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Birmingham District

Personal Details:

Name: David & Anne Wade
E-mail: 
Postcode: 
Organisation Name: 

Comment text:

We are residents of Green Road in Moseley and wish to express our objection to our road being split in two by the current proposed boundary change. Green Road and the avenues off Green Road have always been one natural community. There is no reason or justification to exclude the avenues or our side of Green Road from the Moseley ward. The avenues cannot be accessed other than from Green Road and therefore have no obvious link with Sparkhill. It makes no sense and will be impractical to divide the B13 Green Road community. The Green Road community has the same needs on both sides of the road which should be dealt with by the same ward councillor. The Moseley ward boundary line falls more naturally with the inclusion of all of Green Road and it's avenues. This is only a minor change to the proposed boundary line and a visual inspection of the area would reinforce this. The proposed division of Green Road will split our community and make representation of our needs more difficult.

Uploaded Documents:

None Uploaded
Birmingham District

Personal Details:

Name: Gail Wadlow
E-mail: [redacted]
Postcode: [redacted]
Organisation Name: [redacted]

Comment text:

I am extremely pleased that the Commission has listened to local opinion and agreed to leave the Erdington ward entire and not proceed with a Short Heath ward which would cut the Erdington area into smaller wards. I would like to thank the Commission and hope that this plan now goes ahead and Erdington ward will remain intact as a suburb of Birmingham. Local identity is very strong in Erdington which is a lovely community in which to live.

Uploaded Documents:

None Uploaded
Birmingham District

Personal Details:

Name: Mohammed Walji
E-mail: 
Postcode: 
Organisation Name: 

Comment text:

Plans to split green road in 2. I live on burke avenue, I do not think green road should be split because of the following: breaking up cohesive community, when a local boundary aim is to keep communities and services together, goes against local governments guidelines. Those assigned to sparkhill do not have direct access to sparkhill their access is to Moseley. Please keep this in mind not to split green road. Thank You

Uploaded Documents:

None Uploaded
From: BARBARA WALLER
Sent: 06 June 2016 15:50
To: reviews <reviews@lgbce.org.uk>
Subject: RESTRICTION IN THE ESSENTIAL NUMBER OF COUNCILLORS FOR SUTTON COLDFIELD

Dear Sirs,

As has already been pointed out to you the boundary changes you propose will leave this important part of B’ham under represented especially in view of the new building which is expected to take place. Therefore Sutton Coldfield needs 11 representatives on the City Council NOT 10. Moreover our town contributes an important financial resource for B’ham and therefore should have suitable representation. It is not, however, a valuable asset to be drained without a voice!

Kindly reconsider your plans with urgency and confirm the outcome to me.

Yours faithfully,
Barbara Waller
(Lecturer and Journalist)
Birmingham District

Personal Details:

Name: Joseph Walsh
E-mail: [Redacted]
Postcode: [Redacted]
Organisation Name: [Redacted]

Feature Annotations

Map Features:

Annotation 1: Lifford Hall transfer to King's Norton North ward

Comment text:

It would make more sense to have Lifford Hall and the surrounding industrial buildings be in the same ward as the rest of the Lifford and King's Norton Business Centre industrial estates.

Uploaded Documents:

None Uploaded
Annotation 1:  6 houses transfer back to Moseley ward

Comment text:
These six houses on Moor Green Lane are currently in the Moseley & King's Heath ward with the rest of the road, however, they have now been moved to the proposed Stirchley ward. This appears to be an anomaly, as the population would be a negligible addition to the new Moseley ward and they are also detached from the Stirchley ward's populace.

