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Overview: JKN as Part of the Indonesian Health System
Article 20 of the Health Law of 2009 Provides Division of Financing for Public Health and Personal Health care

Public Health

MoH and Regional Health Offices (Tax Funded)

Health Care

JKN/BPJSK
Combined Social Insurance and Tax Funded

Early diagnosis, prompt treatment, and rehabilitation

Cross cutting services
Landmarks of Health Insurance and Health Risk Management

Source: Agustina et al. The lancet, Jan 2019
1. To ensure equity across various groups and regions, the JKN is a **single pool nationwide**. Administratively it is equipped with branch offices in various districts/cities.

2. The risk-pooling and risk sharing are financed by 5% contribution of waged earners, three nominal amount for non-waged to represent income differences, and a fixed amount of subsidized contribution for the 40% poorest Indonesian.

3. Benefit is **medically necessary/Comprehensive benefit** to ensure preventive care at individual level. Services are provided at contracted public and private health care providers.

4. To ensure efficiency, since the beginning, the JKN uses **strategic purchasing** — previously known as “managed care techniques” in the USA. It uses **gate keeping** system.
   - It pays primary care providers using **performance based capitation**
   - It pays hospital care using **case mix based group** (DRG) purchasing.
   - Only small portions of care are paid on schedules fees.
Pooling and Sharing Functions

1. Waged earners (formal sector) share contribution, low ceiling!!
   1. Private employees: 1% employee and 4% employer
   2. Public employee: 2% employee and 3% employer
   3. Collections have been almost 100%

2. Non-Waged earners: Three different classes of contributions the non-waged family can choose from, expected to represent income levels and class of inpatient care.
   1. Collections are highly problematic. On average just above 50%

3. The Government pays contribution, nominal amount per capita per month. Currently at IDR 23,000 (about USD 1.80)--

4. By law, contributions and the ceiling of wages/salary are adjusted periodically. The consensus is every two years to adjust with inflation and to improve quality. In practice, it remains challenging
Purchasing Functions

- SHI Indonesia has adopted strategic purchasing (capitation, package prices, e-tender for drugs, and negotiated prices) since the early 1980s.
- The JKN, integrated all SHI and social assistance programs, expands capitation and CBG payments nationwide triggering massive hospital efficiency.
- An agency under the MoH, PPJK, is established to evaluate and calculate prices, simulated with expected revenues.
- A commission of Health Technology Assessment (HTA) is established.
- A commission on national formularies has been working since the early 1990s and.
- A nationwide e-tendering for drugs and supplies has been implemented since 2014.
- Improvements of strategic purchasing is a continuing process.
Current Achievements and Challenges
Progress of JKN: Population Coverage and Pooling of Funds

Population Coverage, millions

- 2014: 150 million
- 2015: 160 million
- 2016: 170 million
- 2017: 180 million
- 2018: 190 million

Source BPJS report 2017

Revenues, IDR Trillions

- 2014: 50 trillion
- 2015: 60 trillion
- 2016: 70 trillion
- 2017: 80 trillion
- 2018: 90 trillion

Source BPJS report 2017
Distribution of JKN Members, 2018
Utilization rates increased as access and supplies improved, BUT the contribution rates were not increased due to fear of “protest” from the public.

Utilization of inpatient rates increased from 4% in 2014 to 7% annually in early 2019.

Source: analysis of claim data BPJSK
The Main Challenges

- There are (still) lack of full understanding of the overall concept and implementation of the JKN resulting in inconsistencies in the implementation of the JKN
  - Contributions have been set with greater political consideration instead of technical know how of social insurance principles
  - Pricing of health care providers mainly by considering expected revenues, instead of market costs of group of services (CBG)
  - Price adjustments have not been made regularly and timely
- Tariff to (especially) private providers mostly below the market prices, resulting in some restrictions to access contracted private providers.
- Capitation payment has not been adjusted for six consecutive years.
- The BPJSK is under pressure to control costs, due to deficits in six consecutive years. It is inconsistent with the root cause of deficits— inadequate contributions
THE POLITICS OF DEFICITS

In the last five years, the JKN has been suffering from enlarging deficits. What and why?

1. Contribution of 5% of wages was calculated without ceiling, BUT it was set with low ceiling of IDR 8 m monthly salary (USD 600)
2. The subsidized contribution was calculated at IDR 36,000, but it was set at IDR 23,000

Contributions were politically set below actuarial adequacy

Pool of fund

Payment to providers BELOW the market costs

Contribution for the government employees was taken ONLY from the low basic salary (about 10-15% take home income)
Per Capita Total Health Expenditures Remain Low

Year of 2015:
- S Kore: 2.013
- UK: 4.356
- USA: 9.356
- Indonesia: 112.
- JKN: 30

From various World Bank Data, 2018.
Fact – *Stunted* JKN
JKN: Severe Anemia and Malnourished

- 84% Population coverage
- JKN Expenditure share on 15-18% of the Total Health Expenditure

Ideally, the JKN share should at least 55% THE when the population coverage reached 80%
STRATEGIC PURCHASING HAS BEEN IMPLEMENTED SINCE 1980. IMPROVEMENTS ARE BEING UNDERTAKEN

CURRENTLY THINKWELL IS SUPPORTING THE GOVERNMENT OF INDONESIA IN IMPROVEMENT OF STRATEGIC PURCHASING:

• Melinda and Bill Gates Foundation (SP4PHC) supports improvements of strategic purchasing for family planning and Maternal&Neonatal services

• The USAID (HFA) supports improvements of strategic purchasing especially for TB*, MNH, and HIV/AIDS services

Dr. Hafidz will discuss more details on this strategic purchasing for TB
An Opportunity for More Strategic Health Purchasing
Phase 1 Strategic Health Purchasing Support to Indonesia

• Period (November 2016- August 2018)

• Support on institutional arrangements and governance
  • Who decides on what? Who does what

• In Indonesia both the MOH and BPJS-K are health purchasing agencies.

