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Elmbridge District

Personal Details:
Name: [redacted]
E-mail: [redacted]
Postcode: [redacted]
Organisation Name: [redacted]

Feature Annotations

Map Features:

Annotation 1: Include this area within the Hinchley Wood & Weston Green Ward

Comment text:
By including the above area within the Hinchley Wood and Weston Green Ward it ensures that the Hinchley Wood Scout and Guide HQ remains within the ward which they are primarily serving. It also means that the allotments and recreation ground, which is accessed from Manor Road North are also included. These are primarily, but not exclusively used by residents of Hinchley Wood, whilst residents of Long Ditton Ward make more use of Giggs Hill Green as a recreation area.

Uploaded Documents:
None Uploaded
Hersham Ward Boundary Changes - Objection Letter.

Dear Sir or Madam,

We object to the merging of Burwood park into Oatlands Park Ward for the reasons stated below.

1. The proposed boundary changes move Burwood Park from an orientation to Hersham Village, to which it shares a postcode, to Oatlands, from which it is physically separated by a railway line.

2. Burwood Park has an affinity with Hersham Village due to the rural nature of the community compared to Oatlands, which is a residential area with no natural centre. Residents of Burwood Park use the facilities of Hersham Village for shopping, the library, the doctor’s surgery and the Park lies within the parish of St Peter’s Church. The proposed new Wards are therefore not orientated correctly.

3. There is a risk that future Burwood Park planning issues will be considered in a residential context rather than the rural environment, which exists today. The current Hersham orientated local councillors understand and fully support the interests of Burwood Park residents.

4. The proposed boundary change to move Burwood Park into the Oatlands Ward, results in a change in parliamentary boundary - from Esher and Walton to Weybridge and Runnymede. There are currently no Elmbridge Borough Wards across Parliamentary boundaries. This is a mis-match, which other Wards do not suffer and will add complexity for residents to navigate and gain support for specific local issues.

It is recommended that the Review Officer re-considers the boundary changes and retains Burwood Park and the surrounding roads in the Hersham Ward as proposed by Elmbridge Borough Council.

Thank you for your support on this matter.
Hersham Ward Boundary Changes - OBJECTION LETTER

I understand that in early July, the Commission recommended that Burwood Park together with Westcar Lane, Eastwick Road, Kenwood Drive and parts of Queens Road and Burwood Road would be merged into Oatlands Park Ward.

As a resident of Burwood Park I would like to object to this change on the following grounds:

1. The proposed boundary changes moves Burwood Park from an orientation to Hersham Village, to which it shares a postcode, to Oatlands, from which it is physically separated by a railway line.

2. Burwood Park has an affinity with Hersham Village due to the rural nature of the community compared to Oatlands, which is a residential area with no natural centre. As a resident of Burwood Park I normally use the facilities of Hersham Village for shopping, and my doctor’s surgery and the Park lies within the parish of St Peter's Church. The proposed new Wards are therefore not orientated correctly.

3. There is a risk that future Burwood Park planning issues will be considered in a residential context rather than the rural environment, which exists today. The current Hersham orientated local councillors understand and fully support the interests of Burwood Park residents.

4. The proposed boundary change to move Burwood Park into the Oatlands Ward, results in a change in parliamentary boundary - from Esher and Walton to Weybridge and Runnymede. There are currently no Elmbridge Borough Wards across Parliamentary boundaries. This is a mis-match, which other Wards do not suffer and will add complexity for residents to navigate and gain support for specific local issues.

I would ask that the Review Officer re-considers the boundary changes and retains Burwood Park and the surrounding roads in the Hersham Ward as proposed by Elmbridge Borough Council.
Elmbridge District

Personal Details:
Name: 
E-mail: 
Postcode: 
Organisation Name: 
Feature Annotations

Map Features:

Annotation 1: Include this area (Hinchley Wood Scout and Guide Headquarters) in the Hinchley Wood & Weston Green Ward

Annotation 2:

Comment text:
Please include the highlighted area in the Hinchley Wood & Weston Green Ward - this will ensure the Hinchley Wood Scouts and Guides Headquarters stays within the ward where all of its community activity takes place and can be adequately supported by the Hinchley Wood & Weston Green councillors. Long Ditton already has a scout group and therefore its councillors would not need to spread their concern for a Scout Group which does not actively participate in that community. This adjustment would have no impact on the balancing of the electoral roll numbers as no residents reside in the highlighted area and is merely a boundary adjustment.