Uploaded Documents:
None Uploaded
Birmingham District

Personal Details:
Name: Joseph Walsh
E-mail: [redacted]
Postcode: [redacted]
Organisation Name: [redacted]

Feature Annotations

Map Features:

Annotation 1: Boundary between Moseley (B13) and Balsall Heath/Sparkbrook (B12)

Comment text:
As the proposed Moseley ward has an estimated 8% variance from average in 2021 (10% in 2015), it would make sense for the roads around Balsall Heath Park, which identify more with Balsall Heath and Sparkbrook to be moved into the Sparkbrook ward, which has an estimated 0% variance from average. I have used the B12 (Balsall Heath/Sparkbrook) and B13 (Moseley) postcode boundary from the Alcester Road to the Yardley Wood Road as the possible ward boundary.

Uploaded Documents:
None Uploaded
As Hall Green North ward has an estimated 5% variance from average, the current northern Hall Green ward boundary with Springfield could be used. The remainder could be transferred to the proposed Sparkhill ward, which has an estimated variance from average of -7%. The majority of this area is currently within the Springfield ward, where the name has been used interchangeably with Sparkhill by the LGBCE in previous reviews. The proposed inclusion of houses above Shaftmoor Lane does not tie in with the Hall Green identity. The Hall Green South ward could then transfer houses to Hall Green North to lower its estimated 6% variance from average. For this, I have used the Stratford Road and the A4040/Highfield Road, as it is a clearly defined boundary.
Birmingham District

Personal Details:

Name: Joseph Walsh
E-mail: [redacted]
Postcode: [redacted]
Organisation Name:

Feature Annotations

Map Features:

Annotation 1: Stirchley and Cotteridge boundary

Comment text:
This is the boundary between Stirchley and Cotteridge - There are signs for both of these areas at this point on the Pershore Road. As these two wards have an almost identical number of electors per councillor, it would be acceptable to swap this small area from Stirchley ward to Bournville & Cotteridge ward.

Uploaded Documents:
None Uploaded
Dear Sir

As a long time resident in the Harborne Ward (some 68 years) I have no wish for my residents to be changed to the Quinton Ward as a result of any proposed boundary changes.

Yours faithfully

Roy Walton
Dear Sir,
I would just like to express my thanks for taking my view and others in the Yardley area and retaining the historic name of Yardley in the new boundaries.

I fully support the new name of Yardley East and it's nice to know peoples voices are taken into account.

Yours Faithfully
Birmingham District

Personal Details:

Name: Diana Wardle
E-mail: [REDACTED]
Postcode: [REDACTED]
Organisation Name: N/A

Comment text:

The Review Officer (Birmingham) Local Government Boundary Commission for England 14th Floor Millbank Tower Millbank London, SW1P 4QP 20 June 2016 Dear Review Officer, I am writing to thank you for taking account of the representations from me and my family and others who live in Moseley. We all believe that if a few very minor amendments can be made (as suggested in The Combined Community response submitted by David Isgrove), the proposed new Moseley Ward will • Meet the electoral equality criteria • Reflect community identity and will also • Provide for effective and convenient local government. I hope these suggestions can be accepted.

Uploaded Documents:

None Uploaded
From: [email address]
Sent: 20 June 2016 12:23
To: reviews <reviews@lgbce.org.uk>
Subject: New electoral boundaries for Moseley

The Review Officer (Birmingham)
Local Government Boundary Commission for England
14th Floor Millbank Tower
Millbank
London, SW1P 4QP
20 June 2016

Dear Review Officer,

I am writing to thank you for taking account of the representations from me and my family and others who live in Moseley. We all believe that if a few very minor amendments can be made (as suggested in The Combined Community response submitted by David Isgrove), the proposed new Moseley Ward will
• Meet the electoral equality criteria
• Reflect community identity and will also
• Provide for effective and convenient local government.

I hope these suggestions can be accepted.

