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PROPOSALS FOR THE FUTURE ELECTORAL ARRANGEMENTS
FOR THE LONDON BOROUGH OF HARROW

1. We, the Local Government Boundary Commission for England, having carried
out a review of the electoral arrangements for the London Borough of Harrow,
in accordance with the requirements of section 50(3) of the Local Government
Act 1972, present our proposals for the future electoral arrangements for that
London borough.

2. In accordance with the procedure laid down in section 60(1) and (2) of the
1972 Act, notice was given on 10 June 1975 that we were to undertake this
review. This was incorporated in a consultation letter addressed to the
Harrow Borough Council, copies of which were circulated to the Greater London
Council, the London Boroughs Association, the Association of Metropolitan
Authorities, the Members of Parliament for the constituencies concerned, the
headquarters of the main political parties and the Greater London Regional
Council of the Labour Party. Copies were also sent to the editors of local
newspapers circulating in the area and of the local government press. Notices
inserted in the local press announced the start of the review and invited
comments from members of the public and from interested bodies.

3. Harrow Borough Council were invited to prepare a draft scheme of
representation for our consideration. In doing so, they were asked to observe
the rules laid down in Schedule 11 to the Local Government Act 1972 and the
guidelines which we set out in our letter of 10 June 1975 about the proposed
size of the council and the proposed number of councillors for each ward.
They were asked also to take into account any views expressed to them following
their consultation with local interests. We therefore asked that they should
publish details of their provisional proposals about six weeks before they
submitted their draft scheme to us, thus allowing an opportunity for local
comment.
4. On 18 February 1976 Harrow Borough Council presented their draft scheme of representation. The Council proposed to divide the area of the borough into 21 wards each returning 3 councillors to form a council of 63 members.

5. The Borough Council's submission included copies of correspondence received by them during their local consultations, which we considered together with comments which had been sent to us direct. These included five alternative schemes, two submitted by one political party, one by another political party, one by a Ratepayers association and one by a Ratepayers and Residents association. The alternative schemes allowed for councils of 54 members, 60 members and 63 members.

6. We studied the Council's draft scheme together with the comments and the alternative schemes. We considered that the Council's draft scheme offered the best standard of representation, and, subject to changing two of the ward names and making some minor boundary realignments suggested to us by Ordnance Survey, we adopted the draft scheme as our draft proposals.

7. On 24 November 1976 we issued our draft proposals and these were sent to all who had received our consultation letter or had commented on the Council's draft scheme. The Council were asked to make these draft proposals, and the accompanying map which defined the proposed ward boundaries, available for inspection at their main offices. Representations were invited from those to whom they were circulated and, by public notices, from other members of the public and interested bodies. We asked for comments to reach us by 25 January 1977.

8. We received comments from local political parties, organisations and electors. There were complaints that the draft proposals had the effect of breaking community ties. There were objections to the size of the council and to the proposal to create 3-member wards throughout the Borough. Comments were received about the proposed names of wards including changes suggested by the
Council in the light of comments which they had received. Alternative boundaries for some of the wards were suggested.

9. In view of these comments we decided that we needed further information to enable us to reach a conclusion. Therefore, in accordance with section 65(2) of the 1972 Act and at our request, Mr A. C. V Waite was appointed an Assistant Commissioner to hold a local meeting and report to us.

10. The Assistant Commissioner held a local meeting at the Civic Centre, Harrow on 27 May 1977. A copy of his report to us is attached at Schedule 1 to this report.

11. In the light of the discussion at the meeting and of his inspection of the areas concerned, the Assistant Commissioner recommended that the boundary between the proposed Weald and Whitefriars wards should be altered to provide for the whole of the Silver Estate to be incorporated in the Weald ward, and the Headstone Estate to be divided to form part of two wards rather than three. He further recommended boundary adjustments between the proposed Whitefriars and Marlborough wards; the proposed Whitefriars and Kingshill wards; the proposed Weald and Bentley Priory wards, and the proposed Bentley Priory and Wemborough wards. Minor boundary adjustments not affecting electorate were recommended between the proposed Waxwell and Hatch End wards, and the proposed Wemborough and Bentley Priory wards.

12. The Assistant Commissioner recommended that the names of 13 of the proposed wards should be changed as set out below:—
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Commission's draft proposals</th>
<th>A/C's recommendations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bentley Priory</td>
<td>Stanmore Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chundos</td>
<td>Stanmore South</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gayton</td>
<td>Greenhill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glebe</td>
<td>Kenton East</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kingshill</td>
<td>Kenton West</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newton Farm</td>
<td>Roxbourne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rayners</td>
<td>Rayners Lane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rooks Heath</td>
<td>Roxeth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Hill</td>
<td>Harrow-on-the-Hill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waxwell</td>
<td>Pinner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weald</td>
<td>Harrow Weald</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West End</td>
<td>Pinner West</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whitefriars</td>
<td>Wealdstone</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

13. We reviewed our draft proposals in the light of the comments received and of the Assistant Commissioner's report. We concluded that the recommendations made by the Assistant Commissioner should be accepted. Subject to these modifications, we confirmed our draft proposals as our final proposals.

14. Details of these final proposals are set out in Schedules 2 and 3 to this report and on the attached map. Schedule 2 gives the names of the wards and the number of councillors to be returned by each. Schedule 3 is a description of the areas of the new wards. The boundaries of the new wards are defined on the map.
PUBLICATION

15. In accordance with section 60(5)(b) of the Local Government Act 1972 a copy of this report and a copy of the map are being sent to Harrow Borough Council and will be available for public inspection at the Council's main offices. Copies of this report (without map) are being sent to those who received the consultation letter and to those who made comment.

L.S.

Signed:

EDMUND COMPTON (CHAIRMAN)

JOHN M RANKIN (DEPUTY CHAIRMAN)

PHYLLIS BOWDEN

J T BROCKBANK

MICHAEL CHISHOLM

R R THORNTON

ANDREW WHEATLEY

N DIGNEY (Secretary)

15 September 1977
REVIEW OF ELECTORAL ARRANGEMENTS FOR
THE LONDON BOROUGH OF HARROW

REPORT OF AN ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER

1. This Report is submitted following a local meeting held at the Civic Centre, Harrow on Friday 27th May 1977, and visits made to certain areas in the Borough of Harrow discussed at the meeting. A list of those present is set out in Enclosure 1.

2. The Council at present comprises 65 members including 9 aldermen with seven wards each electing three councillors, five wards each electing four councillors, and three wards each electing five councillors.

3. For a 1975 electorate of 152,324 estimated by the Council to grow to 158,355 by 1980, the Council submitted to the Local Government Boundary Commission for England a draft scheme providing for 63 councillors with 21 new wards each returning three members.

4. The Commission considered the Council's draft scheme together with

   (a) two alternative schemes submitted by the London Borough of Harrow Labour Party. One for 54 councillors, the other for 63 councillors but with different ward boundaries from that submitted by the Council.

   (b) an alternative scheme submitted by the Liberal Federation also for 63 councillors with yet even different ward boundaries.

   (c) comments from various Ratepayers and Residents Associations and private individuals criticising the boundaries of various wards because

      (i) boundaries proposed cut across long established communities.

      (ii) the draft scheme imposed a uniform 3-member structure which would lead to the creation of purely arbitrary wards with no community of interest.

      (iii) the names selected for wards were all new and ignored long established place names, some of which were of historical significance.

5. The Commission decided to adopt the Council's draft scheme as the basis of their draft proposals which were published on 24th November 1976, but to accept a suggestion renaming two wards.
The Commission received 34 written comments or objections to their draft proposals, and at the local meeting the following organisations were represented by persons who informed me they might wish to comment on either the whole or part of those proposals:

(a) London Borough Council of Harrow
(b) The London Borough of Harrow Local Government Committee of the Labour Party, who submitted a third alternative scheme
(c) Harrow Liberal Federation
(d) South Harrow and Roxeth Ratepayers' Association
(e) The Pinner Association
(f) Harrow Ratepayers' and Residents' Liaison Committee
(g) Roxbourne Ratepayers' and Residents' Association
(h) West Harrow Ratepayers' and Residents' Association
(i) St. Barnabas Church Hall Users' Committee
(j) Pinner South Residents' Association
(k) Harrow Hill Trust
(l) Harrow Weald Liberal Association
(m) Harrow Weald Branch of Harrow East Constituency Labour Party

In addition the following individuals said they also wished to comment:

(a) Mr. S. Giles-Medhurst
(b) Mr. P.S. Kenny
(c) Mr. H.A. Riches
(d) Mr. P.G. Scott
(e) Mr. C.E. Stenhouse

From the written objections and from preliminary remarks made by those present at the meeting, it seemed to me that the main points for discussion were to be

(i) the size of the 1980 electorate and justification for a council of 63 members,
(ii) possible severance of community ties resulting from increasing the number of wards from 15 to 21 and their effect upon new ward boundaries,
(iii) names of wards.