Uploaded Documents:
None Uploaded
KEEP HERSHAM LIBRARY IN HERSHAM CAMPAIGN

13th July 2015

I am opposed to Hersham Library being part of an Esher Ward. Hersham Library should stay in Hersham and belong in the Hersham Village Ward. It will not affect the number of voters. Councillors in Esher are too far away from Hersham Library and they have their own library to support. Please reconsider the new boundaries and keep Hersham Library where it belongs.

My comments:
I was very pleased to discover such a valuable resource as a local library when I moved into Hersham Village 5 years ago.
I was involved in the campaign to keep it open and in view of plans to change local transport - a local library is even more necessary.

Name:  

The Review Officer (Elmbridge)  
LGBCE  
14th Floor  
Millbank Tower  
London SW1P 4QP

RECEIVED  
24 JUL 2313
KEEP HERSHAM LIBRARY IN HERSHAM CAMPAIGN
13th July 2015
I am opposed to Hersham Library being part of an Esher Ward. Hersham Library should stay in Hersham and belongs in the Hersham Village Ward. It will not affect the number of voters. Councillors in Esher are too far away from Hersham Library and they have their own library to support. Please reconsider the new boundaries and keep Hersham Library where it belongs.

My comments:
Hersham library needs to stay in the Hersham Village Ward. It's an easy friendly accessible library which I need for access for my special needs child. Plus why have 2 libraries in Esher??

Name: [Redacted]
Address: [Redacted]

The Review Officer (Elmbridge)
LGBCE
14th Floor
Millbank Tower
London SW1P 4QP

RECEIVED
24 JUL 2015
I support the proposed inclusion of Whiteley Village into the Weybridge St George's Hill Ward. As a resident of Whiteley Village I think our historic and unique almshouse community for the elderly poor would be best served by incorporation into the neighbouring residential boundary areas we were established in 1907, prior to the development of St George's Hill and Burwood Park; therefore, our desire to keep our heritage, physical environment and protected status would be best served by making alliances with the affluent residential neighbourhoods which border our 250 estate: it is in the interests of these affluent property owners to ensure that the unique character of Whiteley Village is not destroyed by imprudent building plans or fundraising initiatives that would reduce the aesthetic, social and cultural foundations of Whiteley Village. Moreover, by inclusion with Weybridge and St George's Hill Ward, Whiteley Village would benefit in its fundraising program by enabling direct contact with neighbours of High Net Worth whose self-interest in maintaining their property values would certainly benefit the fundraising and endowment programs of Whiteley Village. Whiteley Village was the first 'gated community' in Elmbridge and is now surrounded by several exclusive gated residential communities: we have more in common with these residential neighbourhoods than many would think, foremost being the desire to keep Whiteley Village a viable and financially sound almshouse charity while maintaining its unique character as an Arts & Crafts model village and conservation heritage site.
Elmbridge District

Personal Details:

Name: [Redacted]
E-mail: [Redacted]
Postcode: [Redacted]

Organisation Name: Resident of Whiteley Village

Comment text:

I support the inclusion of Whiteley Village into the proposed WEYBRIDGE ST GEORGE'S HILL WARD. Whiteley Village is an historic and unique almshouse community established for the elderly poor in 1907. It was designed as a 'model village' inspired by the Arts & Crafts movement of the early 20th Century and has been given conservation status by Elmbridge Borough Council; it is also the only surviving philanthropic model village of its kind in the UK. Whiteley Village was the first 'gated community' in Elmbridge and predates the neighbouring gated communities of St. George's Hill and Burwood Park by several decades. Whiteley Village is an estate of 240 acres which includes the residential 'octagon village' as well as woodlands and a cricket pitch and rugby field. The architectural and aesthetic merit of Whiteley Village is renown for its charm and unique character. The 300 elderly residents of the Whiteley Village comprise a wide range of backgrounds and interests; however, one element common to all is the energetic support for the continued viability of Whiteley Village as constituted in its founding trust: "a haven for the retired poor from the concerns of the outside world". In this regard, Villagers are adamant that the privacy, solitude and peacefulness of the Village be maintained for the benefit of retired persons, but also that possible building development is limited so as not to destroy the essence of what makes Whiteley Village the unique community for which it was intended to be. I therefore think that Whiteley Village--and most especially its elderly residents--would benefit by being a part of WEYBRIDGE ST GEORGE'S HILL WARD as the prospects for its continued viability would be increased by its proximity to its High Net Worth neighbours and the association with them that would impact fundraising initiatives by the Whiteley Village Housing Trust. This is because those High Net Worth persons living in surrounding gated communities would be anxious to maintain the historic character of Whiteley Village as an aesthetic and conservation area in their own self-interests: they would not wish to see Whiteley Village turned into a sink estate due to ordinary housing estate that would adversely affect their own property values in St. George's Hill and Burwood Park; therefore, these High Net Worth property owners might be more prone to assist the Trustees of the Whiteley Village Housing Trust in their constant need to increase fund raising and endowment gifts. At present, the Trustees do not have any viable and reliable relationship with our wealthy neighbours; however, by being part of WEYBRIDGE ST GEORGE'S WARD, our Trustees would have a means and opportunity to reach out to these High Net Worth persons thereby increasing the potential for securing the financial and cultural well being of Whiteley Village in future years. Whiteley Village is a unique treasure of our nation's heritage and should be given every opportunity to continue its financial viability by integrating it with its wealthy and philanthropic neighbours. To the Boundaries Commission of the UK, please come and visit Whiteley Village and see for yourselves why integration into WEYBRIDGE ST GEORGE'S HILL is a GOOD & BENEFICIAL change to Elmbridge Borough Council. Thank you for your time and consideration.

Uploaded Documents:

Download
KEEP HERSHEYAM LIBRARY IN HERSHEYAM CAMPAIGN

13th July 2015
I am opposed to Hersham Library being part of an Esher Ward. Hersham Library should stay in Hersham and belongs in the Hersham Village Ward. It will not affect the number of voters. Councillors in Esher are too far away from Hersham Library and they have their own library to support. Please reconsider the new boundaries and keep Hersham Library where it belongs.

My comments:
Also I live at [Black/redacted] and consider myself to live in Hersham. The River Mole is a natural boundary between Hersham + Esher - keep it that way.

Name:

Address:


The Review Officer (Elmbridge)
LGBCE
14th Floor
Millbank Tower
London SW1P 4QP

RECEIVED
03 AUG 2015
From: Fuller, Heather
Sent: 05 August 2015 09:39
To: Hinds, Alex
Subject: FW: Proposed new Elmbridge boundaries

From: reviews@lgbce.org.uk
Sent: 05 August 2015 09:26
To: reviews@lgbce.org.uk
Subject: Proposed new Elmbridge boundaries

Re your proposals to incorporate certain roads at present in Hersham South ward with Oatlands Park Ward I am writing to protest against this on the following grounds.

Hersham Village has a real village atmosphere, a sense of belonging which is vital and dear to those who live there. We centre around the village green, the community hall and church, the doctor’s surgery, the post office, the library and the shopping centre. Groups meet here and there is a real feeling of community.

We ask “Where is Oatlands Park?”. It’s a vaguely known housing area with no real centre, separated from us by the railway line and a busy main road. Unless you know someone who lives there no one living in Hersham would have any need to go there. It is in a different Parliamentary Constituency from us and if the proposes boundary change go through I believe we would be the only Borough ward to cross Parliamentary boundaries. This just doesn’t make sense.

I understand Elmbridge Borough Council proposed those roads affected be incorporated into an enlarged Hersham South Ward to be named Hersham Village Ward. Our local councillors know us, are known buy us and they live in the community. I feel they best represent the interests of their constituents and they be listened to.
To whom it may concern,

It is with great sadness, my husband and I residing on [redacted], learnt that the boundary areas would be changing.

We have lived on this road for 37 years and have sadly watched all the lively, friendly little village grocer, fishmonger, etc lose out to all these big supermarkets that are run like conveyor belts without any feelings in them. Hersham was a small friendly village and we are only left with this little library as a gathering place. The village post office has a friendly owner as does the pharmacy. We have grown with them. Oat lands is a foreign place to us. The surgery is known to us and our grandchildren are down in the green every weekend.

We would rather stay in Hersham village ward where the councillors are known to us. Also oat lands is in a different parliamentary constituency than esher and Walton.

If we are moved to the oat lands park ward we will presumably have to go to vote in oat lands and that is a definite NO NO.

