LOCAL GOVERNMENT

BOUNDARY COMMISSION

FOR ENGLAND

REPORT NO. 211.
To the Rt Hon Merlyn Rees, MP
Secretary of State for the Home Department

PROPOSALS FOR THE FUTURE ELECTORAL ARRANGEMENTS FOR THE LONDON BOROUGH OF CROYDON

1. We, the Local Government Boundary Commission for England, having carried out a review of the electoral arrangements for the London Borough of Croydon in accordance with the requirements of section 50(3) of the Local Government Act 1972, present our proposals for the future electoral arrangements for that London borough.

2. In accordance with the procedure laid down in section 60(1) and (2) of the 1972 Act, notice was given on 10 June 1975 that we were to undertake this review. This was incorporated in a consultation letter addressed to the Croydon Borough Council, copies of which were circulated to the London Boroughs Association, the Association of Metropolitan Authorities, the Members of Parliament for the constituencies concerned, the headquarters of the main political parties and the Greater London Regional Council of the Labour Party. Copies were also sent to the editors of local newspapers circulating in the area and of the local government press. Notices inserted in the local press announced the start of the review and invited comments from members of the public and from any interested bodies.

3. Croydon Borough Council were invited to prepare a draft scheme of representation for our consideration. In doing so, they were asked to observe the rules laid down in Schedule 11 to the Local Government Act 1972 and the guidelines which we set out in our letter of 10 June 1975 about the proposed size of the council and the proposed number of councillors for each ward. They were asked also to take into account any views expressed to them following their consultation with local interests. We therefore asked that they should publish details of their provisional proposals about six weeks before they submitted their draft scheme to us, thus allowing an opportunity for local comment.
4. On 24 February 1976 Croydon Borough Council presented their draft scheme of representation. The Council proposed to divide the area of the borough into 27 wards each returning 2 or 3 councillors to form a council of 70 members.

5. The Borough Council's submission included copies of correspondence received by them during their local consultations. We considered the suggestions made, together with the comments which had been sent direct to us. These included an alternative scheme for the borough submitted by a local political party; proposals from a local political association and two residents' associations which affected the suggested Coulsdon East, Woodcote and Coulsdon West, Purley and Sanderstead wards; and comments from two local residents, also about the Sanderstead ward.

6. We noted that both the Council's draft scheme and the alternative scheme submitted by the local political party appeared to present an even standard of representation. We concluded, however, that the alternative scheme did not offer any clear advantages over the Council's draft scheme, which we considered would provide a satisfactory basis for representation in compliance with the rules of Schedule 11 to the Local Government Act 1972 and our guidelines. We decided to adopt the Council's draft scheme as the basis for our draft proposals.

7. We studied the comments and criticisms which had been made and decided to adjust the boundary between the proposed Woodcote and Coulsdon West ward and the proposed Coulsdon East ward to follow the London to Brighton railway line, instead of the A23 trunk road, between Stoats Nest Road and Marlpit Lane. We decided also to realign the boundary between the proposed Beulah and Norbury wards to follow Green Lane throughout. In order to achieve a better standard of representation for the proposed South Norwood ward, we decided to realign the
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boundary between that ward and the proposed Thornton Heath ward. Finally, after consulting the Ordnance Survey, we made some minor alterations to ward boundaries in order to secure boundary lines which were more readily identifiable on the ground. We then formulated our draft proposals accordingly.

8. On 16 June 1976 we issued our draft proposals and these were sent to all who had received our consultation letter or had commented on the Council's draft scheme. The Council were asked to make these draft proposals, and the accompanying map which defined the proposed ward boundaries, available for inspection at their main offices. Representations on our draft proposals were invited from those to whom they were circulated and, by public notices, from other members of the public and interested bodies. We asked for comments to reach us by 3 September 1976.

9. Croydon Borough Council informed us that they accepted our draft proposals subject to the proposed Beulah, Norbury, South Norwood, Thornton Heath, Coulsdon East, Woodcote and Coulsdon West and Kenley wards.

10. The local political party which had written to us previously, resubmitted their alternative scheme, with some modifications, and also suggested a number of amendments to wards in our draft proposals. Three local associations objected to our proposals for the Coulsdon East, Woodcote and Coulsdon West, Purley, Thornton Heath and South Norwood wards.

11. In view of these comments, we decided that we needed further information to enable us to reach a conclusion. Therefore, in accordance with section 65(2) of the 1972 Act and at our request, Mr T Foord was appointed as an Assistant Commissioner to hold a local meeting and to report to us.

12. The Assistant Commissioner held a local meeting at Croydon on 12 January 1977. A copy of his report to us is attached at Schedule 1 to this report.
13. In the light of the discussion at the meeting and of his inspection of the areas concerned, the Assistant Commissioner recommended that our draft proposals should be confirmed, subject to four modifications. Three of the modifications, relating to the proposed boundaries between the Beulah and Norbury wards, South Norwood and Thornton Heath wards and Coulsdon East and Kenley wards, reverted to arrangements originally proposed by the Borough Council. The fourth modification was a realignment of the boundary between the proposed Coulsdon East and Woodcote and Coulsdon West wards.

14. We reviewed our draft proposals in the light of the comments which we had received and of the report of the Assistant Commissioner. We also considered a letter from the Borough Council saying that a number of Council members considered that the ward name "Beulah" might be misleading and that the name "Spa" was an acceptable alternative. We decided, however, that at this stage in the review, when there was no further opportunity for discussion of the issue, we should not make the suggested alteration to a name which had not attracted comment to us at any previous stage. We concluded that the amendments to our draft proposals recommended by the Assistant Commissioner following the meeting should be accepted. Subject to these modifications, we decided that our draft proposals should be confirmed as our final proposals.

15. Details of these final proposals are set out in Schedules 2 and 3 to this report. Schedule 2 gives the names of the wards and the number of councillors to be returned by each. Schedule 3 is a description of the areas of the new wards. The boundaries of the new wards are defined on the attached map.
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16. In accordance with section 60(5)(b) of the Local Government Act 1972, a copy of this report and a copy of the map are being sent to Croydon Borough Council and will be available for public inspection at the Council's main
offices. Copies of this report (without map) are being sent to those who received the consultation letter and to those who made comments.
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15th February 1977.

Your ref: LGBC/D/47/7

Neil Digney Esq.,
Secretary,
Local Government Boundary
Commission for England,
20 Albert Embankment,
London, SE1 7TJ.

Dear Sir,

REVIEW OF THE ELECTORAL ARRANGEMENTS FOR
THE LONDON BOROUGH OF CROYDON

1. I have to report on the local meeting held at the
Town Hall, Croydon, on Wednesday, 12th January 1977, in
connection with the review of the electoral arrangements
for the London Borough of Croydon, following represent-
ations which had been made on the draft proposals for the
Borough published by the Local Government Boundary
Commission for England. A list of the persons attending
the meeting, with their addresses and the interests they
represent, is attached to this report.

2. The Local Government Boundary Commission's draft
proposals are based substantially on the scheme proposed
by the Borough Council with a number of modifications,
including:

(i) The re-alignment of the boundary between the
proposed Woodcote and Coulsdon West and the Coulsdon
East wards to follow the London-Brighton railway line
between Stoats Nest Road and Marlpit Lane rather than
the A.23 trunk road;

(ii) The re-alignment of the boundary between the
proposed Norbury and Beulah wards to follow Green Lane
throughout;