In response to my invitation Mr. Hill, the Council's Chief Executive opened the discussion by stating the various steps, and the reasons for them, that the Council and its all party working group of members had taken, which had resulted in the proposals for 21 three member wards. The Council had carefully
considered the views initially submitted in response to the invitation sent to all local political Associations, Ratepayers' and Residents' Associations, and other interested parties, and also their comments on the Council's consultative scheme. In later discussion I had the benefit also of Councillor H.T. Mote who was Leader of the Council when the draft scheme was drawn up.

ELECTORATE AND SIZE OF COUNCIL

9. Mr. Hill considered that although the electorate had been relatively static for a number of years, it was likely to grow slightly in the next three years resulting from known programmed development, for which planning permission had been given. This would result in an average electorate of just over 2,500 per councillor, and would justify 63 members which the Council considered was the minimum consistent with the effective conduct of the Council's business. The Council had settled on a three member ward arrangement as being the best for the Borough and it would also provide for any subsequent change to annual elections should this later be permitted for London.

10. While some of the Ratepayers' and Residents' Associations wished to have some two member wards, three member wards were desired by the Council and all the political parties.

11. The Labour Committee like some of the local Associations did not accept the need for 63 councillors, and believed the Council's affairs could be run as efficiently and more cheaply with around 55 councillors. There were now fewer council committees and since the Bains Report, the Chief Officers' Management Board had been created and given considerable responsibility for management of the Council's affairs. They cited as examples:-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Borough</th>
<th>No. of Councillors</th>
<th>Electorate</th>
<th>Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Redbridge</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>178,500</td>
<td>2,975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromley</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>231,376</td>
<td>3,553</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brent</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>181,500</td>
<td>2,750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haringey</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>157,000</td>
<td>2,801</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

12. The Labour Committee forecast a 1980 electorate in Harrow of 154,688. There were two ways of justifying this figure:-

(i) extending to 1980 a graph of the annual electorate since 1970

(ii) by counting the present and planned building developments in the Borough and estimating their effect upon the 1977 electorate.

13. The Committee contended that the Council originally forecast in June 1975, a 1980 electorate of 155,341, but in September 1975 increased it by 3,000. The justification offered for
this sudden increase was in the Committee's view so absurd that they thought it was designed purely to meet the Boundary Commission's minimum. They regarded the minimum average electorate per member of 2,500 as binding on the Commission unless special circumstances applied, which in their view did not at Harrow.

14. Prior to the meeting I had asked the Council for details of where substantial development was likely to take place. Some of these developments were considered by the Labour Committee to be overstated or have highly problematical completion dates.

15. It is my opinion that Harrow is no exception to the slight downward trend of population and reducing occupancy of residential accommodation that most outer London Boroughs are experiencing. Furthermore I consider the Council are highly optimistic in expecting some of the proposed development to be occupied by late 1979. It is therefore my view that the 1980 electorate is more likely to be around 156,000 which would give an average electorate per member of 2,476.

16. The next point for consideration is whether 63 councillors is too many for the size of electorate. I have studied the load of business that councillors are expected to transact, some of the Council's agendas, the reports submitted by its eight main committees, and also the list of outside bodies to which the Council appoint members. I consider that the number of councillors proposed is generous, but bearing in mind the number of councillors and their average electorate that have already been agreed by the Commission for some other London Boroughs, I do not propose to make a recommendation for any reduction below 63 members.

17. There was considerable opposition to many of the proposed ward boundaries on the grounds that they cut across long-established local communities, and the ties those communities had with their local residents', ratepayers' or community associations.

18. At the meeting the Labour Committee withdrew their two previous schemes, but put forward a third scheme for 18 wards electing 54 members, and the Liberal Federation presented their scheme for 21 three member wards, previously considered by the Commission. Both parties stated they were in the interest of preserving local ties and creating good geographical boundaries.

19. In view of my earlier acceptance of 63 members, I have only considered the ward boundaries the parties proposed in so far as they might be an improvement on the Council's proposed boundaries, in the interest of avoiding breaking local ties, or creating boundaries which will remain more easily identifiable.

20. Before referring to the objections to the various wards it
might be helpful if I said that, like a number of other outer London Boroughs, Harrow has more than its fair share of commuter railway lines. The five lines divide the Borough into sectors which could be good natural boundaries, but none of the schemes submitted either by the Council or the political parties could make full use of the railway lines as boundaries, because of the statutory requirement for their three member wards to have as nearly as may be the same electorate. On the otherhand some of the local associations had made better use of the railway lines as boundaries.

THE HEADSTONE AND SILVER ESTATES

21. Under the existing arrangements, both the G.L.C. Headstone Estate and the adjoining private Silver Estate are in the Harrow Weald ward. The effect of the draft proposals would be to divide the former estate between three wards, and the latter between two.

22. The Labour Committee, the Liberal Federation, St. Barnabas Church Users' Committee and some local residents proposed that both Estates should remain in one ward, as they always had been since the Estates were built in 1939. They stated that a close community spirit existed between the residents of both estates, helped by the Cedars Community Centre, the churches and the shopping centre, built as an integral part of the G.L.C. Estate. They regarded the lines drawn by the Council as being particularly perverse when the nearby Euston railway line and Wealdstone High Street were natural boundaries. The Labour Committee also regarded the boundaries the Council had fixed as being purely for political expedience, both at local and parliamentary elections, as the estates would not only be in three wards but likely to be in three parliamentary constituencies.

23. For the Council it was said that the existing North West boundary of Weald ward was Oxhey Lane and it was logical to extend this boundary through Courtenay Avenue, being part of the spinal route through the Borough. The existing boundary of Headstone Lane was no longer a through-route, and the southern boundary had been drawn along the much used Long Elmes. Referring to the community ties, the Council took account of the siting of the Cedars Community Centre and other social meeting places. They also contended that another G.L.C. Housing Estate in the Borough was already in two wards and they were not aware that there had been any lessening of community ties.

24. I have considerable sympathy with the view that the estates should be in one ward. I have examined most carefully the use of the railway line as the western boundary, but to do so an adjustment of some 2,400 electorate had to be made, which had repercussions on other proposed wards. I regarded both the Labour Committee's and the Liberal Federation's schemes for the Borough as generally having less satisfactory overall boundaries. The Pinner South Residents' Association also put in a scheme to which I will
refer later, and which used the existing ward boundary of Headstone Lane, but this scheme had its drawbacks, as did also possible schemes I tried to devise following the meeting. On the otherhand I accept the Council's view that Courtenay Avenue is a wide, well-used road and therefore a good boundary. Although it is not yet classed as a metropolitan road I understand for maintenance purposes it is regarded as such. It has a traffic flow of over 17,000 and when further improvements in other parts of Harrow are carried out to the North to South spine road, it will undoubtedly become part of a major traffic route linking the M1 with the M40 and M4 at Heathrow. While Long Elmes is an important East-West distributor route it is a local road which I do not regard as likely to be in the same category. By using the whole of Courtenay Avenue as a ward boundary but deviating from Long Elmes for a short distance, it would ensure the G.L.C. estate is only split into two wards, and that the Silver Estate remains intact in one ward.

25. I accordingly RECOMMEND

(1) that the boundary of Weald ward be altered by extending its western boundary southwards along Courtenay Avenue to the bridge over the railway, thence to the footbridge to Carmelite Road over the railway thence along the middle of Carmelite Road and Carmelite Way to its junction with Windsor Road, and thence along the rear boundary of property on the eastside of Windsor Road, and Clewer Crescent to its junction with Long Elmes.

I am advised that the electorate involved in this transfer from Whitefriars ward is 932 (1975 electorate).

26. Towards restoring some of the electorate taken from Whitefriars and creating a better boundary between Whitefriars and Marlborough ward I RECOMMEND

(2) that the boundary between Whitefriars and Marlborough wards be amended so that it runs along the centre of Headstone Drive, the High Street and Locket Road, instead of along the rear boundary of property in those roads.

The electorate involved is 153 (1975 electorate).

(3) in consequence of (2) it would be prudent also for the boundary between Whitefriars and Kingshill wards to be amended from the rear boundary of property on the North side of Locket Road to the centre of that road.

The electorate involved is 73.

27. As I mentioned in paragraph 15 above I was concerned that some
of the Council's estimates of development before 1980 were optimistic. Bentley Priory ward which adjoins Weald ward is scheduled for an increased electorate of 1,000 over the 1975 electorate of 6,230. Even if this were wholly achieved it would only give an entitlement of 2.88. Towards ensuring a more even electorate and at the same time reducing the proposed electorate of Weald which is increased by Recommendation (1) I would RECOMMEND

(4) that the boundary between Weald and Bentley Priory wards be altered by using the existing boundary between the existing wards of Harrow Weald and Stanmore North.

The electorate involved is 813.

