

# Shoreditch Park Improvement Project

## Phase Two Consultation Report

Report Date: December 2020

**Report author:**

Emma Winch

*Senior Consultation Officer*

**Contact**

Hackney Consultation Team  
on 020 8356 3343 or  
[consultation@Hackney.gov.uk](mailto:consultation@Hackney.gov.uk)



# **Shoreditch Park Improvement Project Phase Two**

## **Consultation Report**

### **Background**

The **Shoreditch Park Improvement Project** commenced in August 2019 and was due to be completed in Spring 2021 in line with the Britannia Leisure Centre Project. Due to Covid restrictions we are now working to a revised timescale, improvement works are scheduled to commence in Summer 2021.

Shoreditch Park is one of the Borough's largest parks at 7.1 hectares and serves the south of Hackney. It's already well used, offers a wide variety of facilities (including an Adventure Playground) and holds a Green Flag award - an award given annually to the best parks in the country.

Development of the Gainsborough Building and the beginning of the construction of the Colville Estate has influenced the context and use of the Park in recent years, along with wider changes and the growing population in the south of the Borough.

The proposed development immediately adjacent to the east and north east of the Park to build a new secondary school, leisure centre and residential buildings on the area currently occupied by the existing Britannia Leisure Centre and hard courts will increase the intensity of use of the Park.

As such, at its meeting in July 2018, the Britannia Development Board approved the principle of allocating a budget of £2m to deliver a number of improvements to the **Park to address the impact of future developments**. It was noted that these improvements were likely to focus on the following areas (but ultimately would be subject to public consultation):

- Gateways into the Park
- Green infrastructure
- Park furniture and finishes
- Play facilities
- Playing pitches

In addition, it was noted that any improvements to the Park would need to identify a suitable site for the Javelin Man statue (previously located in front of Britannia Leisure Centre), and deliver wider corporate priorities such as the provision of a drinking water fountain(s) and accessible toilets and a cafe would be provided in the new Britannia Leisure Centre.

The approach to identify what improvements should be made to Shoreditch Park were community focused – with the purpose of delivering a Park that works for the local community (old and new) and attempts to repair some of the relationships that have been affected by the wider Britannia Development project.

## **Consultation and Engagement**

### **Phase One Consultation**

During October 2019 over 1,600 local people and park users took part in a blank page consultation to improve Shoreditch Park. As detailed in the [Phase One Consultation Report](#), during the initial consultation local people told us what to prioritise, the changes they'd like to see, how to protect the things they value and love about the Park, and how to create a Park that works for the local community. We listened to what people told us and their ideas and feedback helped to guide and shape our [design proposals](#) for Shoreditch Park.

### **Phase Two Consultation**

Originally planned to take place in May 2020, the Phase Two consultation was delayed by the COVID-19 pandemic and took place in October 2020. Despite lockdown being lifted during this period we still faced significant obstacles in reaching and hearing from the same numbers of local residents we had reached during Phase One. The consultation plan included many of the same methods used during the first phase, including banners on Park railings, posters in shops and local venues and posted surveys. However, many of the face-to-face consultations in community centres and schools were moved to virtual meetings. Initially we received positive responses from schools, colleges and youth centres about organising virtual sessions, but mid-way through the consultation, London went into Tier 2 and then full lockdown, with many staff being required to work from home, community centres closed, school classes only able to work in bubbles, colleges operating remote learning and all face-to-face consultations suspended, so many of these planned sessions could not take place.

Residents were provided with the opportunity to have their say through the following ways:

- Online questionnaire hosted by Commonplace
- Paper questionnaire and freepost return envelope posted to 6,000 local residents
- Virtual focus groups to discuss key themes
- Social media advertising

Fortunately, before full lockdown, we managed to conduct virtual sessions with a local primary school and college, tailoring sessions to enable remote students to take part and supporting a primary school council to develop consultations with other children that would enable them to reach and hear from the entire school and gain their feedback about the Park designs, whilst adhering to social distancing.

These sessions have meant we have heard from the same proportion of children and young people during the second stage consultation.