(iii) The transfer of Michael Road, Parry Road,
St. Mary's Road and Egerton Road from the proposed
Thornton Heath Ward to the South Norwood Ward. This
change involved about 500 electors.
3. The draft proposals provide for the division of the Borough into 27 wards returning a total of 70 councillors, as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME OF WARD</th>
<th>NO. OF COUNCILLORS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ADDISCOMBE</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASHBURTON</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BENSHAM MANOR</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BEULAH</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BROAD GREEN</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COULSDON EAST</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CROHAM</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAIRFIELD</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIELDWAY</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEATHFIELD</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KENLEY</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MONKS ORCHARD</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEW ADDINGTON</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NORBURY</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PURLEY</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RYLANDS</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANDERSTEAD</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SELSDON</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOUTH NORWOOD</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPRING PARK</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THORNTON HEATH</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UPPER NORWOOD</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WADDON</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WEST THORNTON</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHITEHORSE MANOR</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WOODCOTE AND COULSDON WEST</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WOODSIDE</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Subsequent to the publication of these draft proposals comments and objections were received by the Local Government Boundary Commission from the Borough Council, the Croydon Labour Party, the Croydon South Liberal Association, the Coulsdon West Residents Association, and the Thornton Heath Ratepayers and Residents Association. These raised the following issues for consideration and discussion at the local meeting:

a. that the borough, except for the area covered by the proposed Addiscombe, Ashburton, Broad Green, Fieldway, Monks Orchard, New Addington, Rylands and Woodside wards, should be divided into new wards;

b. that the boundary between the proposed Norbury and Beulah wards and between the proposed Thornton Heath and South Norwood wards should be as proposed by the Council in their draft scheme;
c. that, south of Marlpit Lane, the boundary between the proposed Woodcote and Coulsdon West ward and the proposed Coulsdon East ward should follow the railway line instead of the A23 trunk road;

d. that the boundary between the proposed Coulsdon East and Kenley wards in the vicinity of Old Lodge Lane should be as proposed by the Council in their draft scheme;

e. that the boundary between the proposed Thornton Heath and South Norwood wards, between the proposed Spring Park and Heathfield wards and between the proposed Waddon and Croham wards should be re-drawn;

f. that the proposed Purley, Coulsdon East and Woodcote and Coulsdon West wards should be re-drawn with a view to providing new wards, each returning two councillors, for the Woodcote, Coulsdon West and Coulsdon East areas;

g. that the areas of the proposed Purley and Woodcote and Coulsdon West wards should be divided into three wards each returning two councillors.

5. At the beginning of the meeting I ascertained that no-one wished to raise any matters other than those contained in the above issues. I also confirmed that the Croydon Labour Party wished to press for the adoption of their proposals for a substantially different re-warding of the Borough from that contained in the Commission's draft proposals, and in the alternative wished to comment on the Commission's proposals. I decided, therefore, with the agreement of the meeting, that we should consider the several issues in the order set out above.

6. That the Borough, except for the area covered by the proposed Addiscombe, Ashburton, Broad Green, Fieldway, Monks Orchard, New Addington, Rylands and Woodside wards should be divided into new wards

Mr. John Beamish-Crooke, as spokesman for the Croydon Labour Party, put forward the case for the adoption of the alternative electoral arrangements proposed by the Labour Party, which he emphasised represented the agreed view of the four Constituency Labour Parties, the 20 Ward Party Branches, together with Trade Union and Co-operative organisations. They proposed that the Borough should be divided into 29 wards (as against the 27 proposed by the Council) but returning the same total of 70 councillors as follows:
Mr. Beamish-Crooke stated that they had given very careful consideration to the scheme proposed by Croydon Council and for obvious geographical and community reasons they had adopted the same boundaries as the Council and the Commission for the Addiscombe, Ashburton, Broad Green, Fieldway, Monks Orchard, New Addington, Rylands and Woodside wards. In many other instances, however, the Labour Party felt that the ward boundaries proposed were indefensible and these they considered rendered the scheme unacceptable.

In particular the proposed Norbury ward comprised two areas which the Labour Party regarded as distinct and unrelated communities - the area generally to the west of the railway line, and the area to the North of Green Lane. Further the general shape of the proposed ward was unsatisfactory.

They felt that the number of electors per councillor in the proposed Spring Park ward was considerably above the Borough average and that the proposed southern boundary
of the ward was not easily identifiable for much of its length.

The Labour Party considered that the main railway line running from Norbury to East Croydon acts as a very obvious natural boundary between communities, and they, therefore, adopted this in their alternative proposals for a succession of ward boundaries.

Accordingly, their proposed Norbury ward lies generally to the west of the railway and the extension south of the ward boundary from Strathyre Avenue to Winterbourne Road in their view brings the advantages that the added roads have a closer community link with Norbury than Bensham Manor, and the boundary with the railway at Sandfield Road gives a clearly marked boundary line, unlike the former Strathyre Avenue boundary which has no clear junction with the railway.

Similarly, they found it desirable to change the southern boundary of Bensham Manor ward. Local representations and their observations of the character of the neighbourhood showed more community of interest of the bulk of the present DD polling district with Whitehorse Manor than with Bensham Manor. Accordingly, they had re-drawn the boundary along Bensham Lane and Ecclesbourne Road.

An obvious improvement had been made to the south-eastern boundary of West Thornton ward so that this now runs along London Road and Bensham Lane.

The Labour Party considered that the present Whitehorse Manor ward comprises two very distinct areas separated by the railway line and with no real community of interest. In their scheme, the area to the west of the railway acts as the nucleus for a revised Whitehorse Manor ward and the present JF polling district to the east of the railway line forms part of a new Selhurst Park ward together with adjacent areas with which it has close community links.

They proposed a Wandle ward comprising parts of the present Waddon and Central wards which have community links, and a new Duppas ward in the southern area of the present Wandle ward.

Mr. Beamish-Crooke stated that they amended their own scheme after further consideration so that the Pampisford Road area which had absolutely no community links with the present Waddon ward should form part of their proposed Croham ward with which it has clear ties for local shopping and public transport facilities.
The Labour Party felt that it had long been obvious that the present Central ward was not a community. The residents of the eastern and western halves of the ward are separated by a large complex of shops, offices and major roads. For this reason, they had placed the western area of the present ward in their proposed Wandle ward. They had included in Park Hill ward the small area around Moreton Road which has no links with Sanderstead North ward in which it currently lies because it is separated by railway lines. They had placed the eastern boundary of Park Hill ward along Upper Shirley Road and Oaks Road. This forms a more clearly defined boundary and puts the residents along the eastern end of Addiscombe Road in their natural ward.

For the proposed Spring Park Ward, they placed the southern boundary along Shirley Church Road. This is a clear community boundary and is also a well-defined geographic boundary.

The boundary between Beulah and Upper Norwood wards had been drawn so as to mark the division between communities and in such a way as to include the entire Norbury Avenue council estate in Beulah ward. Currently this was artificially divided between two wards.

In Thornton Heath they had identified Grange Road as a clear geographic and community boundary and had used it as the eastern boundary for their proposed Thornton Heath ward. They felt that Grangewood Park acts as a clear division between communities. Their proposed north-western boundary for Thornton Heath provided a clear boundary and allowed the whole Norbury Avenue council estate to be included in the new Beulah ward.

Mr. Beamish-Crooke emphasised that their proposed Selhurst Park and Sylvan wards share a common shopping area but were otherwise of different character. South Norwood Hill formed half the common boundary but in the north the boundary is taken along Wharncliffe and Canham Roads as the area between these roads and South Norwood Hill has more in common with Sylvan than Selhurst Park.

He pointed out that the electorate of their proposed Heathfield ward was low on the basis of 1975 electorate, but they anticipated that new development would raise the electorate to an appropriate figure.

They had identified the railway line as the natural and obvious boundary between Woodcote and Coulsdon West and Coulsdon East wards. They felt that the north-eastern
part of the present Woodcote ward (around Plough Lane) had closer ties with Purley and the boundary there had been adjusted accordingly.

Coulsdon East was largely unchanged from the present ward, except for the removal of the obvious anomaly in the north where two small sections - one lying to the west of the railway and the other to the north of Old Lodge Lane - had no connection with the remainder of the ward.

In Kenley ward they had united the communities of Kenley and Whyteleafe to form a two member ward.

The eastern and western boundaries of Sanderstead ward were naturally created by Kings Wood and Selsdon Park on the one hand and Riddlesdown on the other. Their proposed northern boundary followed the general northern limit of the Sanderstead community.

Their proposed Selsdon ward represents a self-contained community to which they believed they had drawn clear and natural boundaries.

It had been possible to create in their proposed Purley ward a ward which included most of the neighbourhoods which go together to make up the Purley community.

In conclusion Mr. Beamish-Crooke acknowledged that their amendment to the southern boundary of Duppas ward resulted in a proposed Croham ward which was neither numerically acceptable nor aesthetically pleasing.