ROXBURNE AND ROXETH AREA

28. The southwest corner of the Borough is separated from the rest of the Borough by the Piccadilly Railway Line, and at present comprises two wards with a 1975 electorate of 20,026, electing a total of seven councillors. The Commission's draft proposal is to divide this area into three 3 member wards and include in the Rayners ward a further electorate of 2,552 north of the Piccadilly Line.

29. The Roxbourne Ratepayers' Association, which at present serves the western portion of the proposed Rayners and Newton Farm wards, suggested that the area to the north of the railway line should be deleted from Rayners ward, as it had no affinity with the area to the south of the line, and that the reduced ward should only elect two members. Alternatively as I understood it, they also wished for a minor adjustment in the boundary between the remainder of Rayners ward and Newton Farm ward so as to include the whole of a pre-war estate in Rayners ward, and as the two wards would then have an equal electorate they each should only return two members. They were supported by the South Harrow and Roxeth Ratepayers' Association, and so far as the exclusion of the electorate to the north of the Piccadilly Line, also by the Pinner South Residents' Association. There was however, strong opposition from the Council, and both political parties to the creation of anything other than three member wards. Furthermore the Labour Committee would like also to have amended the boundary between Rayners and Newton Farm so as to include the whole of a council estate in one ward.

30. The Council contended that the various facilities provided around Rayners Lane Station linked the communities on both sides of the railway line.

PINNER AND WEST HARROW AREA

31. To the north of the Piccadilly Line there are at present the Pinner and Hatch End, and Pinner South wards each returning five members. The Commission proposes there should be three wards for most of this area, but to add an area with an electorate
of 2,012 south of the Metropolitan Line to the remainder of
the proposed Headstone North ward which lies to the north of
that line.

The Pinner South Residents' Association which claimed 60% of
the residents of the existing ward in membership, strongly
opposed the division of their existing ward into three different
wards with which they claimed their existing community had no
ties. The Association submitted at the meeting a scheme
based on 20 wards with 59 councillors, and as might be
expected their Pinner South ward with a proposed electorate
of 7,353 was carved out of the larger existing Pinner South
ward.

I found the scheme to have certain additional attractions.
It produced a Council membership more in keeping with the size
of the Borough electorate, it kept the area to the Southwest
of the Piccadilly Line referred to in paragraph 28 above intact; it retained most of the existing West Harrow ward, which found favour with the recently revived West Harrow Ratepayers' and Residents' Association, and their proposed boundary for dividing the existing large Pinner-Hatch End
ward was good. Unfortunately it created a two member ward
for Rayners and a Pinner North ward which badly straddled the
Metropolitan Line. It is a great pity this scheme was not
thought of earlier and submitted when the Council asked for the local associations' views, as I am sure it had a good
potential, but needed some adjustments.

On balance but particularly bearing in mind the desire of
the Council and all the political parties for 3-member wards
throughout the Borough it is with some regret that I cannot
recommend any of the schemes put forward by the local associat-
ions. I am sure however, most of them will continue to exist
and serve their communities even with altered ward boundaries.

OTHER PROPOSALS

The Labour Committee made five suggestions for small alterations
of boundaries between wards with a view to creating more
readily identifiable boundaries.

I did not regard two of their suggestions as real improvements, but in my earlier recommendations I have already adopted
another two, and I am grateful to the care the committee took
in checking boundaries, that they have drawn my attention to
what could be an oversight by both the Council and Ordnance
Survey regarding the boundary between Bentley Priory and
Wemborough wards at the west end of The Ridgeway. Travelling
westwards from Elm Park the Council's boundary was north of
The Ridgeway, the Ordnance Survey proposed a boundary which
looped south of Stanmore Junior College then cut north round
number 4 and 2 The Ridgeway, and then south down Old Church
Lane. Numbers 4 and 2 The Ridgeway is now a cleared site and
from inspection it seems to have been incorporated into the
college grounds.
37. I RECOMMEND

(5) that the boundary of Bentley Priory and Wemborough wards between Elm Park and Old Church Lane should be the middle of The Ridgeway.

38. As to the boundary between Waxwell and Hatch End wards, the Ordnance Survey Department, in accordance with their usual practice suggested an amendment to relate the boundary to detail on the ground, and not through an open space as the Council proposed. Initially the Council wished their original line restored on the grounds that there were places for development in the area, which they feel should be included in Hatch End rather than Waxwell ward. In the light of discussing various lines the Council accepted the Ordnance Survey line but wished for a minor deviation which I accept, so that the boundary in the vicinity of Sylvia Avenue would be along a hedge and wire fence and hedge and ditch, so as to provide for any development linked to Sylvia Avenue being in the Hatch End ward.

NAMES OF WARDS

39. In drawing up its draft scheme, which provides for new wards none of which are coterminous with those at present existing, the Council took a conscious decision, in selecting ward names not to use existing names so as to avoid confusion to electors who might find themselves in a different ward with, perhaps, the same or a similar name. Previously in this report I have used those names. However, having realised the extent of local feelings on this, as evidenced by the number of objections, Mr. Hill said the Council had reviewed the matter. Not only did they accept the Commissions proposal for Manor and St. George wards to be renamed Headstone North and Headstone South respectively, but he requested me to accept alterations to the names of nine other wards of which the Council had advised the Commission in their letter of 14th January 1977.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Boundary Commission's Proposal</th>
<th>Council's New Suggestion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bentley Priory</td>
<td>Stanmore Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glebe</td>
<td>Kenton East</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kingshill</td>
<td>Kenton West</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rooks Heath</td>
<td>Roxeth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waxwell</td>
<td>Pinner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West End</td>
<td>Pinner West</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whitefriars</td>
<td>Wealdstone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newton Farm</td>
<td>Newtons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rayners</td>
<td>Rayners Lane</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

40. As only Hatch End of the original 21 names had been generally accepted, I heard representations on the names of
the other nine wards the Council wished to retain, as well as on some of the Council's new suggestions.

While the Council's original idea was thought at the time to be soundly based, and that the new names were topical or geographical, reflecting some local connection, some were nevertheless somewhat obscure in derivation until explained. There is no doubt that the names of the old historical and well established localities of which Harrow has many, found universal favour. In some a e a s local residents' and ratepayers' associations have incorporated these names into their title to identify the area they serve. My difficulty is to select the most suitable, bearing in mind that many have been identified with existing wards now greatly changed.

While most comments only related to the area near where the person lived, two electors had carried out a review of all ward names, while an ex-mayor of the Borough greatly regretted the Council's decision to find new names. In Enclosure II I set out in tabular form the suggestions made to me that seemed to have the greatest support, together with my recommendations and reasons for them where I depart from the Council's suggestions.

CONCLUSION

I am grateful to all those who helped me at the local meeting and who, in the interest of formulating a scheme for good workable wards, took so much trouble and care to present the views of those they represented.

