

Hinds, Alex

From: Mayers, Mishka on behalf of reviews
Sent: 30 November 2015 18:22
To: Hinds, Alex
Subject: FW: Response to further consultation on Cambridge Ward Boundaries, 30 Nov 2015

From: Andrew Roberts [REDACTED]
Sent: 30 November 2015 15:54
To: reviews <reviews@lgbce.org.uk>
Subject: Response to further consultation on Cambridge Ward Boundaries, 30 Nov 2015

To Review Officer (Cambridgeshire)

Trumpington Residents' Association objection to proposal to create a Trumpington and Queen Edith's Division in Cambridge

I am writing with the response of the Trumpington Residents' Association to the revised proposed changes to the boundaries within Cambridge. We strongly object to the proposal to create a combined Trumpington and Queen Edith's Division. As we stated in our response to the original consultation, we believe it would be much more effective to have an enlarged Trumpington Division with its eastern boundary extended to the west side of Hills Road/Babraham Road and no further.

There are three reasons for our objection to the revised proposal:

1. As we stated in response to the earlier proposal, community groups and residents within Trumpington have limited interaction with residents in Queen Edith's and we do not believe the proposed enlarged division reflects community identity.
2. We believe you are underestimating the growth in population in Trumpington. The Clay Farm, Glebe Farm and city parts of the Trumpington Meadows developments, plus the Bell School development, will have been largely completed before 2020. These areas of high density housing are transforming the size of the local population.
3. We are concerned about the concept of two members for a combined division and the effectiveness of these members in representing the interests of Trumpington residents.

Andrew Roberts
Secretary
Trumpington Residents' Association