We would rather stay in the Hersham village ward area.

Many thanks.

Sent from my iPad
Elmbridge District

Personal Details:

Name: [Masked]
E-mail: [Masked]
Postcode: [Masked]
Organisation Name: [Masked]

Comment text:

I believe that the area known as Lower Green should be part of the Weston Green Ward. The links between WG and LG such as the school and church are historic. Separating it would be to divide an existing community to the detriment of all concerned.

Uploaded Documents:

None Uploaded
Moving roads east of the Portsmouth Road into Long Ditton cuts against long historical connections these residents had with the Parish of St. Nicholas. The centre of their interests revolved around Giggs Hill Green with the cricket and The Angel Inn, the Church and the activities in the Church Hall, shopping in the village, and the schools. Why spoil a fraternity by moving the boundary almost unnecessarily?
Hinds, Alex

From: Mayers, Mishka on behalf of reviews
Sent: 11 August 2015 16:59
To: Hinds, Alex
Subject: FW: Boundary Review

-----Original Message-----
From: [redacted]
Sent: 11 August 2015 13:23
To: reviews <reviews@lgbce.org.uk>
Subject: Boundary Review

Dear Sir,
I have received a leaflet from three Hersham South Councillors (John Sheldon, John O'Reilly, Ruth Mitchell) asking that we request to remain in Hersham rather than being merged with Oatlands Park Ward.

Most of my friends and I would be very happy to be part of Oatlands Park Ward.

When we moved into this area our address was Walton on Thames, however, the Hersham Village Society, with no consultation from us, had the postal address changed to Hersham.
This seems to be Empire building of the grossest kind, even claiming that parts of St George's Hill should be classed as Hersham.

The leaflet states that most of us visit Hersham regularly for doctors, dentist, opticians etc but talking to my neighbours and friends this is not the case.

Thank you

Sent from my iPad


Subject: FW: Hersham Boundary Changes

Hi Alex,

I’ve happily lived in Hersham for the past 23 odd years. Hersham has so much to offer i.e. a small but convenient supermarket with everything you need in it and my main source for shopping, it has a wonderful community village feel (always thought our postal address should be Hersham Village to reflect that it is a village), amazing river walks, I could go on but suffice to say I’ve always been very proud to be a resident of Hersham, which is why I’m concerned and confused about the proposed boundary changes.

Having read the reports that maybe moved to the Esher Ward I’m still confused about on how this will affect myself and their constituents effected by the proposed changes and hope that you can clarify a few points for me.

My main concerns are as follows:

1) I am a resident of Hersham not Esher and although Esher is a lovely place to live and work my main priorities lay with local matters in the Hersham area so given that I could be moved to the Esher ward would I still have any say about what happens in my local area of Hersham.

2) My elderly mum and I are lucky in that our doctors surgery is nearby and at the moment we are under the care of a wonderful doctor there. The Hersham Surgery only takes residents in the Hersham catchment area of KT12 4 and 5 so if the proposed boundary move went ahead would we still be able to use this particular surgery or would we have the upheaval and inconvenience of finding another surgery in Esher and would we be able to use the Hersham Day Centre facilities etc.

3) Recently my mum has been under the superb care of Ashford & St Peters Hospitals, should the boundary changes go ahead would we now be under Kingston Hospitals care as Esher is at the moment.

4) Will our address and postcode change to reflect being in the Esher Ward, if not now could this happen in the future.

5) Finally would I still be a resident of Hersham as the proposed ward change comes under Esher/Hersham Riverside

I look forward to hearing from you
Dear Sir

I note the proposed changes to boundaries for I elections and I do understand the need for the change.

I live at

This property is affected by the changes. I note in particular in your announcement:

“We also want to ensure that our proposals reflect the interests and identities of local communities across Elmbridge and that the pattern of wards can help the council deliver effective local government to local people.

I want to express in very clear terms that our road is very firmly associated with Molesey, There is no road access eastwards to Hampton Court way and access to Molesey from Thames Ditton was restricted via road changes 15 years ago. This prevents right turn access to Molesey via Hampton Court way from Summer Road.

Indeed to the north you have ensured Hampton Court station remains in Molesey for the very reason of community. I quote

‘We have made one modification to the proposals which is to transfer the area around Hampton Court Station to Molesey East ward as we consider this area has a stronger community identity with Molesey.’