## Executive Summary

### How did people respond...

<b>Online</b> <i>Stage One fig. for comparison (307)</i>	160
<b>Posted</b> <i>Stage One fig. for comparison (177)</i>	139
<b>Online focus groups and workshops</b> <i>Stage One fig. for comparison (1,116)</i>	132
<b>Total Respondents</b>	<b>425</b>

### Who responded...

- **104 children aged 5-11 years old** from Shoreditch Park Primary and **25 young people aged 16-19** from ELAAT College took part in **4 online focus groups**
- **129** respondents were children and young people
- **376** of online respondents described their connection to the Park as **living nearby**

### What they told us...

- **331** respondents provided comments about what they **liked** about the designs
- **239** respondents provided comments about what they **disliked** about the designs
- **407** respondents suggested **improvements, suggestions and further comments**
- **76%** of online and survey respondents **liked** or **absolutely loved** the designs
- **100%** of focus group participants absolutely loved the designs
- Most respondents provided positive feedback about the **wildlife, nature and biodiversity measures** (244) followed by **sports areas and facilities** (169) and **play area improvements** (94) and **improvements to boundaries and entrances** (50)
- Most respondents provided negative feedback about the **removal of boundary fences** (34) **dogs** (21) **lack of cricket nets** (27)
- Most respondents provided suggestions and improvements relating to having an even greater emphasis on **environmental sustainability, wildlife, nature and biodiversity** (65) the **need for cricket nets** (61) **safety and security measures**


(46) and **signage to improve access and to encourage responsible and respectful park use** (23)

**General Feedback on the Designs**

<b>What do you like / dislike about the designs?</b>	
We love it!	136
Nothing I dislike	48
It's great, no improvements	39
I dislike everything / There's nothing I like	8
<b>Have we created a park for the whole community to enjoy?</b>	
It's a balanced design that works for the whole community	18

**What is your first impression of the proposed design for Shoreditch Park?**

Base 293



**Phase One and Phase Two Consultation feedback for comparison:**

	Phase One Consultation	Phase Two Consultation
I like the Park	57.5%	75.8%
I neither like or dislike the Park	27.5%	13.8%
I dislike the Park	15%	10.4%

**Most common likes about the design proposals:**

Base 324

Responses	No.
Increased trees, plants and hedgerows	76
Increased wildlife habitats and nature	64
MUGA	51
Improvements to play area	52
Improvements to boundaries and entrances	50
Increased biodiversity	40
Wildflower meadow and relaxed grass	42
Outdoor gym	40
Cafe	39
Increased benches and socialising spaces	34
Running track	27
Improvements to grass	25
Opening up boundaries - generic support for the idea	24
Sandpits	23

## Most common dislikes about the design proposals:

Base 235

Responses	No.
Lack of cricket nets	27
Opening up and moving of boundaries - generic dislike (with specific comments about specific boundaries - see detail in report)	26
Not enough emphasis on environmental sustainability, wildlife, nature, biodiversity	24
We should be improving rather than replacing	10
Lack of dog area	9
Don't like the sports hub	9

## Most common suggestions to improve the design proposals

Base 402

Responses	No.
More emphasis on environmental sustainability, wildlife, nature and biodiversity	65
The need for cricket nets/facilities	61
Suggestions about safety and security - Lighting to make the park safer to use in the evening (15), CCTV (6)	46
Signage suggestions for access and to encourage responsible and respectful park use (dogs, cycling, litter)	23
Suggested measures to slow down cyclists should go further	18
Request for a dog area	13

## Feedback From the Consultation

### Socialising and Activation

Responses	No.
I like the increased amounts of benches and socialising spaces	34
I'd like to see picnic tables, a BBQ area and covered area for parties	13
I'd like to attend more events and activities in the Park	6
I'd like fewer events and for events to be managed well to control litter, ASB and noise	5

**Likes:** The majority of people like the cafe and toilets, the increase in benches and social spaces, many commenting on how great this would be great for teenagers, families, friends and older people. There were also comments about the new design of the Park feeling more welcoming for teenagers and young people. There were comments about ensuring we included places to relax and enjoy the peace and quiet, particularly for older people.

**Concerns/dislikes:** Among the concerns about the activation of the Park were residents saying they didn't want the Park to be used to hold large events, or for us to put in more furniture and facilities that clutter up the Park. Some told us the Park was great as it was.

**Suggestions/Improvements:** A few people told us the Park didn't look interesting enough with many suggesting the need for more social spaces and benches, picnic tables and a BBQ area, and some hoped for more things to do in the Park in the future including outdoor cinema, walking programmes and community events to bring residents around the Park together to integrate more.

