

## Hinds, Alex

---

**From:** Mayers, Mishka on behalf of reviews  
**Sent:** 30 November 2015 18:23  
**To:** Hinds, Alex  
**Subject:** FW: Cambridgeshire County Council Boundary Review

---

**From:** Chris Boden [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 30 November 2015 13:26  
**To:** reviews <reviews@lgbce.org.uk>  
**Subject:** Fw: Cambridgeshire County Council Boundary Review

Good Afternoon.

As a Cambridgeshire County Councillor, I would like to comment (in relation to the current further consultation in respect of Cambridgeshire County Division boundaries within the City of Cambridge) that it is my experience that two-member Divisions at County level tend to promote good local representation far less well than single-member Divisions.

There is a much clearer connection between electors and their Councillor in a single-member Division with 8,500 electors and a single County Councillor than there is in a two-member Division with 17,000 electors and two County Councillors. Whilst there may be arithmetic equality of representation in those two cases, the quality of representation is far harder to maintain in a supersized two-member division than in single-member Divisions because of the far greater number of households a Councillor has to try to reach.

Accordingly I would ask that Trumpington and Queen Edith's Divisions of Cambridgeshire County Council remain as two separate Divisions rather than being combined in an oversized two-member Division. I'd ask that the same separation process occur in Castle and Newnham Divisions.

In your earlier stage of consultation you consulted upon a single-member option for Trumpington Division. I'd ask that that Division be re-instated on the same boundaries you previously consulted on.

Yours faithfully,

Chris Boden  
(Cambridgeshire County Councillor, Whittlesey South Division)