Assistant Commissioner

18th July, 1977
**ELECTORAL REVIEW - INFORMAL MEETING**

**CIVIC CENTRE, HARROW - 27TH MAY, 1977**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>WHOM YOU REPRESENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T.S. HUTTON</td>
<td>215 The Ridgeway, North Harrow</td>
<td>1) Pinner South Residents Assoc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. MASON</td>
<td>94 Village Way, Pinner</td>
<td>2) Harrow Ratepayers &amp; Residents Liaison Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P.W. UNDERWOOD</td>
<td>124 Warden Ave, Rayners Lane</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L.A. FORDER</td>
<td>226 Kings Road, Harrow</td>
<td>Pinner South Residents Assoc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cllr. D.G.A. TYLER</td>
<td>51 The Heights, Northolt</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. ASHTON</td>
<td>195 Walworth Road, S.E.17</td>
<td>Roxbourne Ratepayers Assoc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALF ELDERTON</td>
<td>23 Crofts Road, Harrow</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W.A. HODGES</td>
<td>29 Hillview Gardens, North Harrow</td>
<td>Roxeth Ward &amp; South Harrow &amp; Roxeth Ratepayers Assoc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cllr. E.E. DAVIES</td>
<td>354 Eastcote Lane South Harrow, HA29 AJ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G TEMPLE</td>
<td>31 Langland Crescent, Stanmore</td>
<td>G.London Labour Party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P.S. KENNY</td>
<td>Chicheley Road, Harrow Weald</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.E. STENHOUSE</td>
<td>Ebberston, South Hill Avenue Harrow</td>
<td>Harrow Local Govt. Committee of the Labour Party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>His Worship</td>
<td>CIVIC CENTRE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Mayor</td>
<td>CIVIC CENTRE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cllr. A.G. SELLERS</td>
<td>CIVIC CENTRE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cllr. H.T. MOTE</td>
<td>CIVIC CENTRE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. R. HILL</td>
<td>CIVIC CENTRE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chief Executive</td>
<td>CIVIC CENTRE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms. J. MARGRIE</td>
<td>CIVIC CENTRE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controller, Office of</td>
<td>CIVIC CENTRE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the Chief Executive</td>
<td>CIVIC CENTRE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. R. Gray</td>
<td>CIVIC CENTRE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Address</td>
<td>Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUNE PEGG</td>
<td>68 Boxtree Lane, Harrow Weald</td>
<td>St. Barnabas Church Hall Users' Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HORACE A RICHES</td>
<td>6 Courtenay Avenue, Harrow Weald</td>
<td>Private Resident</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COLIN J. GRAY</td>
<td>11 Lulworth Gardens, South Harrow</td>
<td>Private Resident</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H.E. BERRIDGE</td>
<td>15 Parkbridge Road, Harrow</td>
<td>Harrow Central Conservative Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J.H. WHARMBY</td>
<td>23 Nower Hill, Pinner</td>
<td>Pinner Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M.R. ROSENFELD</td>
<td>c/o 2 Stangate Gardens, Stanmore</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JOHN STUNAWAY</td>
<td>Not given</td>
<td>Harrow Sports Council (Public Relations Officer)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. GILES-MEDHURST</td>
<td>30 Merton Road, West Harrow</td>
<td>West Harrow Ratepayers &amp; Residents Assoc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.G.R. ADAMS</td>
<td>6 Trescoe Gardens</td>
<td>Roxbourne Ratepayers Assoc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.N.E. WINTER</td>
<td>77 Lowlands Road</td>
<td>Harrow Conservatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P.G. SCOTT</td>
<td>11 Duffield Close, Greenhill</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. CRAWLEY-MOORE</td>
<td>26 Elmsleigh Ave, Kenton</td>
<td>Harrow Liberal Federation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. TURNER</td>
<td>136 Cannon Lane, Pinner</td>
<td>Harrow Liberal Federation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. WIMBORNE</td>
<td>16 Cloyster Wood, Edgware</td>
<td>Harrow East Communist Party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. DOBRY</td>
<td></td>
<td>Harrow Observer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## NAMES OF WARDS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Council's original suggestion</th>
<th>Council's suggested amendment</th>
<th>Objectors' suggestion having greatest support</th>
<th>My recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bentley Priory</td>
<td>Stanmore Park</td>
<td>Great Stanmore</td>
<td>Stanmore Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canons</td>
<td></td>
<td>The Stanmores</td>
<td>Canons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centenary</td>
<td></td>
<td>Queensbury</td>
<td>Centenary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chandos</td>
<td></td>
<td>Little Stanmore</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gayton</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glebe</td>
<td>Kenton East</td>
<td>Greenhill</td>
<td>Greenhill (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hatch End</td>
<td></td>
<td>Kenton</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kingshill</td>
<td>Kenton West</td>
<td>Christchurch</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manor</td>
<td>Headstone North</td>
<td>Headstone North</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marlborough</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newton Farm</td>
<td>Newton</td>
<td></td>
<td>Marlborough</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rayners</td>
<td>Rayners Lane</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ridgeway</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rocks Heath</td>
<td>Roxeth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Georges</td>
<td>Headstone South</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Hill</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waxwell</td>
<td>Pinner West</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weald</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wemborough</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West End</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whitefriars</td>
<td>Wealdstone North</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
REASONS

(1) **Stanmore South**

The Council desired Chandos as the name for this ward, while Little Stanmore was suggested by two electors. I find however, the boundaries of this ward are identical with those for the existing Stanmore South ward except for a couple of roads with a small number of electorate. I see no reason to change the name of the existing ward.

(2) **Greenhill**

Greenhill is an old name associated with Harrow, and already linked with Harrow-on-the-Hill, in the name of an existing ward. From a map produced to me at the meeting the original area of Greenhill appeared to include parts of Marlborough ward, as well as the whole of Gayton ward. There seemed however, little enthusiasm for Gayton named after a family estate, whereas Greenhill received considerable support.

(3) **Roxbourne**

Although the Council had on reflection suggested that Newton Farm, of which the ward was once part, become Newtons, there was considerable local hostility to naming this ward after the Council establishments, including a depot. At present it is the most appropriate part of the existing Roxbourne ward if the name is to be preserved, which a number of local electors wished to see.

(4) **Harrow-on-the-Hill**

This is the name of the old town that moved into the Borough and has always been used to identify the area now included in the new ward.

(5) **Harrow Weald**

All those present objecting to the division of the G.L.C. Headstone and Silver Estates, as well as others with historical associations in mind, considered that the area had always been known as Harrow Weald, and should remain so. The Council still felt their original choice of Weald was better but would not object to a change.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME OF WARD</th>
<th>NO OF COUNCILLORS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CANONS</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CENTENARY</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GREENHILL</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HARROW-ON-THE-HILL</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HARROW WEALD</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HATCH END</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEADSTONE NORTH</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEADSTONE SOUTH</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KENTON EAST</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KENTON WEST</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARLBOROUGH</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PINNER</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PINNER WEST</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAYNERS LANE</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIDGEBAY</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROXBORNE</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROXETO</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STANMORE PARK</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STANMORE SOUTH</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WEALDSTONE</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WEMBOROUGH</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
NOTE: Where the boundary is described as following a road, railway, river, canal or similar feature it should be deemed to follow the centre line of the feature unless otherwise stated.

ROXETH WARD

Commencing at a point where the western boundary of the Borough crosses Eastcote Lane, thence northeastwards, eastwards and southeastwards along said lane to Northolt Road, thence northeastwards along said road to the Piccadilly line, thence southeastwards along said railway to a point opposite the northern boundary of Sudbury Hill to Ruislip Gardens railway line, being the southern boundary of the Borough, thence south-westwards to and along said borough boundary and northwestwards along the western boundary of the Borough to the point of commencement.

ROXBOURNE WARD

Commencing at a point where the northern boundary of Roxeth Ward meets the western boundary of the Borough, thence northwestwards along said borough boundary to the northwestern boundary of Roxbourne County Primary School, thence northeastwards along said northwestern boundary and southeastwards along the northeastern boundary of said school to access road to the rear of the properties on the eastern side of Waverley Road, thence northeastwards along said access road to Capthorne Avenue, thence southeastwards along said avenue to Lynton Road, thence south-westwards along said road to a point opposite the northern boundary of No 184 Lynton Road thence southeastwards to and along said northern boundary to the access road to the rear of the properties on the eastern side of Lynton Road, thence southwestwards along said access road and southeastwards along the access road adjacent to the southwestern boundary of No 209 Kings Road to Kings Road, thence northeastwards along said road to Ravenswood Crescent, thence eastwards along said crescent to
a point opposite the access road at the rear of the properties on the southern side of Kings Road and Drake Road, thence northeastwards to and along said access road to the northern boundary of Newton Farm School, thence eastwards along said northern boundary, and continuing along the northern boundary of the Allotment Gardens situated to the east of Newton Farm School to the southern boundary of No 323 Alexandra Avenue, thence and crossing eastwards and northeastwards along said southern boundary/ Alexandra Avenue, to the southern boundary of No 342 Alexandra Avenue, thence eastwards to and along said southern boundary and the northern boundary of No 340 Alexandra Avenue, and continuing eastwards along the northern boundary of the Allotment Gardens situated to the south of the properties in Lucas Avenue, thence eastwards along the southern boundary of Tithe Farm Social Club Sports Ground to the point where it meets the eastern boundary of said ground, thence eastwards from said point crossing Rayners Lane to and northeastwards and eastwards along Maryatt Avenue and Eliot Drive and northeastwards along the unnamed road between Eliot Drive and Welbeck Road to the Piccadilly line, thence southeastwards along said railway to the northern boundary of Roxeth Ward, thence southwestwards, northwestwards and southwestwards along said northern boundary to the point of commencement.