**Conflicts:** Equal numbers of people wanted the Park to be 'more activated' and 'more interesting' as wanted the Park to be 'less activated' and 'less cluttered', which shows the varying needs of the communities that live around the Park.

### Sports and Fitness

Responses	No.
I don't like the lack of cricket nets / I would like to see cricket nets/facilities	61

I like the MUGA	51
I like the outdoor gym equipment	40
I like the sports hub and the range of sports facilities	37
I like the running track	27

**Likes:** People loved the sports hub, particularly the MUGA, running track and outdoor gym with generic positive comments about improved sports and fitness facilities. There was also support for retaining table tennis, moving the volleyball court, moving the adult sports out of the children’s play area, and significant support for basketball facilities. Across the consultation there was huge support for the new sports hub and college students and parents told us they were pleased to see more for young people to do in the Park.

**Concerns/dislikes:** Some expressed dislike about not having tennis courts included, so we need to ensure people are aware that tennis courts will be provided in the new leisure centre. Some were also disappointed to not see skating facilities. A small number dislike the sports hub (5).

**Suggestions/Improvements:** During this phase of the consultation there were a significant number comments about cricket nets and facilities not being provided (27). Residents made useful suggestions about the basketball court and the standards and features they would like to see. People also made suggestions about the surface of the running track and also asked for distance markers and lighting so they could run in the evening. There were also suggestions about the types of gym equipment people would like to see including pull up bars and static equipment, but also cycling machines and movable equipment for older people.

### Postcode Analysis - Sports

	Postcodes - Phase One Consultation	Action taken	Postcodes - Phase Two Consultation	Action to be taken
<b>Cricket</b>	Blank x 2	None, no significant local demand	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Blank x 22</li> <li>● Wider Hackney x 15</li> <li>● Outside Hackney x 7</li> <li>● N1 x 8</li> </ul>	None - no local postcode requests during Phase One. Significantly higher amount of requests during Phase Two, but only 15% of those requests came from a local postcode

<b>Skate-boarding</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Blank x 2</li> <li>● Wider Hackney x 1</li> <li>● N1 x 1</li> </ul>	None, no significant local demand	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Wider Hackney x 2</li> <li>● N1 x 3</li> </ul>	No space for new skate facilities. Skateable furniture discussed as an alternative at Development Board but rejected over conflict of users
<b>Tennis</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Blank x 4</li> <li>● N1 x 10</li> </ul>	Significant local demand, but no action taken - tennis courts provided in new leisure centre	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Blank x 2</li> <li>● Wider Hackney x 2</li> <li>● N1 x 5</li> </ul>	Tennis Courts to be provided in new Britannia Leisure Centre
<b>Beach Volleyball</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Blank x 4</li> <li>● N1 x 6</li> <li>● Proposal for two courts</li> </ul>	Relocation and improvement	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Outside Hackney x 1</li> <li>● N1 x 3</li> </ul>	We agree these facilities should be protected, but can't justify expansion due to competing needs of so many local people
<b>Basketball II</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Blank x 2</li> <li>● Outside Hackney x 2</li> <li>● N1 x 4</li> </ul>	Basketball Court provided in design proposals as part of MUGA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Blank x 1</li> <li>● Wider Hackney x 1</li> <li>● N1 x 6</li> </ul>	Will be provided in MUGA
<b>BMX</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Wider Hackney x 1</li> <li>● N1 x 1</li> <li>● Proposal</li> </ul>	None, no significant local demand	None	None

## Play and Discovery

Responses	No.
I like the improvements to the play area	52
I like the new sandpits	23
I like the new play trail	7
I like the new swings	5

I'd like to see more urban activism and play elements for adults	5
--	---

**Likes:** There was good support for the play area, with a large number of adults and children saying it was their favourite element of the design. People liked the approach to the redesign, praising the materials used, the increase of accessible play, and the introduction of a play trail. Primary school children told us they loved the sandpit and buckets, swings and tower. Comments from families about the play area included feedback from young children who were excited about having a new play area.

**Suggestions:** Some suggested we consider urban activism, including swings and playable landscapes across the Park for adults.