They firmly believed that they had established the correct southern boundary for Duppas ward on community grounds - lying along Waddon Way, Haling Park Road and continuing to the railway bridge over Selsdon Road.

If the Commission decided to adopt their warding scheme for the Borough, clearly some adjustment would be necessary to overcome the problem in Croham ward. This could be done either by adjusting the southern boundary of Croham ward or by reverting to their original proposal for the southern boundary of Duppas ward. He stressed, however, that they considered the latter to be an unsatisfactory boundary on community grounds and was not readily identifiable on the ground south of Haling Park Road.

The Labour Party believed their scheme for the Borough established strong wards with numerically consistent electorates, based on clearly defined and easily
identifiable boundary lines. At the same time it overcame the unacceptable features of the Council's scheme in the Norbury and Spring Park areas.

Mr. Peter Billenness, for the Croydon South Liberal Association, questioned the principles the Labour Party had adopted in proposing their two member and three member wards. They were concerned that their proposals for the Coulsdon East and Woodcote and Coulsdon West wards had not been accepted, and suggested that it would be a good idea to look at the Purley, Croham and Woodcote boundaries. In the local Council elections Liberal candidates had come second to the Conservatives in all the seats in the Croydon South Constituency, but their view had not been taken into account by either the conservatives or the labour parties in framing their electoral proposals.

Mr. J. Macklin, representing the East Ward Electors Association, was concerned about the new Monks Orchard ward and what was going to happen by way of development. He was concerned also about the Spring Park ward as proposed, particularly in relation to Pinewood Close.

For the Borough Council Mr. Blakemore, the Town Clerk and Chief Executive, said that the figures provided by the Labour Party for their scheme had been checked and broadly agreed. He suggested that there were broadly five areas on which issue could be taken with the Labour Party proposals:

1. The north-western group of wards - Norbury, Beulah, Upper Norwood;
2. The north-eastern group - Thornton Heath, Sylvan and Selhurst Park;
3. The Wandle, Park Hill, Spring Park band;
4. The Duppas/Croham/Purley division;
5. The Kenley/Purley/Woodcote and Coulsdon West boundaries.

Mr. Blakemore enlarged on these issues as follows:

1. By moving the north-east boundary of Norbury to the railway it had been necessary to exclude the whole of Polling Districts BA and BE from Norbury. He contended that people in BA to the west of Kensington Avenue and in BE look to the area around Norbury Station for their facilities, and certainly not to Beulah and Upper Norwood respectively, in which wards these areas had been placed.
The Labour Party contended in their letter of 25th February 1976 that the area to the west of the railway and the area to the north of Green Lane were distinct communities. This argument seemed to be based on the fact that the road links between the two are outside the Ward - e.g. Kensington Avenue. The Council contended that the natural access to the main shopping centre of Norbury from BE is obviously where Green Lane joins Streatham High Road just outside the Borough. On that basis it was patently logical to include BE in Norbury.

The case for putting Polling District BE in Upper Norwood was extremely thin. The nearest vehicular access to Upper Norwood from BE is via Norbury Hill. There is no community of interest between Polling District BE and the Triangle area, or indeed Beulah Hill. On the south-east boundary of Norbury Ward it had been necessary to encroach into DA to bring the numbers up. The line runs through the middle of Thornton Heath Recreation Ground - surely not a part of Norbury.

The south-western boundary of Norbury Ward had been moved back to Galpin's Road in the Labour Party scheme. Mr. Blakemore contended that there was a distinct dissimilarity between the Pollards Hill area and the Wharfedale Gardens area.

The boundary between Thornton Heath and Beulah was not as good as that proposed by the Commission, following as it does minor roads and even a footpath.

(2) The Commission's South Norwood, Thornton Heath and Whitehorse Manor wards had been re-arranged by the Labour Party to provide a new Selhurst Park ward, based on nothing in particular. In so doing the Labour Party had made indefensible inroads into the clearly defined centres of South Norwood and Thornton Heath, dividing the former area completely in half.

(3) He suggested that the Labour Party's proposed Wandle ward encompasses no community of interest, and that it was quite illogical to link the Waddon Road area through Old Town to the main commercial centre of the town. The Pitlake Scheme forms an impenetrable barrier across the middle of their proposed ward. The character of property on the Old Town side of Pitlake varies considerably from the Duppas Hill area.

The Park Hill ward included in Park Hill an area (part of TB) which was clearly more akin to Spring Park. The Council's eastern boundary to Fairfield ward follows clear features throughout its length.
Mr. Blakemore felt that taking the southern boundary of Spring Park ward along Shirley Church Road had the effect of divorcing people to the south of that road (including the whole of Pinewood Close) from the area to which they obviously belong. The Council's line was in fact identifiable along its entire length, and had satisfied the Ordnance Survey, subject to a minor amendment.

By reverting to the existing ward boundary line between Duppas and Croham in MF the Labour Party had maintained the Selsdon Road area with the 'Haling' area, Brighton Road was the obvious boundary here. The Croham ward was an absurd shape - the Purley Way area had nothing in common with the Croham Hurst area. The movement of most of Polling District ND into Purley was certainly disputable - all the services for that district were grouped around the Red Deer.

The alteration in the south, for which reasons had not been given, smacked of change for the sake of change. If one was to recognise Woodcote as a separate entity there was no justification for taking the northern part of X (including St. Mark's Church, Woodcote) into Purley.

Mr. Blakemore stated that to include the Bencombe and Burcott Road areas in Kenley was not even defensible by the creation of more clearly defined boundaries. That part of Polling District T gravitates naturally to Reedham Station and the Council Offices area - part of Purley. The same applied to the Hartley area of T - it is Purley, not Old Coulsdon.

Summarising, the Council felt that the Labour Party appeared not to have had due regard to the community of interest factor, and in their proposed warding they break many existing ties. All their proposed amendments flowed from the Labour Party's views on two points, namely whether the railway line should be the boundary between Norbury and Beulah and their objection to the southern boundary of Spring Park. These two points had resulted in all the other proposed amendments being put forward.

Mr. K. Munro, Councillor for the present Norbury Ward, said that he was against the Labour Party proposals.

That the boundary between the proposed Norbury and Beulah Wards and between the proposed Thornton Heath and South Norwood wards should be as proposed by the Council in their draft scheme.

With regard to the Commission's proposed boundary between Norbury and Beulah wards, following Green Lane
throughout, Mr. Blakemore, for the council, contended that the residents to the north of Green Lane have no community of interest with the rest of Beulah Ward, and look to the area round Norbury Station for their shopping and transport facilities. The people to the south of Green Lane are cut off from Norbury by the railway and look to local facilities or southwards to Thornton Heath. The Council felt that the boundary should be as proposed in their draft scheme.

Mr. Munro said that he had lived in the area for most of his life. The Boundary Commission's proposals produced a more straggling ward. The minimum change should be made to the advantage of all. To some extent he went along with what the Labour Party had said, that the railway is an ideal boundary. The shops were used by people on both sides of the main road. He did not feel, therefore, that Green Lane is not an ideal boundary. It united the community on both sides.

Mr. John Beamish-Crooke regarded Green Lane as a clearly identifiable boundary, and strongly supported the Commission's proposals. The Labour Party considered that the inclusion of some roads north east of Green Lane in Norbury while excluding the linking area round Kensington Avenue, was untidy and unacceptable.

With regard to the boundary between the proposed Thornton Heath and South Norwood wards Mr. Blakemore pressed for the adoption of the boundary put forward in the Borough Council's scheme, rather than that proposed by the Commission. He accepted that the Commission's proposal would achieve a better balance in electorate between the two wards, but emphasised that the electorate in the roads to be transferred had their links with Thornton Heath, where they looked for their services rather than South Norwood.

The Council were supported in this view by Councillor Davies, Chairman of the Thornton Heath Ratepayers Association, and Council member for the Thornton Heath ward. Councillor Davies said that he had lived in the area for some 45 years and he had carried out a door to door canvas of the residents concerned. He handed in a petition from the residents, which is attached hereto, stating that the Boundary Commission's proposals are contrary to their wishes, and that a transfer to South Norwood ward would cause a loss of the involvement which they now enjoy with their adjoining neighbours, through the natural environment in which they are associated. Councillor Davies said that it was wrong to switch 500 people against their wishes where they enjoyed such a community interest.
8. That south of Marlpit Lane, the boundary between the proposed Woodocte and Coulsdon West ward and the proposed Coulsdon East Ward should follow the railway line instead of the A23 trunk road.

