition because the outside contractor went into liquidation. However, on a positive note, the new UPVC recycling Workshop has been a great success in terms of generating revenue,

providing daily ROTL's at the company's headquarters and offering the real prospect, for some, of employment in the industry on release. As with any new scheme it has faced some teething problems – primarily connected with prisoners being required to take a shorter lunch-break and remaining within the Workshop to do so. However, their longer working hours are reflected in a pay differential and the Board is satisfied that the food and dining arrangements provided are perfectly adequate.

In a number of Workshops, the Instructors are taking an interest in generating revenue for the Prison from their activities. The Board supports these efforts and hopes that any resources or support needed will be provided for such initiatives.

Concern was expressed by some Board Members as to whether prisoners who are taking methadone, as part of the IDTS programme, are safe in those Workshops where cutting equipment and power tools are in use. The Board has been reassured by Management that the continued employment of such prisoners in these workshops does not conflict with current Health & Safety legislation.

The Pay Structure for Prisoners has been altered and prisoners pay now varies with their area of employment, rather than IEP status. The introduction of the new system was well managed and led to fewer complaints from prisoners than might have been anticipated, given its significance.

All job vacancies are advertised on the Wings. The allocation of prisoners to activities is carried out efficiently by a weekly Labour Board and there are close and effective links with the staff in OMU. The Labour Board's membership is drawn from across the prison but does not always appear to draw a full complement of members. Some of the Workshop Instructors believe it would be beneficial if they were allowed more control over the recruitment of prisoners to their work area and that it would improve retention rates and efficiency levels.

The number of unemployed prisoners is lower than last year due to the expansion of Education and Workshop provision. For example, taking one week in 2011, the unemployment rate was 17% while the comparable figure in 2012 was 7%.

Overall, the Board considers the range of vocational training on offer to be generally good, the quality of instruction sound and with meaningful certification. However, some of the Workshops are extremely popular and experience seemingly unavoidably long waiting lists. The time taken to obtain security clearance can lead to delays before new prisoners can begin employment or education and in some of the workshops there is still noticeable underemployment of Prisoners.

Resettlement

The prison enjoys good links with the resettlement agencies in the community and is actively seeking to develop more ROTL opportunities for prisoners with local employers and organisations.

A member of 'Achieve' provide a weekly 'Job Club' for those close to release. Prisoner can prepare CV's and access current job vacancies advertised by JobCentre Plus through the Virtual Campus.

Shelter' now has the contract for accommodation and debt issues within the Prison and have oversight of the work of the Housing Orderlies. The staff employed have made an energetic start to their work in the prison.

A 'Job-Fair' was organized in October which proved popular with prisoners and drew favourable responses from the outside participants. 'Get out, Stay out' is a successful new initiative which takes place once a month and is open to prisoners close to release. The prisoners who attend have access to representatives from a wide

range of external service providers such as JobCentre Plus, Shelter, Health Trainers, Manchester College and others.

POPS provide a good level of service in the Visitor's Reception and with the catering facilities in the Visits Hall. The Visits Hall is a valuable resource. In the judgement of the Board, relatives and prisoners are satisfied with the arrangements for Visits. However, a survey of Relatives, to ascertain their views of the visit experience would be helpful in confirming that this was indeed the case.

Each prisoner now has his own Offender Supervisor. Once developed, the new structure has the potential to be of considerable benefit to prisoners. However, as yet, some prisoners have had relatively limited contact with their new Offender Supervisor and do not have full confidence that the new system will help their cause.

Story Book Dads remains popular with prisoners and there is always a waiting list of prisoners who wish to be involved. Family Days are arranged occasionally and are very popular with the prisoners involved.

Safer Custody

The Safer Custody committee meets monthly and part one of the meeting is attended by prisoner representatives. The intention is that this meeting will become three monthly and combined with the DREAT meeting. The Safer Custody committee has been replaced by a weekly Safeguarding Meeting attended by representatives drawn from across the prison. Public protection, safer custody and complex prisoners are discussed in detail and the multi-disciplinary nature of those present adds considerably to its value.

Prison Listeners are available who have been trained by the Samaritans. The arrangements for this are monitored carefully and the procedures are good. A Safer Custody cell now exists on one of the Wings, for the use of Listeners.

Prisoners on an ACCT document are well cared for and procedures followed. Staff are good at identifying and knowing who the vulnerable prisoners are and there is much informal activity designed to safeguard their interests. Good relationships exist between the staff and such prisoners and it is clear the staff have their interests at heart.