**Concerns/dislikes:** There were limited negative comments about the play area, but concerns that were expressed included ensuring the equipment was well maintained and making sure we used materials that didn't get slippery. A couple of people asked us to think about upgrading rather than replacing the equipment, reasons included that it was a waste of money and a few telling us how much their children liked existing features.

## Wildlife, Nature, Biodiversity and Environmental Sustainability

Responses	No.
I like the increased trees, plants and hedgerows	76
I'd like to see more emphasis on environmental sustainability, wildlife, nature and biodiversity	65
I like the increased wildlife habitats and nature	63
I like the increased biodiversity	41
I like the wildflower meadow and relaxed grass	42

**Likes:** Overwhelmingly people like the increased biodiversity, the additional trees and planting, the Park feeling more natural and wilder, and there was support for the environmentally sustainable features (rain gardens, SUDS). People were happy to see improvements to grass and the protection of the open space. These features of the Park were the most popular.

**Concerns/dislikes:** Some people told us the biodiversity elements don't go far enough and they would like to see more trees and planting. Some asked for us to balance wild planting with formal planting, because not everyone likes wildness in

parks and some said it needs water and a pond. A small number said we had placed too much emphasis on biodiversity.

**Suggestions/Improvements:** Some people told us the Park needs a pond or a water feature. People recommended tree and plant species and asked for better provision for birds and wildlife. There was also a suggestion for an area that would allow groups to take part and run forest school and den building activities.

## Boundaries and Entrances

Responses	No.
I like the idea of removing railings across the Park	24
I like the new entrances to the Park, which will be an improvement	16
I don't like the removal of fences, which will be dangerous for dogs and children	18
I like the boundary changes along the New North Road	12

**Likes:** There was a great deal of support for the new entrances, the opening up of existing entrances and the removal of barriers on quieter roads around the park. There was a lot of support for the improvement to the boundary along New North Road to soundproof and protect the Park from the road.

**Concerns/dislikes:** There were equally high comments expressing concerns about the opening up of boundaries due to the risk to dogs and children, giving even greater access to mopeds, protecting the relaxing and closed off feel of Dorothy Thurtle Gardens, and some people just not liking the idea.

**Suggestions/Improvements:** Where people expressed concern there were also comments about how they would like to see it done, which included ensuring the job was done properly, that other elements were introduced that would prevent people driving into the Park, and making sure the end result looked tidy. People also offered feedback about specific roads and entrances they had concerns about:

Responses	No.
<b>Dorothy Thurtle Gardens Boundary</b>	
I like the changes to the boundary around Dorothy Thurtle Gardens	6
I don't like the changes to the boundary around Dorothy Thurtle Gardens	1
I like all the proposed changes to Dorothy Thurtle Gardens	18

<b>Rushton Street Boundary</b> Moving the fence back from the road on Rushton St is a good idea Moving the fence back from the road on Rushton St is a bad idea	2 1
<b>Poole Street Boundary</b> Removing the fence along Poole St is a good idea Removing the fence along Poole St is a bad idea	3 6
<b>Mintern Street Boundary</b> Removing the fence along Mintern St is a good idea Removing the fence along Mintern St is a bad idea	1 0
<b>Bridport Place Boundary</b> Removing the fence along Bridport Place is a bad idea Removing the fence along Bridport Place is a good idea	3 3

## Dorothy Thurtle Gardens

Responses	No.
I like all the changes to Dorothy Thurtle Gardens	18
I like the idea of opening up the boundaries around Dorothy Thurtle Gardens	6
I like the play trail in Dorothy Thurtle Gardens	7
The proposed improvements to Dorothy Thurtle Gardens will make it feel safer	5

**Likes:** Generally people were in support for changes to Dorothy Thurtle Gardens, they liked the fact that the character would be protected and they liked the introduction of the play trail and improved path over the mound.

**Dislikes:** One person said they were disappointed that Dorothy Thurtle Gardens was not more integrated into the Park, one suggested the removal of the Adventure Playground to fully open up the Park and another asked for the fence around Dorothy Thurtle Garden to be kept.

**Suggestions:** Whilst people largely support the proposed changes to Dorothy Thurtle Gardens, some people asked us to ensure that any changes made to this area protected the sense of relaxation and calm in this space, particularly for older people who like how this space feels enclosed and cut off from the rest of the Park.