Dr K.A. Wardle
I wish to once again expressly views on the proposed changes to the boundary in my area. I feel very strongly about the proposed changes. The road that I live on is going to be put into two different areas. HOW is this in any way uniting communities. How can one road possibly be under two different boundaries, this makes no sense. One half of the road will be a different value and have different insurance costs. This is a complete division of what I class as an old fashioned sense of community road. I fail to see any advantage to this proposal. I would be seeking compensation if this gives two different values to the property in this road but it is far more than that - to split this road is insane and as I said previously breaking up a community. The road concerned is Sycamore Road. I am disabled and have struggled to continue to work and pay for my home and cannot afford to lose money on this property. I also know we will be told it will not affect things like insurance but nobody has control over insurance companies and they will decide about changes in premium.

J Watts

Sent from my iPhone
3 June 2016

Subject: Boundary Changes Tyburn Ward

To Whom It May Concern

As a local resident I would like to take this opportunity to express my views regarding the boundary changes that are taking place in time for the 2018 Local Elections across Birmingham.

Regarding my own local ward of Tyburn, I was very pleased with several aspects of your revised proposal, i.e. Castle Vale has a long established history of being a community in its own right, with clear identifiable boundaries such as the M6 and local canal, so I was delighted to see Castle Vale getting its own single member ward.

I was however very disappointed with your current proposals for the Pype Hayes/Birches Green area. This area is part of the same local parish and the two communities are linked via local shopping centre and many cultural/social activities. Yet currently you have proposed to put Pype Hayes into a single ward with part of Erdington and Birches Green into a new Tyburn Ward.

I would hope that you will reconsider your proposals and keep Pype Hayes and Birch Green together as one ward.

Thank you for giving me the opportunity to express my views on what I consider to be a very important subject that will affect residents for many years.

Yours faithfully
Local Government Boundary Commission for England
14th Floor
Millbank Tower
Millbank
London
SW1P 4QP

Dear Sir/Madam

Consultation on Birmingham Council Ward Boundaries

I refer to the current consultation on the proposed changes to the Birmingham Council Ward Boundaries and the proposal to minimise the number of Councillors for each ward.

In general I strongly objected to the proposals to change the status quo that seem to me to be a sledgehammer to crack a nut approach to a problem that has nothing to do with the problems surrounding the administration of the City over the last decade and therefore request that they are immediately withdrawn and that the present democratic system is retained, albeit perhaps with some minor boundary changes to the existing wards.

I have the following concerns:

Consultation
Nothing has been sent to the electorate advising them of the consultation so that they can consider the proposals and make their views known, so the consultation is flawed and clearly has a communist type approach to it. It is definitely exclusive and discriminates against the majority who know nothing about it when the consequential affects could affect each of them personally. Therefore it is unfair.
Until someone in political circles I know mentioned it to me I knew nothing about it; and worse still I understand that there had been an earlier consultation that I, and none of my neighbours, knew anything about.
Furthermore that lack of printed information, especially to those of us who are of more mature years and some of whom may have mobility problems, means that it has made it very difficult to find out information about the proposals as apparently the only information about it only appears to be on the Internet. Thus it discriminates against those members of the population who left school before computers were invented and never used then during their working life. My wife has yet to reach 60 and has never used one in her life because she has worked in specialist manufacturing for all her life which is not that surprising for a city that was known as a “City of a Thousand Trades”. Therefore no thought has been given by Commission to the ability of the population to be able access the necessary information and thus respond.
to it so the Consultation so it is seriously flawed, it is discriminatory and in certain instances in breach of the Disability Decimation Act. Therefore I object to the grossly selective consultation

Single Member Wards
The present system of three Councillors means that residents have access to a choice of members to raise issues with and if one is un receptive as has been the case in the past, then one can go to one of the other two in the same way as if one gets bad service from one shop one can go elsewhere. Your present proposal removes that choice and thus removes the democracy right of choice from the residents; it also removes the backup arrangement if one of the Councillors is ill. It is therefore undemocratic and would bring an element of dictatorial communist approach to the operational of the Council. Therefore I object to single member wards and require the status quo to apply