Councillor Hancock, for the Coulsdon West Residents Association, supported this proposal, as did the Borough Council, and this was also the view of those present at the meeting.

9. That the boundary between the proposed Coulsdon East and Kenley wards in the vicinity of Old Lodge Lane should be as proposed by the Council in their draft scheme.

Mr. Blakemore, for the Council, said that there were no proposals at present for development to the west of Old Lodge Lane. Should it occur in future, however, the Commission’s proposals would place that development in Coulsdon East with no access to that ward. He felt that the Council’s line which followed a reasonably defined footpath was preferable.

The meeting as a whole agreed with the Council’s view.

10. That the boundary between the proposed Thornton Heath and South Norwood wards, between the proposed Spring Park and Heathfield wards and between the proposed Waddon and Croham wards should be re-drawn.

Mr. John Beamish-Crooke, for the Croydon Labour Party, said that the Commission proposed a boundary between Thornton Heath and South Norwood wards which runs behind properties in Ross Road, Michael Road and Agerton Road and joins Whitehorse Lane at a rather arbitrary point. He supported the suggestion in the Commission’s proposal that the streets adjacent to Parry Road had closer links with the South Norwood area than with Thornton Heath and should properly form part of South Norwood ward, but felt that the proposed boundary was untidy and not easily identifiable in that it does not follow a road or other natural boundary. Accordingly, the Labour Party suggested an amendment to the Commission’s proposals so that the southern boundary of Thornton Heath ward should, after leaving South Norwood Hill, run southwestwards along Ross Road, thence southwards along Ladbrook Road to Whitehorse Lane.

On the boundary between Spring Park and Heathfield Wards proposed by the Boundary Commission, Mr. Beamish-Crooke commented as follows:

(a) The proposed boundary is not at all easy to identify for the eastern two-thirds of its length, in that it does
not follow a road or other natural boundary.

(b) The effect of the proposed boundary was to make Spring Park ward substantially oversized in terms of electorate.

(c) With the proposed boundary residents on the northern side of Oaks Road and some residents in Upper Shirley Road were placed in Spring Park ward whereas their clear community links lie with the Shirley Hills Road and Pine Coombe areas of the proposed Heathfield ward.

(d) Shirley Church Road represented a clear community and geographic boundary to the Spring Park area.

In order to satisfy the criteria on equality of electorate and identifiability of boundaries, the Labour Party felt that it was essential that the southern boundary of Spring Park ward should run along Shirley Church Road. Accordingly, they suggested an amendment to the Commission's proposals so that the southern boundary of Spring Park ward should, after leaving the Borough boundary, run westwards along the bridleway, being a continuation of Inchwood, to its junction with Spout Hill; thence north-westwards along Shirley Church Road and northwards along Upper Shirley Road to Wickham Road. This amendment would have the effect of transferring some 616 electors from Spring Park to Heathfield Ward, so improving the electoral equality of both wards.

On the Commission's proposed boundary between Waddon and Croham wards Mr. Beamish-Crooke commented as follows:

(a) Between Brighton Road and Pampisford Road the proposed boundary (which is an existing ward boundary) is very difficult to identify in that it does not follow a road or other natural boundary.

(b) There are no local ties between the Pampisford Road area of the proposed Waddon Ward and the remainder of the ward; there are, however, strong links between the Pampisford Road area and the adjacent areas of Croham Ward lying immediately to the east, and all the local shopping and public transport facilities for the Pampisford Road area are in Brighton Road near its junction with Sanderstead Road in the proposed Croham Ward.

(c) There are absolutely no local ties between the St. Peters Street/Selsdon Road area of the proposed Croham ward and the remainder of the ward; there are, however, strong links between this area and the adjacent parts of Waddon ward lying to the west of Southbridge Road and Brighton Road.
He stated that both the areas referred to in (b) and (c) above were within the existing Waddon ward, and the Labour Party firmly believed that it was more appropriate for the St. Peters Street/Selsdon Road area to remain in Waddon ward and for the Pampisford Road area to form part of the proposed Croham ward.

Accordingly, they suggested that the proposed boundary of Waddon ward should be amended from the junction of Southbridge Road and South End to run south-eastwards along Selsdon Road; eastwards along Croham Road; southwards along the South Croydon/Sanderstead railway line to the point where it crosses Selsdon Road, thence north-westwards along Selsdon Road; westwards and to the rear of the properties on the southside of Helder Street; westwards along Haling Park Road, Waddon Way and Imperial Way to the Borough boundary.

Summarising, Mr. Beamish-Crooke said that the Labour Party believed that these three boundaries in the Commission's proposals were weak in that they were not at all easy to identify, and, in the case of Spring Park, the effect was to produce a ward with far too many electors. Accordingly, they felt that these particular boundaries did not satisfy the rules laid down for this electoral review. The three amendments they proposed would provide clearly identifiable boundaries in every case and would also resolve the problem of the number of electors in Spring Park.

Mr. Blakemore, for the Borough Council, said that in the Council's view the Labour Party proposals were open to even further objections than those to which they were-objecting. He accepted the difficulty over electoral equality, but the Labour Party proposals would throw the 616 electors into a Heathfield ward with which they had no community of interest at all. Some of the boundaries which the Labour Party had criticised as unidentifiable were existing boundaries which had stood the test of time for many years. Mr. Blakemore felt that Brighton Road was the natural boundary to use.

Councillor Davies, Chairman of the Thornton Heath Ratepayers Association, supported the Council and disagreed entirely with the Labour Party proposals.

Councillor Bowness, who is Councillor for the present Shirley Ward and Leader of the Council, also opposed the Labour Party proposals. He said that they might look tidier on paper but in seeking equality of numbers the proposals fell down on community of interest. He suggested that I should visit the area.
Mr. D. Coombs, Head of Strategic Planning in the Borough's Department of Development, outlined the reasons for his calculations of the forecast of electorate, which included a conclusion that the size of the electorate in Spring Park Ward would fall. Mr. Beamish-Crooke challenged this conclusion and said that there was no drop such as this (some 600) in any part of the Borough. Even so the ward would be oversize.

11. That the proposed Purley, Coulsdon East and Woodcote and Coulsdon West wards should be re-drawn with a view to providing new wards each returning two councillors for the Woodcote, Coulsdon West and Coulsdon East areas.

Mr. Peter Billenness, for the Croydon South Liberal Association, referred to the representations his Association had made to the Boundary Commission in their letter, dated 15th February 1976. His Association believed that the best solution for the Borough lay in the creation of two member wards throughout. In the Croydon South Constituency three two-member wards, and three three-member wards were proposed. The two-member wards for Kenley, Sanderstead and Selsdon provided separate representation for these clearly identifiable communities.

The three-member wards proposed were Purley, Coulsdon East and Woodcote and Coulsdon West. The Purley ward was clearly identifiable with the Purley area. The Association felt that there were three other clearly identifiable communities in the other two wards, and it would be logical for these two three-member wards to be changed into three two-member wards related to these communities.

The first of these communities was Woodcote, centred on Woodcote Village. Although at present linked with Coulsdon West in a three-member ward (under arrangements which involved a uniform pattern of three member wards) Woodcote was formerly a separate ward in the days of the Coulsdon and Purley U.D.C. It had no real links with Coulsdon. It had a Purley postal address and the vast majority of the electors looked to Purley for shopping facilities, travel arrangements, etc. Despite its artificial merger with part of Coulsdon, when the London Borough of Croydon was established, its residents still belonged to a Woodcote and Purley Residents Association and not to the Coulsdon West Residents Association.

The second community was Coulsdon (or Coulsdon West) centred on the main Coulsdon shopping area, railway stations, etc. The third was Old Coulsdon, centred on Polling District "O" in the present Coulsdon East ward.
The Association suggested that in place of the three-member Woodcote and Coulsdon West and Coulsdon East wards there should be two-member wards for Woodcote, Coulsdon West (or Coulsdon) and Coulsdon East (or Old Coulsdon).