## Accessibility

Responses	No.
The Park designs will make the Park feel more accessible	6
The Park needs to be accessible to people with mobility issues, including full wheelchair access, accessible play, toilets, gym equipment, a variety of benches, more benches with backrests, and improved footpaths	4
The Park needs to be accessible to people with visual impairments	3

**Likes:** People told us the new entrances, accessible play, and opening up the boundaries of the Park would make it feel more accessible. They told us that Park designs felt more welcoming and inclusive of people with disabilities and mobility issues.

**Suggestions:** People asked us to consider accessibility for visually impaired people suggesting tactile or hi vis markers at junctions and making sure that the Park and any new facilities are fully accessible to wheelchair users and others with mobility issues. People would like to see improvements to pathways across the Park to make them more accessible. Across the consultation there were requests for more benches, cleaner benches and benches with arm and backrests for older people, as well as gym equipment with moving parts, particularly cycling machines, suitable for older people and people with mobility issues.

## Safety, Security and Maintenance

Responses	No.
The Park needs solutions to deal with ASB, safety and security (CCTV (6), be closed at night (3), more Park wardens and enforcement (4)	20
The Park needs to be lit at night	18
The proposed changes in the designs will make the Park feel safer and friendlier	9
The Park needs to be properly maintained	7

**Likes:** People told us that they felt the opening up the boundaries and new entrances, opening up dead corners would make the Park feel safer.

**Dislikes:** Residents used the consultation to express concern about ASB and to show their support for slowing cyclists. Suggestions were made about preventing cyclists from cutting through the Park, and some (including cyclists themselves and those in support of cycling in the Park) were concerned the measures in place to slow cyclists didn't go far enough to deal with the issue. People also expressed their dislike of the boundaries being opened up, suggesting it would exacerbate the issues with ASB, motorists and cycling, but the main concern people had about removing boundaries was around the danger and risks it would pose for young children and dogs.

**Suggestions:** Large numbers would like to see the Park lit at night, particularly along the paths and in the sports hub so it can be used in the evening. There were suggestions for lighting the trees along paths, solar lights, CCTV. A small number would like to see the Park closed at night and a large number would like to see a park warden in the Park with the power to enforce rules and fines around litter, ASB, dogs and cycling and motorists, which would make it feel safer.

**Concerns:** There were concerns about maintenance and upkeep, particularly regarding the play area and sports hub with people telling us they liked the changes, but would like to see a commitment to maintaining them in the future. People also asked for any hedgerows and new boundary treatments to be maintained to keep the Park looking neat and tidy around the perimeter.

## Signage

Responses	No.
The Park needs better signage to promote respectful use of the Park (litter, social distancing, kindness, educational signage)	13
The Park needs better signage to encourage responsible dog ownership	6
The Park needs better directional signage	4
The Park should have co-designed signage with children and young people	2

**Suggestions:** People requested signage about dogs, litter, and responsible behaviour within the Park and a suggestion was made for a community charter to be co-designed with residents and displayed around the Park, for Park users to refer to and direct people to. It was felt that this would help residents to enforce the respect of rules and responsible and respectful behaviour within the Park. There were suggestions from teachers, children and young people about co-designed signage, with the architects working with children and young people to design the messaging

around dogs, cycling, behaviour and litter. These people felt messaging from children and young people would make park users more likely to listen.

## Dogs

Responses	No.
The Park needs a dog area	22
The Park needs better and more facilities for dog owners (training area, bins)	12
The Park needs better measures in place to promote more responsible dog control	12
I Park doesn't go far enough to improve the situation (dog poo, bins)	7

**Likes:** Dog owners told us how much they enjoy walking their dog in the Park and the sense of community dog owners bring to the Park. They used the consultation to remind us that they police the Park in the evenings and keep it safe for other Park users. Dog owners would like better provision for dogs, including a training area and dog bins.

**Dislikes:** A large number of people told us that dogs off leads prevent them from accessing the Park. These people disliked the lack of a dog area and the report needs further explanation about why we haven't got a dog area.

**Suggestions:** People suggested a dog area, better signage to encourage responsible dog ownership and picnic tables so people don't have to eat picnics on the floor.

**Concerns:** People used the consultation to express their concerns about the issues associated with dogs, but mainly their concerns about dog owners who don't respect other users of the Park. Overwhelmingly dog owners and people who don't like dogs told us that we need to find solutions to encourage dog owners to take more responsibility for their dog. Many dog owners also agreed that we should do more to encourage responsible dog ownership because it gives all dog owners a bad name. Some suggested that any signage or messaging introduced should explain why people don't want dogs near them because many dog owners don't seem to understand that the dislike of dogs is religious, cultural and out of fear.