Single election every three/four years
The present system allows one to change the “colour “ of the Council if it performs badly and thus keep it on an even keel, albeit depending upon the political thinking at the time, but a singley elected Council who is in office for several years means that it cannot be held accountable by its electorate during that period and, as has happened in Birmingham in recent years when it has remained the same colour, is the very thing this Consultation is seeking to avoid. The proposals are therefore undemocratic and bring even a more of dictatorial communist approach to the operational off the Council. Therefore I object to elections every three/four years and require the status quo to apply

Increase of the Number of Wards
Following on from the single member wards and longer periods between elections principle outlined above one clearly has to increase the number of wards in order to keep the number of members around a manageable figure. However that principle fails to take into account that the city is dynamic and thus local matters of concern that may need to be raised with Councillors, which can currently be raised with their local Councillor, will more often than not be outside their respective wards and thus their Councillor could simply reject the representation as outside their remit, especially if they are over loaded with work. Whilst issues like this may exist in the present system they are considerably less because most of the wards include the main shopping centres within their boundaries and the total mileage of the boundaries are far less and therefore the number of cross boundary issues are minimal to what these proposals with introduce. In my own situation I do not have a local shopping centre in the proposed Ward and thus, unlike the present situation, it would be difficult for me (especially as a senior citizen who may at times have mobility problems) to raise matters of concern in this regard with the appropriate local councillor. Therefore I object to the splitting up of the existing wards into much smaller segments

Name of Wards
I live in Northfield and the boundary between Northfield and West Heath is at the bottom of my neighbour’s garden where it adjoins the park, may I suggest that you look at an historic map and relate the name to the historic facts rather than reinvent
The parish church of St Lawrence, which is the real centre of Northfield, is as near to my home as the Northfield Shopping Centre is; indeed most of the so called Northfield ward is further from the real centre of Northfield than my home is!! West Heath is the former heath land to the west of King’s Norton (please note that correct spelling) and is thus historically part of King’s Norton and nothing to do with Northfield, so I strongly object to the ward being named West Heath North as West Heath, which is very small and not much more that a couple of square miles, only forms a very small part of the Ward, 80% of the proposed Ward is in Northfield. If it was to remain and we have to put up with all the objectionable points listed above it would best be named Northfield South and West Heath, especially as most of the Ward you are calling West Heath South, includes virtually all of West Heath along with Cofoton and so that Ward in turn should be named Cofoton and West Heath. Therefore I strongly object to you proposed name of West Heath North Ward and request that it is named Northfield South and West Heath.

I would conclude by saying that if the present proposal are implemented, I will not vote in any future Local Government Election, as I believe that they do not represent the spirit of the Representation of the Peoples Act, and would emphasise that as Senior Citizen I voted at virtually every election since I was entitle to do so and, regardless of where I have lived in Northfield, I have always voted in Northfield Ward.

Can you please advise, by return of post, how you intend to implement all these points?

Yours faithfully,

K Vaughan Welch
Birmingham District

Personal Details:

Name: Edwyna Wells
E-mail: [redacted]
Postcode: [redacted]
Organisation Name:

Comment text:

I live in Ninfield Rd for many, many years now. To find out that our postcode area is changing under Tyseley, Haymills this is not fair! As local residents don't our opinion count? I wish to remain under Acocks Green area ward. Please listen to our comments, local residents are against this change, come down and speak to us? Thank you.

Uploaded Documents:

None Uploaded
Birmingham District

Personal Details:

Name: Yvette Wells
E-mail: [Redacted]
Postcode: [Redacted]
Organisation Name: 

Comment text:

I have lived in Ninfield Rd for over 47 years now, which has always remained under the Acocks Green postcode. If I wanted to live in Tyseley or Haymills, then I would bought a house there! I wish to remain under Acoks Green postcode, it is unfair that local residents have not been notified about this, our opinion does not count then? This is going to effect house, car insurance this is not fair, please leave the postcode boundary alone! I WISH TO REMAIN UNDER ACOCKS GREEN Thank you.