Source: Cashin (2019). Summary of R4D Strategic Health Purchasing Support to Indonesia. Results for Development
Findings Phase 1: Strategic Health Purchasing in JKN

MOH has key purchasing functions

- Specify the criteria for health facilities contracting with BPJS-K (credentialing)
- Specify data reporting requirements in BPJS-K contracts
- Develop provider payment systems and setting payment rates
- Regulate how public primary health care facilities use BPJS funds

Regulations create lack of clarity

- Lack of clarity in the overall responsibility for purchasing in JKN.
- BPJS-K remains a relatively passive purchaser
- Limited the strategic potential to ensure the sustainability of JKN while improving access, quality, and financial protection.

Findings Phase 1: Unclear distribution of purchasing function in Indonesia’s JKN

Purchasing Agency (BPJS-K)

Ministry of Health

Facilitated stakeholder process and supporting analytics

- **SHP Technical Working Group**
  - Discuss key issues, validate analyses, and reach consensus
  - Policy options for revised institutional structure and regulatory framework

- **Analytical input**
  - Review of regulations related to health purchasing under JKN
  - Stakeholder interviews: what is happening in practice?

- **Capacity-building**
  - ½ day targeted trainings on SHP: BPJS, MOH program, MOH finance, MOF, local government
  - Online course and resource site (Universitas Gadjah Mada)

- **Participatory process led by DJSN**
- **Supported by independent analysis (UGM)**
- **Clarified institutional structure, roles and responsibilities for health purchasing under JKN and identified contradictions and gaps (R4D)**
- **Results used to support increased autonomy for BPJS-K in designing and testing provider payment innovations**

Source: Cashin (2019). Summary of R4D Strategic Health Purchasing Support to Indonesia. Results for Development
Phase 2 Strategic Health Purchasing Support to Indonesia

• Period (August 2018- present)

• Operational Policies and Systems
  • How are purchasing functions carried out?

• Improve operational processes for TB and MNH:
  • Refine provider payment systems to improve priority programs
  • Improve use of data

• Build capacity to analyze, design & implement reforms

Source: Cashin (2019). Summary of R4D Strategic Health Purchasing Support to Indonesia. Results for Development
Multi-stakeholder process to improve purchasing for TB services

- Process driven by objectives to improve service delivery and health outcomes
- Solution design based on stakeholder experience and consultations
- Options informed by locally driven analytics and international evidence and good practice

Source: Cashin (2017). Results for Development
Strategic Health Purchasing Working Groups

Steering Committee

Core Working Group
PPJK (Coordinator), BPJS Kesehatan & Respective Directorate

Technical Working Groups

Technical Supporting Unit

Source: USAID-BANTU, 2019
Outputs of the TWG Process will be based on a holistic approach to improving purchasing and payment for TB

Health Purchasing Functions, External Factors and Results

- Purchasing Functions
  - Financial Management
    - Know how much money there is and how much is spent
  - Benefits Specification
    - Decide what to buy
  - Contracting
    - Decide from whom to buy
  - Provider Payment
    - Decide how and how much to pay providers
  - Monitoring
    - Know how the money is being used

- Capacities
  - Project and manage revenue and expenditures
  - Design benefits packages, set service delivery standards
  - Select providers and enter into contracts with them to deliver goods and services in the benefits package
  - Develop and implement provider payment systems and calculate payment rates
  - Monitor provider performance, service utilization and quality

- Health System Results
  - Equity
    - (financial and service access)
  - Access
    - (coverage of priority services)
  - Quality
    - (clinical quality, patient experience)
  - Financial Protection
    - (low out-of-pocket payments)
  - Allocative efficiency
    - (resources directed to more cost-effective services, especially PHC)
  - Technical efficiency
    - (lowest cost for highest quality services)
  - Financial sustainability
    - (limit unproductive cost growth)

Source: Cashin (2018). Results for Development
Process

Kick off

March
Analytical plan

April
Benefit Package & Financing

May
Provider Payment

June
Contracting

July
Monitoring Mechanism

August
Policy recommendation

Sept
Fact Checking (district visit)

Oct
Concept of Piloting and monitoring

Source: USAID-BANTU, 2019
Benefit Package Brainstorming
Provider Payment Mechanism Brainstorming
Fish Bone Diagram: TB benefit package and payment mechanism brainstorm
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Contracting Brainstorming
Criteria for assessment of policy options to improve service delivery and health outcomes

- Technically valid from a purchasing and provider payment perspective
- Addresses key incentives for improving service delivery objectives and considers potential consequences – “First do no harm”
- Administratively not overly burdensome for providers
- Impact on BPJS-K budget not overly burdensome

Source: Cashin (2018), Results for Development
Analytical activities to support assessment of policy options against criteria

1. GOI SHP TWG “fact checking” in districts to validate policy options
2. Mapping incentives at play for different providers at key points in the TB diagnosis and treatment process
3. Claims data analysis and budget impact analysis
Next Steps

• Final GOI TWG policy design based on incentive mapping, claims data analysis and budget impact analysis

• Policy options with multi-stakeholder GOI consensus proposed for testing – October 2019

• Purchasing pilot design, including BPJS-K monitoring system

• Sensitization and training at district level in preparation for pilot implementation - 2020
Thank you!