RAYNERS LANE WARD

Commencing at a point where the northern boundary of Roxbourne Ward meets the western boundary of the Borough, thence northwestwards along said borough boundary to the Metropolitan and Piccadilly railway line, thence eastwards along said railway line to Cannon Lane, thence northeastwards along said lane to Village Way, thence southeastwards along said way to a point opposite the rear boundary of No 254 Cannon Lane, thence northeastwards to and along said rear boundary and the rear boundaries of Nos 252-244 Cannon Lane and Nos 4-100 Durley Avenue to the northern most point of No 100 Durley Avenue, thence due east from
said point to Yeading Brook, thence generally northeastwards along said brook to a point being the prolongation southeastwards of the rear boundary of No 174 Whittington Way, thence northwestwards along said prolongation to the eastern boundary of said property, thence northeastwards along said eastern boundary to the rear boundary of No 176 Whittington Way, thence southeastwards along said rear boundary and the rear boundaries of Nos 178-182 Whittington Way and continuing in a straight line to the rear boundaries of nos 190-198 Whittington Way, thence southeastwards along said rear boundaries and northeastwards along the southeastern boundary of No 198 Whittington Way to Whittington Way, thence southeastwards along said way crossing Rayners Lane, to Suffolk Road, thence northeastwards along said road to Lankers Drive, thence southeastwards along said drive to a point opposite the northern boundary of No 56 Lankers Drive, thence northeastwards to and along said northern boundary to the rear boundary of said property, thence southeastwards along said rear boundary, the rear boundaries of Nos 54-50 Lankers Drive crossing Norwood Drive to and along the rear boundaries of Nos 48-34 Lankers Drive crossing Church Drive to and along the rear boundaries of Nos 32-18 Lankers Drive crossing Hawthorn Drive to and along the rear boundaries of Nos 16-10 Lankers Drive to the rear boundary of No 69 Hawthorn Drive, thence northeastwards along said rear boundary and the rear boundaries of Nos 67-5 Hawthorn Drive to the western boundary of No 72 Imperial Drive, thence southeastwards along said western boundary to Imperial Close, thence southeastwards along said close to Imperial Drive, thence southwestwards along said drive and Rayners Lane to the Piccadilly line, thence southeastwards along said railway line to the northern boundary of Roxbourne Ward, thence generally westwards along the said northern boundary to the point of commencement.
RIDGEWAY WARD

Commencing at a point where Station Road crosses the Metropolitan Railway Line, thence southeastwards along said railway to Pinner Road, thence southeastwards along said road to Beesborough Road, thence southwestwards along said road to Whitmore Road, thence northwestwards along said road to a point opposite the eastern boundary of No 2 Whitmore Road, thence northeastwards to and along said eastern boundary to the rear boundary of said property, thence northwestwards along said rear boundary and the rear boundaries of Nos 4-68 Whitmore Road to Treve Avenue, thence southwestwards along said avenue to a point opposite the eastern boundary of No 74 Whitmore Road, thence northwards to and along said eastern boundary to the rear boundary of said property, thence westwards along said rear boundary and the rear boundaries of Nos 76-92 Whitmore Road to the western boundary of No 92 Whitmore Road, thence southwards along said boundary to Whitmore Road, thence westwards along said road to Drury Road, thence northwards along said road to a point opposite the rear boundary of No 94 Whitmore Road, thence southwestwards, northwestwards and southwestwards to and along said rear boundary and the rear boundaries of Nos 96-174 Whitmore Road to and crossing Shaftesbury Avenue,

to the northwestern boundary of No 269 Shaftesbury Avenue, thence southwestwards along said boundary to the rear boundary of said property, thence southeastwards along said rear boundary, and continuing southeastwards and southwestwards along the rear boundaries of Nos 1-47 Furness Road crossing the footpath between Abercorn Crescent and Tintern Way and continuing southwestwards along the eastern boundary of Grange County Primary School, and the rear boundaries of Nos 157-169 Welbeck Road to the southern boundary of the last mentioned property, thence southwestwards along said boundary to the road known as The Arches, thence northwestwards along said road to Welbeck Road, thence southwestwards along said road to the eastern boundary of Rayners Lane Ward, thence northwestwards and northeastwards along said eastern boundary and continuing northeastwards along Imperial Drive and Station Road to the point of commencement.

HEADSTONE SOUTH WARD

Commencing at a point where the northern boundary of Ridgeway Ward meets Station Road, thence northeastwards along said road, Southfield Park and Parkside Way to the road known as Pinner View, thence northwestwards along said road to a point opposite the southern boundary of Parcel No 1875 as shown on 1:2500 Ordnance Survey Plan TQ 1489/1589 Edition 1961 thence north eastwards to and along the said boundary and continuing along the southern boundary of the Sports Ground situated at the northern ends of Fairfield Drive, Edward Road, and Sidney Road, to the road known as Harrow View thence southeastwards along said road to a point opposite the northern boundary of No 99a Hindes Road, thence southwestwards to and along said boundary to the eastern boundary of No 101 Hindes Road, thence northwards along said eastern boundary, southwestwards along the rear boundaries of Nos 101-105 Hindes Road, southwards along the western boundary of No 105 Hindes Road to the rear boundary of No 107 Hindes Road, thence southwestwards along said rear boundary to Salisbury Road, thence southwards along said road to Hindes Road, thence southwestwards along said road to a point opposite the eastern boundary of No 109 Hindes Road, thence northwards to and along said eastern boundary to the rear boundary of said property, thence southwestwards along said rear boundary, and southwards along the western boundary of the said property, and continuing southwestwards along the rear boundaries of Nos 113-121 Hindes Road to the western boundary of No 121 Hindes Road, thence southwards along said western boundary, the western end of Hindes Road and the western boundary of No 134 Hindes Road to the rear boundary of said property, thence southeastwards along said rear boundary and the rear boundaries of Nos 132-114 Hindes Road to the rear boundary of No 88 Roxborough Road, thence southeastwards along said rear boundary, the western end of the access road to the south of No 88 Roxborough Road, and the rear boundaries of Nos 82-12 Roxborough Road, to the southern boundary of No 12 Roxborough Road, thence
northeastwards along said southern boundary to the eastern boundary of No 2
Pinner Road, thence southeastwards along said boundary to Pinner Road,
thence southeastwards along said road to the northern boundary of Ridgeway
Ward, thence northwestwards along said ward boundary to the point of
commencement.

HEADSTONE NORTH WARD
Commencing at a point where the western boundary of Ridgeway Ward meets the
northern boundary of Rayners Lane Ward, thence southwestwards and northwestwards
along said northern boundary to a point opposite the rear boundary of No
570 Rayners Lane, thence northwestwards to and along said rear boundary and
the rear boundaries of Nos 572-580 Rayners Lane, thence northwards in a
straight line to the rear boundary of No 590 Rayners Lane, thence northwestwards
along the rear boundary of said property and the rear boundaries of Nos 592-600 Rayners Lane crossing Lincoln Road and continuing northwestwards along the rear boundaries of Nos 602-620 Rayners Lane, to the
northern boundary of No 15 Lincoln Close, thence northeastwards along
said northern boundary and northwestwards along the western boundary of
No 17 Lincoln Close and the rear boundaries of Nos 36-2 The Ridgeway to
Rayners Lane, thence northwestwards along said lane to a point opposite
the southern boundary of No 654 Rayners Lane, thence northeastwards to and
southeastwards along said boundary and continuing northeastwards along the rear boundaries of Nos 40-28 Grove Road, the northern and eastern boundaries of the lock up garages to the rear of No 22 Grove Road and the rear boundaries of Nos 20-2 Grove Road to the western boundary of No 183 Northumberland Road, thence northeastwards along said boundary and in prolongation thereof to the Metropolitan
Railway Line, thence northwestwards along said railway line to a point
being the prolongation southwestwards of the western boundary of the property
known as Elmdene, The Chase thence northeastwards along said prolongation
and western boundary of said property to the northern boundary of Elmdene,
The Chase thence eastwards along said northern boundary to the western end of the road known as The Chase, thence northwards along said western boundary to the western boundary of the property known as Nower Hill Cottage, The Chase thence northsouthwards and northeastwards along said boundary and northwestwards along the rear boundaries of Nos 66-80 Grange Gardens to the southern boundary of the property known as Elmdene Church Lane, thence northeastwards along said southern boundary to the eastern boundary of said property, thence northwestwards along said eastern boundary to Church Lane, thence westwards along said lane to a point opposite the eastern boundary of the property previously known as Sundawn Orchard, Church Lane thence northsouthwards to and along said northern boundary, the northeastern boundaries of the properties known as Little Cairn, Mount View and Sandal Cottage Church Lane and continuing northwestwards along the northeastern boundary of the unnamed property adjacent to Sandal Cottage in Church Lane to the path known as Black Gates, thence northeastwards along said path to Moss Lane, thence southwards along said lane to a point opposite the northwestern boundary of No 1 Wakehams Hill, thence northeastwards to and along said boundary and eastwards and northeastwards along the rear boundaries of Nos 1-7 and No 11 Wakehams Hill to the northeastern boundary of the latter property, thence southeastwards along said boundary to the track leading northeastwards from Wakehams Hill to George V Avenue hence northeastwards along said track, crossing said avenue and continuing northeastwards along the unnamed road that runs to the southeast of Pinner Park Farm to a point opposite the path that leads from the entrance to Pinner Park Farm to Chantry Place, thence southeastwards to and northeastwards along said path to the Euston Railway Line, thence southeastwards along said railway to a point being the prolongation northeastwards of the northwestern boundary of the Kodak Works, thence southwestwards along said prolongation and northwestern boundary to the road known as Harrow View, thence southeastwards along said road to northwestern boundary of Headstone South Ward,
thence southwestwards along said ward boundary and the western boundary of Ridgeway Ward to the point of commencement.

PINNER WEST WARD

Commencing at a point where the northern boundary of Rayners Lane Ward meets the western boundary of The Borough, thence northwestwards along said borough boundary to the Metropolitan Railway Line, thence southeastwards along said railway line, to and generally southeastwards along the western boundary of Headstone North Ward, to and generally southwestwards and westwards along the northern boundary of Rayners Lane Ward to the point of commencement.