To give effect to these amendments it would be necessary to change certain of the boundaries in the draft proposals. The Association would be willing to defer to Croydon Council or other interested parties on minor boundary adjustments but they had suggested that the objective could be achieved by:-

(i) Making the boundary between Woodcote Ward and Purley Ward the same as had been proposed between the Woodcote and Coulsdon West Ward and the Purley Ward save only that instead of following Banstead Road and Plough Lane to the Borough boundary it should follow Russell Hill Road to the boundary.

(ii) Making the boundary between Woodcote Ward and Coulsdon West (or Coulsdon) Ward Grove Wood Hill and The Vale - both of these roads to be included in the Woodcote Ward.

(iii) Making the boundary between the Coulsdon West (or Coulsdon) Ward and the Coulsdon East (or Old Coulsdon) Ward, the railway line from Stoats Nest Road on the boundary of Purley Ward to Marlpit Lane to Downs Road following thereafter the boundary of Farthing or Fairdene Downs to the Borough boundary at the junction of Woodplace Lane and Drive Road.

Mr. Billenness outlined the advantages of the Liberal proposals. There would be closer contacts between the Councillors and the electors to the benefit of both. The reduction in ward size would facilitate closer alignment between wards and the actual local communities. Smaller wards led to greater participation, less feeling of alienation, and a greater turn out at local elections.

There was then some discussion on the advantages and disadvantages from a community and representational point of view of single-member wards, in which Councillor Davies and Councillor Mary Walker, Chairman of Croydon North East Labour Party and for Addiscombe ward, joined.

Mr. Blakemore said that there was no support in Croydon Council for one-member wards.

Commenting on the Croydon South Liberals proposals, Mr. Blakemore said that the wards suggested by them might
have some merit looked at solely from the viewpoint of community interest, but they were totally unacceptable in terms of equality of electoral representation. The proposed Woodcote ward was hopelessly low and Old Coulsdon too high. He gave the calculations for the proposed wards, as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coulsdon (Coulsdon West)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7,781</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>7,900</td>
<td>2.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old Coulsdon (Coulsdon East)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7,936</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>8,900</td>
<td>2.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woodcote</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5,062</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>5,100</td>
<td>1.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purley</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10,097</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>9,900</td>
<td>2.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mr. Beamish-Crooke had some sympathy with the Liberals in their wish for smaller wards but he felt, as did the Council, that the numbers were wrong. He suggested, however, that there was an urgent need to relate to real local communities.

12. That the areas of the proposed Purley and Woodcote and Coulsdon West wards should be divided into 3 wards each returning two councillors.

Mr. C.R. Hancock, Chairman of Coulsdon West Residents Association, said that well over half the householders in the area are members of his Association. They proposed that the old pre-merger Coulsdon West ward be revived as a two-member ward, subject to any minor changes in the boundary with Woodcote, to include all roads with a Coulsdon postal address.

They believed that the number of electors in Coulsdon West would justify the setting up again of a separate ward and that the boundaries could be just as easily identifiable as at present. The Association attached importance to the fact that Coulsdon West could be identified as a complete community, while Woodcote was very much a part of Purley. By re-defining the boundary between Woodcote ward and Purley ward it would be possible to make both into two-member wards (possibly called Purley West and Purley East) so that there would still be six councillors for Purley, Woodcote and Coulsdon West.
While Coulsdon West was not separated from Woodcote by open country or any other typographical feature, there were a number of other ways in which it was distinct, for instance, postal addresses, ecclesiastical parish, the old civil parish and ward boundary, and as the catchment area for Coulsdon shops and railway stations. They realised that there were other instances in the Borough where post-town and parish boundaries cut across ward boundaries, but these were confusing and should be avoided wherever possible.

They believed that for good local government, councillors should be easily identified and accessible to a close knit community. The proposals to re-distribute the combined Woodcote and Purley ward areas was not central to their scheme; it was merely offered as a helpful corollary. They had not studied it in detail, but only enough to be sure that their main proposal did not build up difficulties elsewhere.

Mr. Hancock added that another area which coincided exactly, for historical reasons, was that of their Association - the only institution of any sort, apart from the Croydon Council, covering the whole community. He stressed again the importance of the post town in defining communities and said that a ward straddling two post towns came near to being an anomaly.

He did not agree with the suggestion made earlier by Mr. Billenness, on behalf of the Liberals, that the boundary could be moved southwards. It would have the effect of cutting Coulsdon in half.

In support of his Association's proposals, Mr. Hancock said that originally Coulsdon was a small village in a large parish. It lost its Parish Council status upon the formation of Coulsdon and Purley Urban District, but did retain a vestige of 'communality' by being covered by two wards, East and West Coulsdon.

The formation of the Greater London Council made this worse. First, they were thrown into a bigger municipality, which almost always meant more costly and less efficient administration and service. Nothing could be done about that. Secondly, and purely for administrative tidiness, they were attached to Woodcote, in the neighbouring town of Purley.

New Coulsdon grew up as a dormitory straggle, and now desperately needed a sense of community, which was much more important that tidiness on paper. Croydon Council appeared unenthusiastic about community councils, and in any case such councils seem destined to have little
power or authority. Voluntary societies had to fill the civil vacuum.

A smaller ward for West Coulsdon alone, reverting to the status quo, besides being tidy and better in principle and practice, was the best hope they had for welding Coulsdon into a community. Incidentally, this more logical set-up would make the work of Residents' Associations and others less cumbersome and more profitable, since they often communicated with Councillors. How much more sensible it would be if they only had to write two letters instead of three, and to councillors who really knew the area because it was of manageable size.

Mr. Blakemore, for the Council, opposed the proposals of the Coulsdon West Residents' Association. Clearly they could only be achieved if one ignored all factors other than community of interest in Coulsdon West. But it produced a two-member ward with an electorate of 6,700. That then left 5,100 electors in Woodcote, which is well below a reasonable number for two members. What then did one do with Purley? The numbers were all wrong as regards equality of electorate and were quite unacceptable. The Residents' Association were looking at the matter solely from the point of view of Coulsdon West rather than for the area as a whole.

Mr. S. Cleary, representing the Woodcote Branch of the Croydon South Conservative Association, said that he had some sympathy with the proposals, but one ran into trouble with the Woodcote area. Any idea of extending the area meant straddling Purley Way or the Brighton Road. He strongly supported the draft proposals of the Boundary Commission.

For the Liberals, Mr. Peter Billenness asked, was it not a fact that Woodcote looked far more towards Purley than to West Coulsdon. He suggested that the least traumatic change would be to leave Coulsdon as Coulsdon and link Purley and Woodcote as he had proposed earlier. Mr. Hancock replying said that shopping and transport were not the only criteria.

Mr. D.J. Dartmouth, Secretary of the Croydon Central Conservative Association, said that he supported the Boundary Commission's draft proposals.

No further issues were raised and the meeting was closed.
13. During the meeting I was requested by several speakers to look at certain detailed ward boundaries, community features and other points, to which my attention was drawn in the debate. In the subsequent independent inspection of the Borough which I made, I paid particular attention to these disputed matters.

Conclusions and Recommendations

14. Before setting down my recommendations I would like to thank all those who attended the meeting and assisted me in my deliberations, and who gave so much of their time and effort in the interests of the Borough generally. I would like to pay particular tribute to the Town Clerk and Chief Executive, Mr. Alan Blakemore, and to Mr. John Beamish-Crooke, whose "briefs" covered the whole Borough, and who assisted me considerably by the helpful and lucid way in which they advanced their respective arguments.

15. Dealing with issue 4(a) above it is clear that the Boundary Commission's draft proposals based on the scheme submitted by the Borough Council, and the Labour Party's alternative warding proposals for the Borough must be considered as alternatives. Apart from the eight wards which have boundaries common to both schemes, the boundaries are such as to be mutually exclusive. Any attempt to incorporate a boundary from one scheme into the other necessarily results in a shunt effect which in the end produces unacceptable electoral representation figures, a poorer boundary elsewhere, or unjustifiable interference with a community interest.