Uploaded Documents:

None Uploaded
I totally endorse the revised changes to Moseley's boundaries. We are very relieved that the original changes will not now be taking place and we can retain the character of our village. Ideally, Birches close and Moseley bog will also remain part of the Moseley ward.

Kind regards

Wendy Weston

Sent from my Samsung Galaxy smartphone.
Cooper, Mark

From: Mayers, Mishka on behalf of reviews
Sent: 11 May 2016 11:08
To: Cooper, Mark
Subject: FW: Yardley East

From: Sue Whitlock
Sent: 10 May 2016 16:53
To: reviews <reviews@lgbce.org.uk>
Subject: Yardley East

As a resident of Duncroft Road YARDLEY, I feel that I must inform you that I give my full support to the proposal to retain the Yardley name for this ward and will be happy for it to be known as YARDLEY EAST.

Mrs S Whitlock

Sent from Mail for Windows 10
Birmingham District

Personal Details:

Name: John and June Wilcox
E-mail: 
Postcode: 
Organisation Name: 

Comment text:

Mr & Mrs Wilcox would like our address to remain in the Erdington Ward. We have lived at this address for 40 years and by changing our boundary will cause problems. (240 Orphanage Road ERDINGTON) We hope this will help to leave us in the Erdington Ward Please. When you look at the map it seems to be sensible for the properties from Chester Road to Harman Road to remain in Erdington. Thank You Mr & Mrs Wilcox

Uploaded Documents:

None Uploaded
From: Robert Wild
Sent: 02 June 2016 19:08
To: reviews <reviews@lgbce.org.uk>
Subject: Electoral Review of Birmingham: Further Draft Recommendations

F.A.O Review Officer - Mark Cooper (lgbce)

From: Robert Wild

Thank you for the email from Mishka Mayer.

I refer to the Oscott Ward in Birmingham. I commented on 22 September 2015. I have now read and digested the latest draft recommendations.

Regarding Oscott Ward, I stand by what I said in the September 2015 comments. Oscott has worked very well with 3 councillors for many years and I could not see any reason to change not to mention the cost of this whole process. I stated that if change was deemed necessary and if only two councillors for this ward were proposed then this might be achieved by splitting off the Bandywood area into Kingstanding reducing the number of residents from approximately 19000 to approximately 16000.

I note that your recommendations are in accordance with that suggestion except you propose that parts of Kings Road that are to be incorporated, with Bandywood into Kingstanding. This leaves a current electorate in Oscott of 147457 with a predicted increase to 15629 by 2021.

Although, I am not happy with the increase in workload for the two councillors and hence a poorer service for residents, I see that this is probably a good a recommendation as it could be in the circumstances. I note also that you propose no further changes.

In this case I have to agree with your recommendations.

Regards,

Robert Wild
Birmingham District

Personal Details:

Name: Alison Wilkinson
E-mail: 
Postcode: 

Organisation Name:

Feature Annotations

Map Features:

Annotation 1: Query

Comment text:
This is currently part of Moseley ward, why is it now part of Kings Heath? Makes more sense for the line to go straight down Valentine Road surely? This is going to be confusing as residents in the highlighted area have a B13 postcode, which is associated with Moseley ward; half of school road will be Moseley, and half will be kings Heath, and not just lower and upper halves, but left and right sides of the road, this is going to be ridiculously confusing for everyone!

Uploaded Documents:

None Uploaded
Birmingham District

Personal Details:

Name: William Willcox
E-mail: [REDACTED]
Postcode: [REDACTED]

Organisation Name:

Comment text:

I want My address to be in the Harborne ward.