PINNER WARD

Commencing at a point where the northern boundary of Pinner West Ward meets the western boundary of the Borough, thence northwestwards and northwards along said western boundary, and northeastwards along the northern boundary of the Borough to the Euston Railway Line, thence southeastwards along said railway line to a point opposite the northernmost corner of parcel No 3900 as shown on OS 1:2500 Plan TQ 12/1392 Edition of 1964 and OS 1:2500 Plan TQ 12/1391 Edition of 1966, thence southwestwards to said corner and continuing southwestwards, southeastwards and eastwards along the northern, western and southern boundaries of said parcel to the rear boundary of No 90 Sylvia Avenue, thence southwards along said rear boundary and the rear boundaries of Nos 88-80 Sylvia Avenue, southwards and southwestwards along the rear boundaries of Nos 34-2 Derwent Avenue and southwestwards along the western boundary of No 57 Colburn Avenue, the western end of Colburn Avenue, the western boundary of No 54 Colburn Avenue and the path leading from Colburn Avenue to Evelyn Drive to the path leading from Grimsdyke Road to Pinnerwood Farm, thence northwestwards along the latter path to a point opposite the eastern boundary of the easternmost property on the northern side of Staplefield Close, thence southeastwards to and along said boundary, the eastern end of
Staplefield Close and continuing southeastwards along the eastern boundary of the eastern most property on the northern side of Ferndown Close, the eastern end of Ferndown Close and the eastern boundary of the eastern most property on the southern side of Ferndown Close to the rear boundary of the last mentioned property thence westwards along said rear boundary and westwards and southwestwards along the rear boundaries of the remaining properties on the southern side of Ferndown Close crossing Albury Drive and continuing southwestwards along the southern boundary of No 206 Albury Drive to the rear boundary of No 22 Scot Grove, thence northwesterds, and southwards along the rear boundaries of Nos 22-3 Scot Grove and the western boundary of No 2 Scot Grove to the southern boundary of No 68 Woodhall Gate thence southwestwards along said southern boundary to the road known as Woodhall Gate, thence southwards along said road to a point opposite the northern boundary of No 66 Woodhall Gate thence northwestwards to and along said northern boundary, southeastwards along the rear boundaries of Nos 66 and 64 Woodhall Gate and southwestwards along the southern boundary of No 64 Woodhall Gate to Woodhall Gate, thence southwards along said road to Marsworth Avenue, thence northeastswards along said avenue to a point opposite the eastern boundary of No 62 Woodhall Gate, thence southeastwards to and along said eastern boundary and southwards along the rear boundary of No 60 Woodhall Gate to Woodhall Drive, thence eastwards along said drive to a point opposite the rear boundary of No 58 Woodhall Gate, thence southwards to and along said rear boundary, southwards and southeastwards along the rear boundaries of Nos 56-6 Woodhall Gate and southeastwards along the northern boundary of No 524 Uxbridge Road (Pinner) to Uxbridge Road (Pinner), thence crossing said road and continuing southeastwards along Paine's Lane to a point opposite the northern boundary of No 3 Paine's Lane, thence northeastwards to and north-eastswards and eastwards along said northern boundary and northeastwards along the northern boundary of No 5 Paine's Lane, to a point being the prolongation northwestwards of the rear boundary of No 7 Paine's Lane,
thence southeastwards along said prolongation and the rear boundary of the said property and southeastwards along the rear boundaries of Nos 9-21 Paine's Lane to the western boundary of No 23 Paine's Lane, thence northeastwards and southeastwards along the said boundary and the rear boundary of said property to the rear boundary of No 5 Moss Lane, thence eastwards along said rear boundary and eastwards along the rear boundaries of Nos 7-11 Moss Lane thence generally southeastwards along the eastern boundaries of Nos 11 and 15 Moss Lane, and continuing along the rear boundaries of Nos 17 to 35 Moss Lane and the eastern boundary of the property known as The Cottage, Little Moss Lane, to the northern boundary of the property known as Cuilin, Little Moss Lane, thence northeastwards along said northern boundary and the rear boundaries of Nos 19 and 20 Little Moss Lane to the footpath leading from Briants Close to Little Moss Lane, thence southeastwards along said footpath to and crossing the eastern end of said lane, to and continuing southeastwards along the eastern boundary of Pinner Lawn Tennis Club, southeastwards and southwestwards along the rear boundaries of Nos 30-4 Moss Close to and southeastwards along the rear boundaries of Nos 85-103 Moss Lane to the southern boundary of the last mentioned property, thence due east from said point to the western boundary of the area of land situated between the River Pinn and the track that leads from George V Avenue to the road known as Wakehams Hill, thence southeastwards along said western boundary to the western boundary of Headstone North Ward, thence generally southwestwards and southwards along said ward boundary to the northern boundary of West End Ward, thence northwestwards along said boundary to the point of commencement.

HATCH END WARD

Commencing at a point where the eastern boundary of Pinner Ward meets the northern boundary of the Borough, thence northeastwards along said borough boundary to Oxhey Lane, (A4008) thence southeastwards along said
lane and Courtenay Avenue to the northeastern boundary of Headstone North Ward, thence northwestwards and southwestwards along said northern boundary and the northwestern boundary of said ward to and generally northwestwards and northeastwards along the eastern boundary of Pinner Ward to the point of commencement.

HARROW WEALD WARD
Commencing at a point where the eastern boundary of Hatch End Ward meets the northern boundary of the Borough, thence northeasterwards along said borough boundary to a point opposite the eastern boundary of parcel No 5027 on O.S. 1:2500 plan TQ 14-1593 Edition of 1964, thence southeasterwards to and along said eastern boundary and the northeastern boundary of parcel No 5613 to and along the track that crosses Harrow Weald Common, to meet Common Road west of the property known as Priory Close, thence southwards along said road to Clamp Hill, thence southeasterwards along said hill and crossing Uxbridge Road continuing southeasterwards along Kenton Lane to College Hill Road thence southwestwards along said road to Bishop Ken Road, thence southwards along said road to a point opposite the rear boundary of No 25 College Hill Road, thence northwards to and along said rear boundary and northwards and southwestwards along the rear boundaries of Nos 27-57 College Hill Road, to Adderley Road, thence northwards along said road to College Hill Road, thence westwards along the said road to a point opposite the rear boundary of No 59 College Hill Road thence southwards and southwestwards to and along said rear boundary, the rear boundary of No 59a College Hill Road and the rear boundaries of Nos 61-65 College Hill Road to Church Lane, thence northwards and northwards along said lane to College Hill Road, thence westwards along said road to a point opposite the rear boundary of No 60 College Avenue, thence southwestwards to and along said rear boundary, the rear boundaries of Nos 58-44 College Avenue, the rear boundaries of Nos 56-18 Park Crescent, the
rear boundaries of Nos 10-4 Park Rise and the southern boundary of No 2 Park Rise crossing said rise and continuing westwards along the southern boundary of No 1 Park Rise to the rear boundary of said property, thence northnorthwestwards along said rear boundary, the rear boundaries of Nos 3-15 Park Rise, the rear boundaries of Nos 16-10 Park Crescent, thence in a straight line to and along the rear boundaries of Nos 8-4 Park Crescent and northwards along the western boundary of No 10 College Avenue to College Avenue, thence westwards along said avenue crossing High Road and continuing along Long Elmes to a point opposite the eastern boundary of No 6 Long Elmes, thence southwards to and along said eastern boundary to the rear boundary of said property, thence southwestwards along said rear boundary and the rear boundaries of Nos 8-42 Long Elmes to the rear boundary of No 20 Mead Close, thence southeastwards along said rear boundary, southeastwards, southwestwards and northwestwards along the rear boundaries of Nos 19-1 Mead Close and northwestwards along the western boundary of No 68 Long Elmes to the road known as Long Elmes, thence westwards along said road to Stanhope Avenue, thence southeastwards along said avenue to a point opposite the rear boundary of No 70 Long Elmes, thence westwards to and along said rear boundary and the rear boundaries of Nos 72-100 Long Elmes to the eastern boundary of No 102 Long Elmes, thence southeastwards along said eastern boundary and continuing generally southeastwards and southwestwards along the rear boundaries of Nos 76-2 Clewer Crescent to the southern boundary of last-mentioned property, thence westwards along said southern boundary to the rear boundary of No 8 Windsor Road, thence southwards along said rear boundary and the rear boundaries of Nos 6-2 Windsor Road to the southern boundary of last-mentioned property, thence southwestwards along said southern boundary to Windsor Road, thence southeastwards along said road to Weald Lane, thence southwestwards along said lane and Carmelite Way to Carmelite Road, thence southeastwards along said road to the path between Nos 127 and 129 Carmelite Road leading to the footbridge over the Euston railway line, thence southwestwards along said path and footbridge to the northern boundary of Headstone...
North Ward, thence northwestwards along said ward boundary to the eastern boundary of Hatch End Ward, thence northwestwards along said ward boundary to the point of commencement.