In terms of numerical equality of representation the Labour scheme appears to be marginally better, but this has been achieved at the expense of some difficult ward boundaries and loss of local ties. On the other hand, after allowing for the fact that certain major changes have to be made in an electoral review such as this, the Council's scheme causes the least disturbance to the electorate, and is more faithful to existing boundaries and community ties. There was little external support for the Labour Party proposals at the meeting, whereas the Commission's draft proposals have proved to be broadly acceptable. The Council's scheme on the whole is of good numerical standard and only departs from the norm for sound community reasons.

The Labour Party have produced a reasonably viable alternative scheme and are to be congratulated on it, but
it leaves some difficult questions to be answered, e.g. Croham Ward. However, for the reasons set out above, I feel that it is not as sound or acceptable as the Council's scheme, and I am satisfied, therefore, that the Commission were right in preferring the Borough Council's proposals.

16. Issue 4(b) related firstly to the boundary between the proposed Norbury and Beulah wards. Here the Boundary Commission had re-aligned the boundary proposed by the Council, so that it followed Green Lane throughout. Although this amended line looks tidier on paper and Green Lane is, of course, a readily identifiable boundary, I am satisfied, after my inspection of the area, that the arguments advanced by the Council and Mr. Munro, and set out in detail in paragraph 4 above, are right and should prevail, and that the boundary should be as proposed by the Council.

The second part of this issue related to the boundary between Thornton Heath and South Norwood wards. The Boundary Commission in their draft proposals had adopted a different boundary from that proposed by the Council to improve the rather generous standard of representation of South Norwood and obtain a better balance of electorate between the two wards. It was abundantly clear at the local meeting, however, that the Council had correctly gauged the feelings of the electorate, and that the council's line is preferable for the purpose of maintaining ties.

17. There was no disagreement at the meeting on issue 4(c) that south of Marlpit Lane the boundary between the proposed Woodcote and Coulsdon West Ward and the proposed Coulsdon East Ward should follow the railway line rather than the A23 road. It was felt that once on the railway as a boundary it was better to keep to it, retaining the residential property between the railway and Brighton Road south of Marlpit Lane within Woodcote and Coulsdon West Ward, and I agree with this view.

18. With regard to issue 4(d) the meeting as a whole disliked the amendment here which had been proposed by the Ordnance Survey and adopted by the Commission in their draft proposals. For the reasons set out in paragraph 9 above, I agree that the Council's line is to be preferred.

19. Issue 4(e) concerned the Labour Party's proposal that the boundary between the proposed Thornton Heath and South Norwood wards, between the proposed Spring Park and Heathfield wards and between the proposed Waddon and Croham
wards should be re-drawn. The arguments deduced at the meeting both for and against these proposals are set out fully in paragraph 10 above. Shortly, the Labour Party felt that the boundaries proposed by the Boundary Commission in their draft proposals were not easy to identify, did not follow the guidelines for the electoral review and for Spring Park produced a ward with too many electors. They felt that the amendments they proposed provided clearly identifiable boundaries, and resolved the problem of the high electorate in Spring Park Ward.

On the other hand the Council felt that the Boundary Commission's draft proposals were right (subject to the question of the Green Lane boundary) and that the Labour Party proposals were open to the same objections as they themselves were raising. Some of the boundaries which they had criticised as unidentifiable were existing boundaries. The Labour Party proposals were destructive of local ties.

It is inevitable in a major electoral review that one cannot equate all the desirable guidelines all the time, and particularly one has to balance in a particular case the desirability of electoral equality with the need to maintain local ties and community of interest. I noted that there was no support at the meeting for the Labour Party proposals in this issue, and indeed they were strongly opposed by Councillor Davies on behalf of the Thornton Heath Ratepayers Association, and by Councillor Bowness. After inspecting the area and considering the boundaries concerned I have no doubt whatever that the Council's submissions are right and that the Labour Party's amendments should not be accepted.

20. I have considerable sympathy with the thought behind the proposals of the Croydon South Liberal Association, summarised in issue 4(f) that the proposed Purley, Coulsdon East and Woodcote and Coulsdon West Wards should be re-drawn to produce three two-member wards for the Woodcote, Coulsdon West and Coulsdon East areas. They have correctly identified particular communities, but, unfortunately, in this case their solution of an additional ward results in the promulgation of 4 new wards, two of which, Old Coulsdon Ward and Woodcote Ward, are quite unrealistic in terms of equality of electoral representation. The figures are given at the end of paragraph 11 on page 17 above, and I agree with the Borough Council's view that they are unacceptable.

21. The same is true for the proposal of the Coulsdon West Residents' Association in issue 4(g) that the areas of the
proposed Purley and Woodcote and Coulsdon West wards should be divided into three two-member wards. It is a variation on the same theme looked at, understandably of course, solely from the point of view of Coulsdon West. From this viewpoint the arguments of the Residents' Association have some merit, but they ignore the effect on the area as a whole, and they lead once again to an unacceptable Woodcote Ward of 5,100 electors, well below a reasonable electorate for a two-member ward.

22. I recommend, therefore, that the draft proposals of the Local Government Boundary Commission for the London Borough of Croydon be confirmed, subject to:

(i) the boundary between the proposed Norbury and Beulah wards and between the proposed Thornton Heath and South Norwood wards being as proposed by the Borough Council in their draft scheme;

(ii) the boundary between the proposed Woodcote and Coulsdon West Ward and the proposed Coulsdon East Ward following the railway line south of Malripit Lane instead of the A23 Trunk Road;

(iii) the boundary between the proposed Coulsdon East and Kenley wards, in the vicinity of Old Lodge Lane, being as proposed by the Borough Council in their draft scheme and not as amended by the Ordnance Survey.

Yours sincerely,
## REVIEW OF ELECTORAL ARRANGEMENTS

**PUBLIC MEETING HELD AT THE TOWN HALL, CROYDON, ON WEDNESDAY, 12TH JANUARY, 1977 AT 10.30 A.M.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>ORGANISATION REPRESENTED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F.D. Bailey</td>
<td>5 Mulgrave Road, Croydon.</td>
<td>Observer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.R.S. McIntosh</td>
<td>36 Brighton Road, Purley.</td>
<td>Croydon South Conservative Assn.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.A. Clearey</td>
<td>1 Beaumont Road, Purley.</td>
<td>Croydon South Conservative Assn. (Woodcote Branch)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J.G. Morgan</td>
<td>51 Cameron Road, Bromley.</td>
<td>London Borough of Bromley (Observer)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Beamish-Crooke</td>
<td>28 Waddon Court Road, Croydon.</td>
<td>Croydon Labour Party.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miss S.N. Russell</td>
<td>Conservative House,</td>
<td>Constituency Agent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14 Tavistock Road, Croydon.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. Billenness</td>
<td>20 Winifred Road, Coulsdon.</td>
<td>Croydon South Liberal Assn.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Macklin</td>
<td>305 Wickham Road, Shirley.</td>
<td>East Ward Electors' Association.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Johnston</td>
<td>13 Briar Road, Norbury, S.W.16.</td>
<td>Member for present Norbury Ward.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K.M. Munro</td>
<td>39 Pollards Hill South, Norbury, S.W.4LW</td>
<td>-ditto-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.R.W. Hancock</td>
<td>Pine Ridge, Portnalls Road, Coulsdon.</td>
<td>Coulsdon West Residents' Assn.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Hegarty</td>
<td>32 Wyndham Street, London W.I.</td>
<td>Councillor for City of Westminster (Observer)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.J. Dartmouth</td>
<td>Conservative House, 14 Tavistock Road, Croydon.</td>
<td>Croydon Central Conservative Assn.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary Walker</td>
<td>48 Chisholm Road, Croydon, CRO 6UP</td>
<td>Chairman of Croydon North-East Labour Party and Councillor for the present Addiscombe Ward.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I. McLeod</td>
<td>&quot;Saxons&quot;, Bishops Walk, Addington, Croydon, CRO 5BA</td>
<td>Councillor for the present Central Ward.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alan Blakemore</td>
<td>Town Clerk and Chief Executive, Croydon.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Middlemiss</td>
<td>Town Clerk's staff - London Borough of Croydon.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. Audus</td>
<td>Town Clerk's staff - London Borough of Croydon.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R.C. Perry</td>
<td>Town Clerk's staff - London Borough of Croydon.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAME OF WARD</td>
<td>NO OF COUNCILLORS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADDISCOMBE</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASHBURTON</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BENSHAM MANOR</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BEULAH</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BROAD GREEN</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COULSDON EAST</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CROHAM</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAIRFIELD</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIELDWAY</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEATHFIELD</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KENLEY</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MONKS ORCHARD</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEW ADDINGTON</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NORBURY</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PURLEY</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RYLANDS</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANDERSTEAD</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SELSDON</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOUTH NORWOOD</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPRING PARK</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THORNTON HEATH</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UPPER NORWOOD</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WADDON</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WEST THORNTON</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHITEHORSE MANOR</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WOODCOTE AND COULSDON WEST</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WOODSIDE</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
LONDON BOROUGH OF CROYDON

DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED WARD BOUNDARIES

Note: Where the boundary is described as following a road, railway, river, canal, or similar feature it should be deemed to follow the centre line of the feature unless otherwise stated.