Uploaded Documents:

None Uploaded
Birmingham District

Personal Details:

Name: Chelse Williams
E-mail: [Redacted]
Postcode: [Redacted]

Organisation Name:

Comment text:

Acocks green is a lovely area and to move my house which I purchased in ACOCKS GREEN to a notoriously bad area such as Tyseley/Haymills is disgusting! My home insurance and car insurance will increase? Will you cover all future costs?? I OBJECT! Keep ninfield Road in Acocks GReen. My neighbour is starting a petition, we'll take it to the news if we have to

Uploaded Documents:

None Uploaded
From: Jean Williamson  
Sent: 12 May 2016 11:54  
To: reviews <reviews@lgbce.org.uk>  
Subject: Birmingham - Yardley Parish Church St. Edburgha's, Church Road, B25

I can’t make out from the map which area this is in on the proposed revisions, but I hope that the word Yardley will be included in the name of the area where it is as it is a historic site and omitting the word Yardley would be unfortunate.

Yours sincerely, M. J. Williamson

Sent from Mail for Windows 10
Birmingham District

Personal Details:

Name: Rebecca williams
E-mail: 
Postcode: 
Organisation Name: 

Comment text:

I OBJECT to my Acoks Green home on Ninfield Road falling under Tyseley. Our house price will drop and we bought the house specifically to be in the catchment area for our preferred school!! You can't change someone's livelyhood without thinking of the repercussions .. I.e the drop in the value of our home and my childrens' future. Would you like your home moved into a different borough especially one that's known to be not 'as nice'!?

Uploaded Documents:

None Uploaded
Birmingham District

Personal Details:

Name: Albert Willmore
E-mail: [redacted]
Postcode: [redacted]
Organisation Name: [redacted]

Comment text:

I am glad the commission has been able to respond to such effect to the widespread concerns resulting from the original proposals.

Uploaded Documents:

None Uploaded
Birmingham District

Personal Details:

Name: Alicia Wingfield
E-mail: [redacted]
Postcode: [redacted]
Organisation Name: [redacted]

Comment text:

I live in Hampton Court Road that is currently in the Harborne ward should the boundaries change and my postcode becomes in the Quinton Ward I am concerned that it was devalue my property.

Uploaded Documents:

None Uploaded
Dear Sir or Madam,

We are the property owner of 18, Kenward Croft, Harborne, Birmingham, B17 8TN, we are strongly wanted to remain our property in Harborne Ward. We don't want our house become devalued!

Thank you & kind regards,

Crystal Wong & Siu Wong
Property owners

Sent from my iPad
Dear Review Officer (Birmingham),

I am pleased that my views were listened to in your previous consultation period. It makes sense that you have included Moseley village in Moseley again so thank you. However, your most recent boundary proposal includes Balsall Heath Park (on the corner of Ladypool Road and Taunton Road) within the Moseley ward. Now that Moseley Park is back in Moseley ward, we do not need Balsall Heath Park as well. I think the residents of Balsall Heath would like it back. Once again, the clue is in the name of the park. Please put Balsall Heath Park back in Balsall Heath ward. Apart from that, I am happy with the proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Elizabeth Wong
From: Kath Wood
Sent: 17 June 2016 12:38
To: reviews <reviews@lgbce.org.uk>
Subject: Boundary Commission Consultation response

The Review Officer (Birmingham)
Local Government Boundary Commission for England
14th Floor Millbank Tower
Millbank
London
SW1P 4QP

20 July 2016
Sir or Madam

Consultation on further recommendations for Birmingham City Council

I would like to comment on the proposed two-member ward of Bournbrook and Selly Park.

I am grateful that the Commission considered residents’ proposals for separate single-member wards for Bournebrook and Selly Park during the last consultation period, even though the Commission ultimately decided not to act on them. The Commission’s comments suggest that the proposals may well have been successful had there been a way to avoid dividing the Bournbrook community to ensure the correct population balance.