STANMORE PARK WARD
Commencing at a point where the eastern boundary of Harrow Weald Ward meets the northern boundary of the Borough, thence northeastwards along said borough boundary to Heathbourne Road, thence southwards and southeastwards along said road to the road known as The Common, thence southeastwards along said road to a point opposite the southern boundary of Grove Field, thence eastwards to and eastwards and northeastwards along said southern boundary to Wood Lane, thence southwestwards, southwards and southeastwards along said lane to Dennis Lane, thence southeastwards along said lane and Marsh Lane to a point opposite the northern boundary of No 140 Marsh Lane, thence westwards to and along said northern boundary and westwards and southeastwards along the rear boundaries of Nos 2-32 Silverston Way to the rear boundary of No 56 The Ridgeway, thence northwestwards along said rear boundary, the rear boundaries of Nos 54-42 The Ridgeway and the northern boundary of the garages north of the properties Nos 14-40 The Ridgeway to the road known as Elm Park, thence southwestwards along said road to the road known as The Ridgeway, thence westwards along said road to Old Church Lane, thence southeastwards along said lane to a point opposite the rear boundary of Nos 1-8 Lindeth Close, thence southwestwards to and along said boundary to the western boundary of Lindeth Close, thence southwards along said boundary, the rear boundaries of Nos 42 and 44 Old Church Lane, and the western boundary of No 12 Wolverton Road and in prolongation thereof to the unnamed road running south of Porters Cottages, thence westwards along said unnamed road to a point opposite the eastern boundary of Stanmore Golf Club, thence generally southwards to and southwards and southwestwards along said eastern boundary and westwards along the southern boundary of the golf course to the
western boundary of the golf course, thence northwestwards along said western boundary to the rear boundary of No 28 Woodcroft Avenue, thence southsouthwestwards along said rear boundary and the rear boundaries of Nos 26-4 Woodcroft Avenue to the southwestern boundary of last-mentioned property, thence northwestwards along said boundary to a point opposite the southeastern boundary of No 116 Drummond Drive, thence southwestwards to and along said boundary, the rear boundaries of Nos 5-1 Mountside, the southern boundary of the Builder's Yard northwest of last-mentioned properties and in prolongation thereof to the eastern boundary of Harrow Weald Ward, thence northwestwards along said ward boundary to the point of commencement.

CANONS WARD
Commencing at a point where the eastern boundary of Stanmore Park Ward meets the northern boundary of the Borough, thence northeastwards along said borough boundary and southwestwards and southeastwards along the eastern boundary of the Borough to Whitchurch Lane, thence southwestwards along said lane to a point opposite the eastern boundary of the Police Station in the said lane, thence southeastwards to and along said eastern boundary and the rear boundaries of Nos 1-29 Handel Way, southeastwards and south-
westwards along the eastern and southern boundary of the garages to the
south of Nos 27 and 29 Handel Way, and southwestwards along the rear
boundaries of Nos 31-61 Handel Way and in prolongation thereof to the path
leading from Handel Way to Methuen Close, thence southwestwards along said
path to a point opposite the rear boundary of No's 63 and 65 Handel Way,
thenence northwteards along said rear boundary, the rear boundaries of Nos
67-40 Handel Way, the rear boundaries of Nos 12-2 Handel Way and the western to Whitchurch Lane
boundary of No 13 Handel Parade Whitchurch Lane, thence westwards along
said lane to a point opposite the rear boundary of Morley House and Nos 1
and 1a Buckingham Road, thence southwestwards along said rear boundary,
the rear boundaries of Nos 3-17 Buckingham Road, the northern boundary of
Buckingham Road Nursery School, the rear boundaries of Nos 29-53 Buckingham
Road and No 57 Buckingham Road, northwteards and southwestwards along
the rear boundaries of No 1 and Nos 5-43 Whitchurch Avenue, crossing the
part of Whitchurch Avenue that meets Whitchurch Lane and continuing
southwestwards along the rear boundaries of Nos 170-204 Whitchurch Lane,
crossing Buckingham Road and continuing southwestwards along the rear
boundaries of Nos 206-224 Whitchurch Lane, crossing the access road to
the Tennis Courts situated to the south of Whitchurch Lane and continuing
southwestwards along the rear boundaries of Nos 230-256 Whitchurch Lane
and in prolongation thereof to the Bakerloo Railway Line, thence northwestwards along said railway to a point opposite the rear boundary of
No 70 Du Cros Drive, thence southwestwards to and along said rear boundary
and the rear boundaries of Nos 68-56 Du Cros Drive and northwestwards along
the southwestern boundary of No 54 Du Cros Drive to Howberry Road to a
point being the prolongation northeastswards of the southeastern boundary
of No 52 Du Cros Drive, thence southwestwards along said prolongation, and
said boundary and the rear boundaries of Nos 50-32 Du Cros Drive to the
eastern boundary of St Thomas' Secondary School (RC), thence northwestwards along said boundary to Du Cros Drive, thence southwestwards along
said drive to a point opposite the rear boundary of No 65 Marsh Lane, thence southeasterwards to and along said rear boundary and the rear boundaries of Nos 67-75 Marsh Lane and southwestwards along the southern boundary of No 75 Marsh Lane to Marsh Lane, thence northwesterns along said lane and the eastern boundary of Stanmore Park Ward to the point of commencement.

STANMORE SOUTH WARD

Commencing at a point where the eastern boundary of the borough crosses the Bakerloo Railway Line, thence northwesterns along said railway to the southern boundary of Canons Ward, thence northeasternwards along said boundary to the eastern boundary of the Borough, thence southeasterns and southwesterns along said eastern boundary to the point of commencement.

CENTENARY WARD

Commencing at a point where Streatfield Road meets Kenton Lane, thence northwesterns along said lane to Grange Avenue, thence northeasternwards along said avenue to a point opposite the western boundary of No 1 Grange Avenue, thence northwesterns to and along said boundary to the rear boundary of said property, thence northeasternwards along said rear boundary and the rear boundaries of Nos 3-37 Grange Avenue to the eastern boundary of No 37 Grange Avenue, thence southeasterns along said eastern boundary to Grange Avenue, thence northeasternwards along said avenue to Wetheral Drive, thence northwesterns along said drive to a point opposite the rear boundary of No 96 Crowshott Avenue, thence northeasternwards to and along said rear boundary and the rear boundaries of Nos 94-86 Crowshott Avenue, crossing Kynance Gardens and continuing along the rear boundaries of Nos 84-74 Crowshott Avenue,

crossing Braithwaite Gardens and continuing along the rear boundaries of Nos 72-64 Crowshott Avenue to the northeastern
boundary of No 64 Crowshott Avenue, thence southeastwards along said boundary to the roundabout at the junction of St Andrews Drive and Crowshott Avenue, thence northeastwards around said roundabout to a point opposite the northwestern boundary of No 62 Crowshott Avenue, thence northeastwards to and along said boundary to the rear boundary of No 60 Crowshott Avenue, thence northeastwards along said rear boundary and the rear boundaries of Nos 58-54 Crowshott Avenue crossing the road known as Bromefield and continuing northeastwards along the rear boundaries of Nos 52-40 Crowhurst Avenue crossing Lyon Meade and continuing northeastwards along the rear boundaries of Nos 38-32 Crowshott Avenue to the rear boundary of No 1 Pickett Croft, thence northwards along said rear boundary and the rear boundaries of Nos 2-12 Pickett Croft, and continuing along the western boundary of No 13 Pickett Croft thence northwards, northeastwards and southeastwards along the western, northern and eastern boundaries of the property known as Marsh Farm House and southeastwards along the eastern boundary of No 14 Pickett Croft and continuing southeastwards along the rear boundaries of Nos 15-24 Pickett Croft to the rear boundary of No 16 Crowshott Avenue, thence northeastwards along said rear boundary and the rear boundaries of Nos 14-10 Crowshott Avenue crossing Bush Grove and continuing northeastwards along the rear boundaries of Nos 8-2 Crowshott Avenue to the western boundary of North Western Polytechnic Sports Ground, thence northwestwards along said western boundary and northeastwards along the northern boundary of said sports ground to the western boundary of the Clinic and Library, in Honeypot Lane thence northwards along said western boundary to the access road to the rear of the properties numbered 843-899 Honeypot Lane, thence northwestwards along said access road to the access road to the rear of the properties numbered 901-909 Honeypot Lane, thence northeastwards along said access road to a point opposite the northern boundary of No 909 Honeypot Lane, thence northeastwards to and along said northern boundary and in prolongation thereof to Honeypot Lane, thence northwestwards along
said lane to Whitchurch Lane, thence eastwards along said lane to the western boundary of Canons Ward, thence southeastwards along said boundary and the western boundary of Stanmore South ward to the eastern boundary of the Borough, thence southwestwards along said borough boundary to Honeypot Lane, thence northwestwards along said lane to Malvern Gardens, thence southwestwards along said gardens to a point opposite the northern boundary of No 147 Malvern Gardens, thence northwestwards to and along said northern boundary and the northern boundary of Nos 25 and 27 Glebe Crescent to Glebe Crescent, thence northwestwards along said crescent to Glebe Avenue, thence northeastwards along said avenue to a point opposite the northeastern boundary of No 2 Glebe Avenue, thence northwestwards to and along said boundary to the rear boundary of No 248 Charlton Road, thence northeastwards along said rear boundary, the rear boundaries of Nos 250-256 Charlton Road and in prolongation thereof to Honeypot Lane, thence northwestwards along said lane and westwards along the southern part of the round about to Charlton Road, thence southwestwards along said road to Moorhouse Road, thence northwestwards along said road to the access road leading to the rear of the properties in Queensbury Circle Parade and on the southern side of Streatfield Road, thence northeastwards and southwestwards along said access road to the rear boundary of No 236 Streatfield Road, thence southwestwards along said rear boundary and the rear boundaries of Nos 234-122 Streatfield Road, and continuing along the southern boundary of No 120 Streatfield Road crossing Kenmore Road and continuing southwestwards along the rear boundaries of Nos 118a - 70a Streatfield Road crossing the access road to Kenford Free Church and continuing along the rear boundaries of Nos 70-2 Streatfield Road to the western boundary of No 2 Streatfield Road, thence northwestwards along said western boundary to Streatfield Road, thence southwestwards along said road to the point of commencement.
KENTON EAST WARD