I would be very concerned indeed that to be moved to the Thames Ditton ward would not be effective. I cannot see that a Thames Ditton oriented councillor will have any connection to the issues and concerns we have in Molesey, where our services, shops and social lives are oriented towards East Molesey, not Thames Ditton.

As an alternative I would propose the Molesey West boundary could be moved to incorporate Hurst park housing and the area south of that, Indeed on the map the recreation ground is named ‘West Molesey’ although you have proposed it in the Molesey East Ward.

You note that you have visited the area. If you visited Molemer Road, you would realise how closely it is associated with Molesey. There is a clue in the road name.
This document, together with any attachments, is for the exclusive and confidential use of the recipient addressee. Any other distribution, use or reproduction without our prior consent is unauthorised and strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error or want to be removed from our database, please notify the sender immediately.
Dear Sir

I am emailing to express concern over the current review of the boundaries of Elmbridge Council. I totally understand the reasoning and support the idea of fewer councillors who will represent wards of equal size. My concern is in redefining the boundary of Hersham Village, Hersham Library will no longer be in Hersham but Esher. The library is well used by Hersham residents including me and my family and is easily accessible by foot to all in the ward. Esher has its own library which is at least 2 miles from the village and the concern is if the boundaries are changed, this may result in the loss of Hersham library.

Regards

Sent from my iPad
The Review Officer (Elmbridge),
LGBCE,
14th Floor,
Millbank Tower,
London,
SW1P 4QP

5th August 2015

BC Proposal to create a new Ward called Hinchley Wood & Weston Green.

Dear Sir,

With reference to the above, I would like the proposal to be amended so that Hinchley Wood and Weston Green Ward be further extended to take in part of the Esher Ward, Lower Green which is north of the railway line. The two communities have strong geographic, faith, educational and social ties. Elmbridge Borough Council is also recommending this change.

Cranmere School, which is to be relocated to Arran Way, Esher in 2016, serves both Weston Green and Lower Green families. The school needs to be retained in the expanded Hinchley Wood and Weston Green Ward in order that important community links and the valuable support built over the years can be retained. I am reliably informed that The Head Teacher has already written to the BC stating this fact. Governors, retiring Chairman of Governors and the candidate who has been asked to stand as her replacement are all Weston Green residents.

Cranmere has a strong link with the church of All Saints’ Weston Green and is nominated by Guildford Diocese as being the church to provide the pastoral care for the school. The Vicar of All Saints’ serves on the Board of Governors. There are strong links between members of All Saints’ Weston and families in Lower Green as their friendly local church. The Foodbank operates from All Saints’ and serves Lower Green.

Many Lower Green and Weston Green residents (parents and children) attend All Saints’ Church – ‘SmAll Saints’ (pre-school worship), ‘M essy Church’, and the new 4pm. Family Service.

A new Co-op, soon to be built close to the church, means that Lower Green and Weston Green will have a shared local supermarket.

Weston Green residents work in Lower Green and vice versa.

A bus route links Weston Green and Lower Green and the nearest Railway Station (Esher) is reached from Lower Green via Weston Green.

Many residents in Weston Green and Lower Green are supportive of the proposal to further extend the new Hinchley Wood and Weston Green Ward to take in part of the Esher Ward known as Lower Green.

Yours sincerely,
14 August 2015

Dear Sirs,

**Elmbridge Electoral Review - Proposals for WESTON GREEN**

I am given to understand that the number of Elmbridge Borough Councillors is being reduced from 60 to 48 and that this will result in the Wards of Hinchley Wood and Weston Green being merged to form one 3 x Councillor Ward, albeit they are two very distinct communities with their own identities but am delighted that Weston Green within the new ward boundary will be kept intact.

I note and further understand that Elmbridge Borough Council have proposed an amendment, passed in Full Council on 22 July 2015 to include those households in Lower Green which are north of the railway line into the new ward of Hinchley Wood and Weston Green Ward, particularly the area of Arran Way to where Cranmere Primary School is to be re-located in 2016. In fact one Esher Councillor is in favour of this because he stated in Full Council ‘that it was a logical move’

The majority of the children who go to Cranmere come mainly from both Weston Green and Lower Green rather than the wider Esher area and the school’s pastoral care is undertaken by All Saints’ Weston.