UPPER NORWOOD WARD

Commencing at a point where Covington Way meets the northwestern boundary of the Borough, thence northeastwards along said boundary, eastwards along the northern boundary of the Borough and southwestwards along the northeastern boundary of the Borough to Belvedere Road, thence westwards along said road to Church Road, thence southwestwards along said road to Beulah Hill, thence northwestwards along said hill to Downsview Road, thence southwards along said Road to Biggin Way, thence northwestwards along said way and Covington Way to the path between the western and eastern arms of Covington Way, through Biggin Wood, thence continuing generally westwards along said path and the western arm of Covington Way to Norbury Hill, thence northeastwards along said hill to the southern boundary of the Playing Fields adjoining No 91 Norbury Hill thence north-westwards along said southern boundary to and along the northern boundary to Gibson's Hill, of No 84 Gibson's Hill/ thence southwestwards along said hill to Covington Way, thence northwestwards along said way to the point of commencement.

BEULAH WARD

Commencing at the junction of the road known as Norbury Hill and Covington Way being a point on the southwestern boundary of Upper Norwood Ward, thence eastwards, northwards and southeastwards along said southwestern boundary to and continuing along South Norwood Hill to Grange Hill, thence southwestwards along said hill, crossing Grange Road to Beauchamp Road, thence northwestwards along said road to a point opposite the southern boundary of No 37 Beauchamp Road, thence southwestwards to and along said boundary and northeastwards along the rear boundaries of Nos 37-61
Beauchamp Road to Burlington Road, thence southwestwards along said road to Penrith Road, thence northwestwards along said road to Northwood Road, thence southwestwards along said road to County Road thence southwestwards along said road to its end thence southwestwards in a straight line to the easternmost corner of No 121 Norbury Avenue, thence generally southwestwards along the southeastern boundary of said property and in prolongation southwestwards to the Selhurst-Norbury railway thence northwesterwards along said railway to Manor Farm Road, thence northeastwards along said road to Norbury Avenue thence northwesterwards along said avenue to Kensington Avenue, thence northeastwards along said avenue to the path running from northeast of 96 Kensington Avenue to the southwestern corner of 189 Green Lane, thence northwesterwards and northeastwards along said path and continuing northeastwards along the access road and crossing Green Lane to the road known as Norbury Hill, thence northeastwards along said road to the point of commencement.

NORBURY WARD

Commencing at a point where the northwestern boundary of the Borough meets the southwestern boundary of Upper Horwood Ward thence generally southeastwards along said ward boundary to the northwestern boundary of Beulah Ward, thence southwestwards along said northwestern boundary and southeastwards along the southwestern boundary of said ward and continuing along the railway to a point opposite the northwestern boundary of No 273 Norbury Crescent, thence southwestwards to and along said boundary to said crescent thence southeastwards along said crescent to Strathyre Avenue, thence southwestwards along said avenue to London Road, thence southwards along said road to a point opposite the northern boundary of No 1107 London Road, thence southwestwards to and along said boundary, the rear boundaries of Nos 1-89 Wharfedale Gardens, the northern boundary of No 26 Lonsdale Gardens
and the rear boundaries of Nos 6-3 Naraton Drive, to the northern boundary of properties and garages in Dorset Gardens, thence westwards along said boundary to the western boundary of the Borough, thence northwestwards along said boundary and northeastwards along the northwestern boundary of the Borough to the point of commencement.

THORNTON HEATH WARD

Commencing at a point where Whitehorse Road meets the Norbury-Selhurst eastern railway, thence northwestwards along said railway and the boundary of Norbury Ward, to and generally northeastwards and eastwards along the southern boundary of Beulah Ward to South Norwood Hill, thence southeastwards along said hill to Ross Road, thence southwestwards along said road to Canham Road, thence southeastwards along said road to Whitehorse Lane, thence southwestwards along said lane to and southwards along Whitehorse Road to the point of commencement.

SOUTH NORWOOD WARD

Commencing at a point where Clifton Road meets the southeastern boundary of Thornton Heath Ward, thence generally northeastwards and northwards along said boundary, the eastern boundary of Beulah Ward and the southeastern boundary of Upper Norwood Ward to the northeastern boundary of the Borough, thence southeastwards along said boundary to the Crystal Palace - Beckenham Junction railway, thence northwestwards along said railway to National Grid reference TQ 34806830 being a point opposite the rear boundaries of the Depot and Nos 30 - 26 King's Road, thence southwestwards to and along said boundaries, and continuing along the northern boundary of the disused railway and the rear boundary of the Goat House public house to the unnamed bridge over the disused railway, thence northwestwards along said bridge and southwestwards along Goat House Bridge to the Purley-Anerley railway, thence southwestwards along said railway to Tennison Road, thence northwestwards along said road to Selhurst Road, thence southwestwards along said road to Clifton Road, thence northwestwards along said road to the point of commencement.
RYLANDS WARD

Commencing at a point where the Woodside-Beckenham railway meets Spring Lane, thence northwards along said lane to Portland Road, thence northwards and northwestwards along said road to the southeastern boundary of South Norwood Ward, thence northeastwards along said boundary to the northeastern boundary of the Borough, thence southeastwards along said boundary to the Caterham-Beckenham railway, thence southwestwards along said railway to the point of commencement.

WOODSIDE WARD

Commencing at a point where the western boundary of Rylands Ward meets the Addiscombe - Beckenham railway, thence southwestwards along said railway to a point opposite the eastern boundary of the Mineral Water Works in Morland Road, thence northwards to and along said boundary, crossing Morland Road, and continuing generally northwards along the eastern boundary of No 191 Morland Road, the rear boundaries of 2a, 2b, 2-10 Jesmond Road and the rear boundaries of Nos 1–137 Northway Road to the northern boundary of No 137 Northway Road, thence northeastwards along said boundary to said road, thence northwards along said road to a point opposite the rear boundaries of Nos 424–410 Davidson Road, thence southwestwards along said boundaries and northwestwards along the southwestern boundary of No 410 Davidson Road, crossing Davidson Road to the access between Nos 389 and 391 Davidson Road, thence continuing northwestwards along said access to its end, thence northwestwards in a straight line to Grid Reference TQ 3352267258 being a point on the Purley-London Bridge railway, thence northeastwards along said railway and continuing along the southeastern boundary of South Norwood Ward to the western boundary of Rylands Ward, thence southeastwards along said boundary to the point of commencement.
WEST THORNTON WARD
Commencing at a point where Mitcham Road meets the western boundary of the Borough, thence generally northeastwards along said boundary to the southern boundary of Norbury Ward, thence northeastwards along said boundary to London Road, thence southeastwards along said road to Malvern Road, thence northeastwards along said road to Weybridge Road, thence southeastwards along said road to Colliers Water Lane, thence eastwards along said lane to Brigstock Road, thence southwestwards along said road to Prant Road, thence southeastwards along said road and Queen's Road to St Saviour's Road, thence southwestwards along said road, Cameron Road and Campbell Road to London Road, thence northwestwards along said road to Canterbury Road, thence southwestwards along said road to Mitcham Road, thence northwestwards along said road to the point of commencement.

BENSHAM MANOR WARD
Commencing at a point where Pawson's Road meets the northeastern boundary of West Thornton Ward, thence generally northwestwards along said boundary to the southern boundary of Norbury Ward, thence northeastwards along said boundary to the southwestern boundary of Thornton Heath Ward, thence southeastwards along said boundary to Whitehorse Road, thence southwestwards along said road to and continuing along Pawson's Road to the point of commencement.