The Tackling Anti-social Behaviour scheme – intended to reduce the level of bullying by prisoners has been replaced by a more robust approach. A new post of Violence Reduction Officer has been created and the Officer's brief is to interview the alleged perpetrator and victim of any potential incidents of bullying. In addition, Prisoners who wish to go to CSU on Own Interest are now interviewed before being allowed to do so. The reports are then posted on the prisoner's P-Nomis file and are, thus, more widely available throughout the Prison. This new approach is considered an improvement by the Board although it is important staff remain diligent in forwarding suspected cases to the Violence Reduction Officer, for investigation.

A confidential telephone helpline number is available on each Wing for prisoners to report their concerns and the Prison's approach to bullying is emphasised at Induction and in the Prisoners' Handbook

The role of Safer Custody Manager and Equalities Manager is being combined at the prison. If the new Manager is to be able to give equal priority to both strands of the post, it will be important there are sufficient staff available to delegate tasks to.

When asked, prisoners, in general, have a positive view of the prison, feel safe and feel that they could approach a member of staff over a problem. There are relatively few violent incidents and thorough investigations of any which do occur. However, an up-to-date exit survey, suggested in last year's Annual Report, would help clarify their overall experience and view of the regime.

Care & Separation

This is a small unit of 12 cells staffed by experienced officers. Board members continue to be impressed by the professionalism of the staff and their ability to manage the most demanding of prisoners with patience and good-humour.

The Duty Governor, Chaplain and Health Care each do a daily round of the CSU and CSU staff routinely inform the IMB of any moves to the Unit. Board Members attend Reviews on a regular basis and a member of CPN is always present. A member of the Board attends the quarterly SMARG meeting as an observer. The meeting does not always draw a full complement of those eligible to attend but this is being addressed.

The facilities for prisoners in CSU are clean but the area would benefit from some internal repainting and the library stock being changed more frequently.

There have been a number of new initiatives which the Board commend. The new CSU Policy Handbook is welcomed. As a result of it, Prisoners are now allowed access to the gym, some have the opportunity of paid in-cell work, are allowed a radio and out of cells to collect meals.

Some Prisoners held in CSU on 'Own Interest' have complained to the Board that they should not be subject to the same regime as prisoners held there because of 'Good Order & Discipline'. The new CSU policy does allow prisoners on Own Interest to have joint outdoor exercise. However, the Board has not yet seen evidence that this has been implemented.

Some prisoners still spend longer in CSU than the Board consider desirable. However, this is often due to delays attributable to the other prisons involved in their potential transfer.

Residential Services

Core Day

In October, the new Core Day was introduced. Management listened to concerns and fine-tuned the daily timetable in response to the pinch points – principally in the early evening. Adjustments were made and there has been an increase in the time allowed for evening association. Overall the new timetable is embedded and accepted by both staff and prisoners.

Most cells appear clean and prisoners have good access to cleaning materials. En-suite facilities on D wing are highly valued. However, there have been some complaints to the board during the year about the shower facilities on other Wings not always being fully operational.

The Prisoner Consultative Committee meets regularly with management but some prisoners question its value and effectiveness. The proposal to replace it with an elected Prisoner Council will be an interesting development.

Catering & Kitchens

Meals provided for prisoners are of a high standard, given the financial constraints that exist. There is a good choice on the menu and those with special diets are well catered for. However, there is the occasional complaint to the Board from prisoners about the lack of food available when they are late back from the gym.

A particular effort is made by Catering Staff to celebrate the various Religious and National festivals during the year. The Catering Manager has regular meetings with Prisoners and catering staff are prepared to adapt and respond to requests when it is feasible.

The electronic kiosk system on the Wings for ordering meals is now well-established and the vast majority of prisoners appear to be comfortable in its use. However, from an ordering point of view, the new system is not yet able to cope with those prisoners who take their lunch in the Workshop and do not return to the Wings for their meal. The result is an over-ordering of meals and this could well become an issue as and when more prisoners start to take their lunch in the Workshops.

Other Issues

Prisoners' Induction

The Induction Handbook for new prisoners has been rewritten and is a considerable improvement. Overall, the Induction programme is more thorough and systematic. Conducting the Induction in a classroom rather than on the Wing also now ensures a much more positive experience. However, the large number of prisoners that can be involved at any one time is not ideal. Moreover the general style of delivery is rather too didactic and, in consequence, the involvement of the prisoners in the process passive.