Lower Green and Weston Green share many community facilities and problems. So it makes sense to combine Lower Green into the new Ward of Hinchley Wood and Weston Green.

I sincerely trust you will agree.

Yours faithfully,

[Signature]

[Date]
From: Fuller, Heather
Sent: Tuesday, August 18, 2015 04:08 PM
To: Hinds, Alex
Subject: FW: Elmbridge Electoral Review: Objection to proposals for Thames Ditton Ward

Subject: Elmbridge Electoral Review: Objection to proposals for Thames Ditton Ward

My wife and I have lived in Thames Ditton for over 40 years.

We have always seen ourselves as part of Thames Ditton and St Nicholas Parish. and after, we all got on to a When I got out the car with my daughter at the Church it was pouring with rain. Half of Thames Ditton seemed to appear with umbrellas etc. There is a strong community spirit here.

We see Giggs Hill Green as our village green. We go to as many events as we can. For example, the recent Ride London and Surrey event passed by there. Families were picnicking on the green. We based ourselves round the Angel Pub. Always a good atmosphere there, especially at events like this. We regularly go into the village to the pubs. They seem to have a more welcoming feel than the Long Ditton ones.

It seems a bit strange that we will keep our Thames Ditton postal address, but will not be Thames Ditton for electoral purposes. Is this to appease those who see a Thames Ditton address as more advantageous for house price values!

Thames Ditton has a superb Residents Association that keeps us informed and involved as a community. If it wasn't for them we would not have known about this boundary change. We have had no information from official channels. Some information could have been included in the Register of Electors mailing we had recently. It does make one feel suspicious that this proposal is being sneaked through. We have not heard anything from Long Ditton Residents Association. Surely they would have a vested interest in letting us know their views!

We have 3 excellent Residents Association Councillors who live in Thames Ditton. Long Ditton has 3 Liberal Democrat Councillors, one of whom lives in Weybridge. I know who I want representing me!

To sum up, I don't want to be cut off from a strong and vibrant community for the sake of bureaucratic convenience.

Yours sincerely
From: Starkie, Emily
Sent: 24 August 2015 16:50
To: Hinds, Alex
Subject: FW: Elmbridge Electoral Review - Objection: Proposals for Thames Ditton Ward

From: Fuller, Heather
Sent: 23 August 2015 10:21
To: reviews <reviews@lgbce.org.uk>
Cc: 
Subject: Elmbridge Electoral Review - Objection: Proposals for Thames Ditton Ward

The Review Officer (Elmbridge)
Local Government Boundary Commission for England
14th Floor, Millbank Tower
London SW1P 4QP

Dear Sir

We are residents of , Thames Ditton, and wish to object to the proposal to remove all residents East of the Portsmouth Road into Long Ditton Ward.

We chose to live in Thames Ditton over 30 years ago because of its character and village atmosphere which it has retained to the present day. This has been due to the Residents’ Association for Thames Ditton and Weston Green actively improving and campaigning in this respect. We have contributed to the Residents Association over the years and they supported us on a planning issue. We feel strongly about many aspects of Thames Ditton and under the new proposals we would not be eligible to have a say. Also, there is no Long Ditton Conservation area or Advisory Committee.

We and our children have attended St Nicholas Church, the Vera Fletcher Hall, I attend a class at the Thames Ditton Community Centre and we are patients at the Doctors at Giggs Hill Green. We also regularly shop in Thames Ditton and socialise in their cafes, restaurants etc. We do not do this in Long Ditton.

We feel very strongly about remaining in the community of Thames Ditton and hope we will continue to do so. We would be very upset to be removed to Long Ditton for the sake of bureaucratic convenience.

Yours faithfully
Starkie, Emily

From: Fuller, Heather
Sent: 27 August 2015 08:57
To: Starkie, Emily
Subject: FW: Esher Ward Boundary Changes; FAO Reviews Officer

Dear Sir / Madam,

I write in respect of the proposed boundary changes to the Esher Ward.

Though I can reluctantly accept the inclusion of part of Hersham into the ward (though it has no organic connection to Esher), I am really opposed to the excision of the Lower Green neighborhood. This has good road and bus links to Esher, has a community centre which has been proposed by the current council administration as a replacement for the King George's Hall in Esher, and its inhabitants very much use the shopping and leisure facilities in Esher centre.

I would also hate to see the name of the Ward changed.