Firstly, I would like to reiterate the substantial differences between the Bournebrook and Selly Park neighbourhoods. Bournebrook and Selly Park are distinguished from each other by different demographics, housing stocks, community groups, conservation areas and commuting habits. These can be summarised as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aspect</th>
<th>Bournebrook</th>
<th>Selly Park</th>
<th>Common to Both Areas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Population</td>
<td>Mainly transient students</td>
<td>Mainly settled families and couples</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing</td>
<td>Mainly smaller terraced with many classified as Houses in Multiple Occupation</td>
<td>Mainly detached and larger terraced</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
I would now like to address the requirements of population balance and community cohesion in terms of where a ward boundary could be drawn. I believe that an ‘internal’ boundary defined by Raddlebarn Road and Bournbrook Road, with both sides of both roads in Selly Park ward, would satisfy both of these requirements.

It may seem counter-intuitive to include Bournbrook Road in Selly Park rather than Bournbrook, but the road is in fact more similar cutting-edge character to Selly Park than the rest of Bournbrook, its consisting mainly of larger housing with a settled population. The eastern side of Bournbrook Road is actually part of the historical Selly Park Estate in any event.

In summary, the proposals I am making would result in better, more localised representation for the people of Bournbrook and Selly Park. Implementing them would reflect the views of the overwhelming majority of residents who sent submissions to the Commission during the last period of consultation. Finally, they would have no effect on the proposals for the rest of Birmingham.

I do hope that you will give my proposals serious consideration and I look forward to hearing from you.

Yours faithfully

Professor Dennis Wood & Mrs. K Wood
Birmingham District

Personal Details:

Name: Dawn Woodman
E-mail: [redacted]
Postcode: [redacted]
Organisation Name:

Comment text:

This area has been known as acocks green for many years! Do not change to tyseley or haymills as these areas are bad crime spots and will put house insurance up and car insurance up. I moved from tyseley to acocks green thinking I was up grading and bettering my children's lives. Please do not change the boundaries.

Uploaded Documents:

None Uploaded
Birmingham District

Personal Details:

Name: Janet Woods
E-mail: [masked]
Postcode: [masked]
Organisation Name: University of Birmingham

Comment text:

I am delighted to support the redrafted boundary proposals for Moseley Ward in Birmingham. It is excellent that it will be a two councillor ward and includes all of the distinctive areas which have historically belonged to Moseley and which form a coherent whole. I would like to forcibly make the point that the new ward boundaries should form the foundation of the new parliamentary constituency boundaries in due course. To have non-aligned boundaries seems to me ineffective and confusing as a basis for local government.

Uploaded Documents:

None Uploaded
Birmingham District

Personal Details:

Name: Jane WRIGHT
E-mail: [redacted]
Postcode: [redacted]

Organisation Name:

Comment text:

I have been a resident of Moseley since 1969 when my family moved to Oxford Rd. I attended Moseley C of E School, before Camphill Girls, had strong connections to St Mary's Church and have continued to live in Moseley as an adult and raise a family. I am a teacher and musician, involved in many aspects of Moseley life. I have lived at 4 points of Moseley, currently at [redacted] opposite St Bernard's School Moseley and Moseley Bog and Conservation area and just along the road from Moseley Secondary School. Yes, 'MOSELEY' I am proud to call myself a Moseleyite and am shocked to hear that people who obviously do not have that pride, see fit to consider carving up such an area in order to reduce Wards? and therefore the number of councillors! ? Current proposals seem to indicate putting a line down the middle of Wake Green Rd, potentially placing myself and neighbours, including Moseley School in Sparkhill in order to achieve that end. Can you please let me know if this is true and still being seriously considered, as myself and neighbours would like to know how we can most effectively object. Please note that nobody in this area has been given any formal notifications of these proposals. This is outrageous as it potentially affects our house insurances and valuation notwithstanding the huge personal distress. Should this go ahead rest assured residents will certainly take legal action over financial compensation from the current council. Changing political wards is one thing but stripping people of their address is another!

yours sincerely,
Jane Wright

Uploaded Documents:

None Uploaded
Birmingham District

Personal Details:

Name: Sally Wright
E-mail: 
Postcode: 
Organisation Name: 

Comment text:

I was very pleased and encouraged that the LGBCE was convinced by the arguments put forward by many of us in the Moseley community and welcome the result of the revised ward boundary. However, there are a couple of additions and subtractions that would make sense. On the north-eastern boundary the community response suggested that Balsall Heath Park should not be included, but that both sides of Green Road together with the cul-de-sac of Burke Avenue and Keel Drive should be included in the new Moseley Ward. I hope the LGBCE would consider these small changes.