Commencing at a point where the southern boundary of the Borough crosses Kenton Lane, thence northwestwards along said lane to the southern boundary of Centenary Ward, thence northeastwards and southeastwards along said southern boundary to the eastern boundary of the Borough, thence southeastwards and northwestwards along the eastern and southern boundaries of the Borough to the point of commencement.

KENTON WEST WARD

Commencing at a point where the southern boundary of the Borough crosses the Watford to Euston Railway Line, thence northwestwards along said railway to a point due west of the southern most point of No 72 Herga Road, thence due east to said point, southeastwards along the rear boundaries of Nos 74-138 Herga Road and continuing northwestwards along the northern and western boundaries of the dismantled railway, which ran from Wealdstone to Belmont to the rear boundary of No 155 Belmont Road, thence southwestwards along said rear boundary and the rear boundaries of Nos 153-91 Belmont Road to the path leading to Belmont Road between Nos 89 and 91 Belmont Road, thence northwestwards along said path to Belmont Road, thence westwards along said road to a point opposite the western boundary of No 74 Belmont Road, thence northwestwards to and along said boundary and the rear boundaries of Nos 60-30 Sancroft Road to the northern boundary of No 30 Sancroft Road, thence northeastwards along said northern boundary to Sancroft Road, thence northwestwards along said road to a point opposite the southern boundary of No 28 Sancroft Road, thence westwards to and along said southern boundary to the rear boundary of said property, thence northwestwards along said rear boundary and the rear boundaries of Nos 26-2 Sancroft Road to the northern boundary of No 2 Sancroft Road, thence northeastwards along said northern boundary to Sancroft Road, thence northwestwards along said road to Locket Road,
thence northeastwards along said road to Kenton Lane, thence northeastwards along said lane to Belmont Circle, thence southeastwards around the southern part of said circle to Kenton Lane, thence southeastwards along said lane and the western boundaries of Centenary Ward and Kenton East Ward to the southern boundary of the Borough, thence southwestwards along said southern boundary to the point of commencement.

WEMBOROUGH WARD
Commencing at a point where the northern boundary of Centenary Ward meets the northern boundary of Kenton West Ward, thence northwestwards and southwestwards along the northern boundary of Kenton West Ward and continuing northwestwards along Kenton Lane and the eastern boundary of Harrow Weald Ward to the southern boundary of Stanmore Park Ward, thence eastwards along said southern boundary and generally northeastwards along the southeastern boundary of said ward to the western boundary of Canons Ward, thence generally northeastwards and southeastwards along said western boundary to the northern boundary of Centenary Ward, thence generally westwards and southwestwards along said ward boundary to the point of commencement.

WEALDSTONE WARD
Commencing at a point where the southern boundary of Harrow Weald Ward meets the southwestern boundary of Wembley Ward, thence southeastwards along said southwestern boundary to the northern boundary of Kenton West Ward, thence southwestwards along said northern boundary and continuing southwestwards along Locket Road to High Street, thence southwards along said street to Headstone Drive, thence southwestwards along said drive to the Euston to Watford Railway, thence northwestwards along said railway and the northeastern boundary of Headstone North Ward to the southern
boundary of Harrow Weald Ward, thence generally northeastwards and eastwards along said southern boundary to the point of commencement.

MARLBOROUGH WARD

Commencing at a point where the eastern boundary of Headstone South Ward meets the eastern boundary of Headstone North Ward, thence northwestwards and northeastwards along said eastern boundary of Headstone North Ward to the southwestern boundary of Wealdstone Ward, thence southeastwards along said boundary and generally northeastwards along the southeastern boundary of said ward to the western boundary of Kenton West Ward, thence generally southwards along said ward boundary to Elmgrove Road, thence southwestwards along said road to a point opposite the northeastern boundary of No 56 Elmgrove Road, thence northwestwards to and along said boundary to the rear boundary of said property, thence southwestwards along said rear boundary, the rear boundaries of Nos 54-14 Elmgrove Road, and the rear boundaries of Nos 4 and 2 Woodlands Road to the western boundary of the Inland Revenue Offices, thence southwards along said boundary to Elmgrove Road, thence southwestwards along said road to Station Road, thence northwards along said road to Hindes Road, thence westwards along said road to a point opposite the eastern boundary of No 3 Hindes Road, thence northwards to and along said eastern boundary to the rear boundary of said property, thence westwards along said rear boundary and the rear boundaries of Nos 5-21 Hindes Road to Hamilton Road, thence southwards along said road to a point opposite the rear boundary of No 23 Hindes Road, thence westwards to and along said rear boundary and the rear boundary of No 25 Hindes Road.
and northwards along the eastern boundary of No 27 Hindes Road to the rear boundary of said property, thence westwards along said rear boundary and westwards and southwestwards along the rear boundaries of Nos 29-83 Hindes Road to the western boundary of No 83 Hindes Road, thence south-eastwards along said western boundary and southwestwards along the rear boundary of No 85 Hindes Road to Radnor Road, thence northwestwards along said road to a point opposite the northern boundary of Harrow Congregational Church, and Hall thence southwestwards to and along said northern boundary to the eastern boundary of Quainton Hall School, thence generally northwestwards, and southeastwards along the eastern, northern and western boundaries of said school, to the rear boundary of No 95 Hindes Road, thence southwestwards along said rear boundary and the rear boundary of No 97 Hindes Road, southeastwards along the rear boundaries of Nos 5-1 Harrow View and southwestwards along the rear boundary of No 99 Hindes Road to the eastern boundary of Headstone South Ward, thence northwestwards along said ward boundary to the point of commencement.

GREENHILL WARD

Commencing at a point where the southern boundary of Marlborough Ward meets the western boundary of Kenton West Ward, thence southeastwards along said western boundary to the southern boundary of the Borough thence southwestwards along said southern boundary to Kenton Road, thence southwestwards along said road and Tyburn Lane to a point opposite the eastern boundary of the property known as Grove End Cottage, Tyburn Lane thence to and generally southwards along said boundary and the eastern boundary of the property known as Grove End Tyburn Lane to the southern boundary of Grove End, thence southwestwards along said southern boundary to Grove Hill, thence southeastwards along said hill to a point opposite the southern boundary of The Grove Open Space, thence southwestwards to and along said southern boundary and continuing southwestwards along the footpath between The
Grove Open Space and Roxborough Park, to the footpath leading from
Roxborough Park to St Mary's Church, thence southeastwards along said
footpath to the footpath leading from St Mary's Church to Besseborough
Road, thence southwestwards, and northwestwards along said footpath to
the eastern boundary of Ridgeway Ward, thence northeastwards along said
eastern boundary, generally northwestwards and northeastwards along the
eastern boundary of Headstone South Ward and northeastwards along the
southern boundary of Marlborough Ward to the point of commencement.

HARROW-ON-THE-HILL WARD

Commencing at a point where the eastern boundary of Roxbourne Ward meets
the southern boundary of Ridgeway Ward, thence northeastwards and east-
wards along said southern boundary and southeastwards and northeastwards
along the southern boundary of Greenhill Ward to the southern boundary of
the Borough thence southeastwards, southwestwards and northwestwards along
said borough boundary and northwestwards along the eastern boundaries of
Roxeth Ward and Roxbourne Ward to the point of commencement.