## Grassed Areas

Responses	No.
I like the areas of relaxed mowing and wildflower meadows	42
I like the proposed improvements to the grassed areas generally	24
I like the proposed improvements to the grass on the informal sports pitch	11
I don't like the increase in sports facilities, too much hard standing, I want more grass and for the Park to be greener	8
I like the fact that the opened grassed areas and sense of space has been retained	4

**Likes:** People were pleased that we were making improvements to the grass across the Park, with some specifically focusing on the improvements made to the grass on the informal sports pitches.

**Concerns:** A few people asked us to be mindful of how much use the Park gets in the summer, particularly during COVID-19, and to not take away too much of the grass people use for sitting on for sunbathing and picnics.

## Facilities

Responses	No.
I like the cafe	39
I like the increased amounts of benches and socialising spaces	34
I'd like to see more bins and benches	28
I like the toilets and water fountain	17

**Likes:** People were really supportive of the cafe, water fountain and toilets, and the increase of benches and bins, but some would like to see more benches and bins, including recycling and dog poo bins. A few people said they liked the park warden hut.

**Suggestions/Improvements:** Many people asked us to be mindful of the positioning of benches under trees where the birds poo on them and to install more benches along footpaths to make it easier for older people and people with mobility issues to walk across the whole Park.

## Cycling

Responses	No.
I don't think the measures to slow cyclists go far enough	19
I'd like to see rumble strips or separate pathways to slow cyclists	11
I like the measures to attempt to slow cyclists	8
I'd like rules and restrictions put in place to stop cyclists cutting through the Park	6
I'd like to see lockable bike racks	2

**Likes:** People (including cyclists themselves) told us they would support any measures put in place to slow cyclists. They also told us the footpaths could be improved for children learning to ride bikes.

**Suggestions/Improvements:** A few people told us they would like to see lockable bike racks that could be used whilst they were taking part in sports activities in the Park. Where people felt the measures to slow cyclists could go further they suggested rumble strips, separate cycle paths and rules and restrictions to prevent cyclists using the Park as a cut through at all.

**Concerns:** People expressed concerns about pathway rules in other Parks being confusing, insisting any measures we put in place would need to be clear, consistent and strong about who has priority to use each Path.


# Appendix 1

## Who responded?

Unless stated the following demographic data includes all respondents online and posted surveys (excluding the children and young people who participated in focus groups).


### All Postcodes:

Base 696




### N1 Postcode Breakdown

Base 585




### What is your age group?

Base 450 (data includes the children and young people who participated in focus groups)




### Gender?

Base 250



## Which of the following best describes the ownership of your home?


Base 574



## The following questions relate to protected characteristics and were optional:


### Do you consider yourself to have a disability?

Base 623




## Ethnicity?

Base 648



## Breakdown of non-white ethnicities

Base 235



## Are you a member of a Community organisation?

Base 26

### Community organisations included:

- St John's Hoxton
- St John's Hoxton
- School Governor
- Spirit Community Support
- Friends of Shoreditch Park
- Friends of Shoreditch Park
- 23rd Poplar Scouts
- Labour Party
- Hackney Cricket Club
- Hackney Cricket Club
- Hackney Cricket Club
- Stoke Newington Cricket Club
- Stoke Newington Cricket Club
- Stoke Newington Cricket Club
- Stoke Newington Cricket Club
- Stoke Newington Cricket Club
- Stoke Newington Cricket Club
- Ligali
- Colville Estate Tenants & Residents Association
- De Beauvoir Association
- De Beauvoir Association
- De Beauvoir Association
- De Beauvoir Association
- Hackney Women's Equality Party
- De Beauvoir WI
- De Beauvoir Gardeners
- Back Garden Project
- Wenlock Barn TMO
- ELATT College Student
- SNS Student
- Laburnum Boat Club
- Friends of Graham St Park
- Rainbow Grow
- Core Arts
- Bracklynism
- Wenlock Housing Committee
- Community Garden
- Wenlock Barn Kitchen Garden
- African Heritage Terminology Reform Group
- Mawson Court Residents Association