Uploaded Documents:

None Uploaded
Birmingham District

Personal Details:

Name: Jeremy Wyatt
E-mail: [REDACTED]
Postcode: [REDACTED]
Organisation Name:

Comment text:

I wrote in comment on the original proposals. I wrote about the splitting of Moseley across several wards. I am very happy to see that the new proposals unify Moseley. It is particularly good that the whole of the Moseley area is included in the new plans, including the area around Moseley Bog. This is a vast improvement on the previous proposals, and I fully support the changes.

Uploaded Documents:

None Uploaded
The Review Officer. My plea is very short. I live on the “wrong” side of Tennal Road. Please may I go back to Harborne? Mrs A Yeend
-----Original Message-----
From: Heena Kauser
Sent: 19 June 2016 16:10
To: reviews <reviews@lgbce.org.uk>
Subject: Edgbaston Reaidents Group B5

From Mohammed Yousof
B5 Edgbaston Residents Group

As you are aware on the 8th October 2015 Birmingham City Council held a referendum on moving our B5 Edgbaston boundary to merge with Balsall Health. Many B5 residents voted against the boundary move, but we did not secure enough votes to stop the merger. The Boundary Commission has given the B5 residents another changes to voice they opinion to remain as B5 Edgbaston.

ALL YOU NEED TO DO IS ANSWER THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS TO IDENTIFY YOU WITH THE B5 COMMUNITY.

Do you use public transport in the B5 area or Balsall Health?

B5 area

Do you belong to any community groups i.e residents association or other groups that represent your area B5 or Balsall Health?

represent B5 area.

Where do you shop in Edgbaston/ City centre or Balsall Health?

City Centre.

Is your GP/ medical services and leisure facilities in the Edgbaston area or Balsall Health?

Edgbaston.

What interest in the B5 area that bind the community together or separate it from Balsall Health?

- Reputation of Edgbaston - clean area.
- Financially better (car + home insurance).
- Highly inconvenient changing from B5 to B12.

DEADLINE 20th JUNE 2016 ACT NOW

You can send it in the post to

Have your say: Review Officer (Birmingham)
LGBCE, 14th floor, Millbank Tower
London SW1P 4QP or Email it to: reviews@lgbce.org.uk

The full report and interactive maps are available to view at www.lgbce.org.uk

If you need any more information please contact [Redacted]

Thank you, for your time.

Name: [Redacted]
Address: [Redacted]
Signature: [Redacted]
Email: [Redacted]
16th June 2016

The Review Officer (Birmingham)
Local Government Boundary Commission for England
14th Floor Millbank Tower
Millbank
London
SW1P 4QP

Dear Sir

Re Moseley

Thank you for your letter of 10th May and detail of further recommendations.

I am pleased to give my support for those further recommendations, and thank you for them.

Yours sincerely

Andrew Yule
To whom it may concern,

I live at [redacted].

I would like to firmly state my case for my address to remain in the Harborne area. Is is after all a B17 postcode and always has been.

I see no real reason for change, and would be very interested in the reasons for change in any proposals you might have.

Once again I am strongly in favour of staying within the Harborne area.

Kind Regards,

Mohammed Zulfiqar
Birmingham District

Personal Details:

Name: mo zulfiqar
E-mail: [redacted]
Postcode: [redacted]
Organisation Name:

Comment text:

Please can my post code area of [redacted] be included in the harborne ward. ONLY the council classes it as quinton ward, which makes no sense as the post code starts with b17 ! The post office etc all recognise b17 as harborne. Thanks Mr Zulfiqar
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