A specific protocol for the 'First Night' Prisoners on the Induction Wing has been published. The first night procedures are good for new prisoners although they can be subject to somewhat lengthy periods spent locked in cells.

Prisoner Complaints

The first six months of 2012 saw a significant reduction in the number of Prisoner Complaints compared to the same period in 2011. The Governor's 'surgery' conducted once a week on each Wing in turn, has been a successful initiative. Over 90% of Complaints are responded to within the specified deadline. Complaints are categorized monthly and discussed by Management. A level of Quality Assurance is carried out on the replies sent to Prisoners.

During the year, the Board received a number of Complaints involving cash which it was claimed had been sent into the prison by relatives but had then gone missing. The Management's response has been to discourage cash being sent into the prison but not to ban family and friends from doing so.

One of the major complaints to the IMB concerns the time it takes for Cat D Applications to be processed. Some Prisoners contend that during periods of staff absence, the Officers and Administrative staff who are in work give priority to tasks which have a bearing on the Prison's Performance Targets and these take precedence over the preparation of Cat D Reviews.

The Board continue to receive a number of complaints in relation to the missing property of prisoners who have been transferred to Buckley Hall.

Adjudications

The Board note the delays caused to the Adjudication process by the large number of prisoners who exercise their right to ask for an adjournment in order to seek legal advice. As a consequence any subsequent punishment can become disassociated from the prisoner's offending behavior.

The legal requirement that offences involving a mobile phone now must be referred to the Police and CPS is creating a significant backlog of such cases.

Drugs

High MDT figures and Drug availability remains a concern for the Prison. On the supply-side, the presence of the North West Dog Team on the Wings and at the Visits Hall is regarded as a deterrent to both visitors and prisoners. A number of Board Members and Prison Staff would welcome being able to draw on the services of the Team even more than at present.

In terms of influencing the demand-side the Prison is engaged in a number of initiatives such as 'Intuitive Recovery', RAMP and the one-to-one work of the CARATS team. 'Holding Families' is a pilot project which takes a holistic view of the prisoner with a substance abuse problem and alongside the work with them in prison, run appropriate family interventions by external outreach workers. The challenge for those involved in these approaches is to win the 'hearts and minds' of other prison staff in pursuing what is being espoused as a more participatory, long-term and prisoner-centred approach to the problem.

Offender Behaviour Programmes.

The Programmes Department provides a growing number of interventions for prisoners. The staff providing TSP are to be congratulated on the effectiveness of their delivery as evidenced by their score of 96% in a recent audit. The new Alcohol Related Violence course has been well-received and is now on to its second programme. Plans are in hand to offer 'Anger Management and Restorative Justice programmes. Sure Start provides a number of short courses throughout the year related to 'Play & Learn' and there has been a 'Focus on Resettlement' course.

Securing the bid for the Prison required a sharp increase in the number of completed accredited Offender Behaviour programmes and is an exacting but crucial target for the Prison to achieve. The Board will need to monitor whether pursuance of the target impacts on other areas of the prison.

The Work of the Board

Membership

The Board has an allocation of 14 members but by the year end was operating on 8 members. During the year, one member resigned and two new members were appointed. Given the restricted numbers on the Board, its Members are commended for giving a considerable amount of time and commitment, in order that the Board continues to fulfill its role at Buckley Hall.

Organisation of the Board

Two members are on rota duty each week and, between them, deal with all the Applications, Reviews and Rota Visits for that week. There are additional visits by other members to assist them with Applications and Reviews. If members cannot attend a Review, they ensure the prisoner is seen as soon as possible thereafter and speak to the staff involved.

Recommended Complement	14
Members at start of period	9
Members at end	8
New Members	2
Board Meetings	12
Average Attendance	7
Rota Visits	194
Special Responsibilities Visits	62
Prisoner Applications Visits	88
Prisoner Inductions	24
Care & Separation Reviews	94
Adjudications	43
Vice Chairman	14
Chairman	98
TOTAL VISITS	617

APPENDIX

9.1 Applications to the Board July 2011 – June 2012

Subject	Total
Accommodation	1
Adjudications	3
Diversity related	1
Education / Employment / Training	7
Family / Visits	4
Food / Kitchens	3
Health	17
Property in Buckley Hall	5
Property transferred to Buckley Hall	24
Sentence Related	40
Staff / Prisoner	4
Transfers	15
Miscellaneous	28
TOTAL	152