WHITEHORSE MANOR WARD
Commencing at a point where the southeastern boundary of Thornton Heath Ward meets the southwestern boundary of South Norwood Ward, thence southeastwards along said southwestern boundary to the northwestern boundary of Woodside Ward, thence southwestwards along said boundary and continuing along the railway to St James's Road at Windmill Bridge, thence generally northwestwards along said road to London Road, thence northwestwards along said road to the southeastern boundary of West Thornton Ward, thence northeastwards along said boundary, the southeastern boundaries of Bensham Manor Ward and Thornton Heath Ward to the point of commencement.
ADDISCOMBE WARD
Commencing at a point where the southeastern boundary of Whitehorse Manor Ward meets the southwestern boundary of Woodside Ward, thence southwards and northeastwards along the southwestern and southeastern boundaries of said ward to the Woodside-Beckenham railway, thence southwards along said railway to Addiscombe Road, thence westwards along said road to the Purley-Anerley railway opposite East Croydon Station thence northwards and northeastwards along said railway and the southeastern boundary of Whitehorse Manor Ward to the point of commencement.

ASHBURTON WARD
Commencing at a point where Addiscombe Road meets the eastern boundary of Addiscombe Ward, thence northwards and northeastwards along said boundary and the southeastern boundaries of Woodside Ward and Rylands Ward to the footbridge on the path between Macclesfield Road and Long Lane, thence southeasterwards along said footbridge and path, crossing Long Lane and continuing along Bywood Avenue to a point opposite the northwestern boundary of No 2a Bywood Avenue, thence southwestwards to and along said boundary to the eastern boundary of Ashburton Playing Fields, thence southwards along said boundary and generally southwestwards along the southern boundary of said fields and in continuation crossing Glenthorne Avenue, to and along the eastern boundary of No 106 Glenthorne Avenue to Chaffinch Brook, thence southwards along said brook to the rear boundaries of Nos 37-19 Shirley Avenue, thence southwestwards along said boundaries and the northern boundary of No 139 Shirley Road to Shirley Road, thence southeasterwards along said road to Addiscombe Road, thence westwards along said road to the point of commencement.
MONKS ORCHARD WARD
Commencing at a point where the southeastern boundary of Rylands Ward meets the northeastern boundary of the Borough, thence southeastwards along said borough boundary to Wickham Road, thence southwestwards along said road to Shirley Road, thence northwards along said road to the eastern boundary of Ashburton Ward, thence generally northeastwards and northwards along said boundary to the southeastern boundary of Rylands Ward, thence northeastwards along said boundary to the point of commencement.

BROAD GREEN WARD
Commencing at a point where Mill Lane meets the western boundary of the Borough, thence generally northwestwards along said borough boundary to the southern boundary of West Thornton Ward, thence southeastwards along said boundary to the southern boundary of Whitehorse Manor Ward, thence continuing southeastwards along said boundary to the Selhurst to Waddon Marsh railway, at Spurgeon's Bridge thence southwestwards and northwestwards along said railway to a point being the prolongation northwards of the western boundary of Vicarage Works, thence southwards along said prolongation and boundary to a point opposite the southern boundary of the Gas Works, thence southwestwards and northwards to and along the southern and western boundaries of the Gas Works to the northern boundary of No 271 Purley Way, thence southwestwards along said boundary to Purley Way, thence southwards along said way to a point opposite the northern boundary of No 334 Purley Way, thence southwestwards along said boundary and southwards along the rear boundaries of said property and continuing along the southern boundary of the Works to the rear boundaries of Nos 6-12 Mill Lane, thence westwards along said boundary and southwards along the western boundary of No 12 Mill Lane to Mill Lane, thence southwestwards along said lane to the point of commencement.
WADDON WARD

Commencing at a point where the western boundary of the Borough meets the southern boundary of Broad Green Ward, thence generally northeastwards along said ward boundary to the footbridge between Wandle Park and the road known as Rectory Grove, thence southeastwards along said footbridge, crossing Waddon New Road, to and along Rectory Grove to Roman Way thence southwards along said way and southeastwards along the road known as Old Town and Southbridge Road to Brighton Road, thence southwards along said road to Haling Park Road, thence westwards along said road to the footpath between Haling Park Road and St Augustine's Avenue, thence southwestwards along said path, crossing said avenue, and continuing southwestwards along the footpath to the rear of properties in Tirlemont Road to the path between St Augustine's Avenue and Edgehill Road, thence westwards and southwards along said path to a point opposite the southern boundary of No 178 Pampisford Road, thence northwestwards to and along said boundary, crossing Pampisford Road to the northern boundary of the Allotment Gardens to the south of Shirley (Hostel for Old People), thence continuing northwestwards along said boundary and southwards along the western boundary of said gardens to the northern boundary of Russell Hill Reservoir, thence westwards along said boundary and generally southwards along the western boundary of said reservoir and the western boundary of the Sports Ground to the south of the reservoir to Edgehill Road, thence southwestwards along said road to a point opposite the eastern boundary of No 66 Highfield Road, thence northwards to and along said boundary and the western boundary of the Borough to the point of commencement.
FAIRFIELD WARD

Commencing at a point where Selsdon Road meets the eastern boundary of Waddon Ward, thence northwestwards along said boundary to the southeastern boundary of Broad Green Ward, thence northeastwards along said boundary to the southern boundary of Whitehorse Manor Ward, thence southeastwards along said boundary to the western boundary of Addiscombe Ward, thence southwards along said boundary and eastwards along the southern boundary of said ward and Ashburton Ward to a point opposite the western boundary of Shirley Park Golf Course, thence generally southwards along said boundary to Grid Reference TQ 3481064947 being a point in the track between Oaks Road and Upper Shirley Road, passing to the southeast of Coombe Farm thence generally southwestwards and southeastwards along said track to Oaks Road, thence southwestwards along said road to Coombe Road, thence westwards along said road to Conduit Lane, thence southwestwards along said lane to Croham Road, thence northwesterns along said road and Selsdon Road to the point of commencement.

SPRING PARK WARD

Commencing at a point where Oaks Road meets the southeastern boundary of Fairfield Ward, thence generally northwards along said boundary to the southern boundary of Ashburton Ward, thence eastwards along said boundary and southeastwards and eastwards along the southern boundary of Monks Orchard Ward to the eastern boundary of the Borough, thence southwards along said boundary to the road known as Inchwood, thence southwestwards along said road and Bridle Way to the path that leads to the rear of No 67 Bramble Close, thence northwesterns along said path to the rear boundaries of No 67 Bramble Close, thence continuing southwestwards and northwesterns along the rear boundaries of properties to the south of Bramble Close and Shrublands Avenue to the path between Shrublands Avenue and Palace View, thence northwesterns along said path to a point opposite the eastern
boundary of No 98 Palace View, thence southwards to and along said boundary and generally westwards along the rear boundaries of Nos 98-2 Palace View and Nos 34-28 Greenway Gardens to the southeastern boundary of No 162 Shirley Church Road, thence southwestwards along said boundary to Shirley Church Road, thence northweswards along said road to a point opposite the eastern boundary of No 169 Shirley Church Road, thence generally southwestwards to and along said boundary and north-westwards along the rear boundaries of Nos 169-149 Shirley Church Road, crossing the track between Nos 157 and 159 Shirley Church Road to the rear boundaries of Nos 26-1 Finewood Close, thence southwards, north-westwards and northwards along said boundaries to the rear boundaries of Nos 125-103 Shirley Church Road, thence northweswards along said boundaries and in continuation to the eastern boundary of Selborne House, thence southwards along said eastern boundary and the eastern boundary of Shirley Secondary School, thence westwards along the southern boundary and north-westwards along the western boundary of said school to the southern boundary of the Vicarage, thence southwestwards along said boundary and generally southwestwards along the northern boundary of Coloma Convent Grammar School to Upper Shirley Road, thence southwards along said road to Oaks Road, thence southwestwards along said road to the point of commencement.

HEATHFIELD WARD

Commencing at a point where the southern boundary of Spring Park Ward meets the eastern boundary of the Borough, thence southeastwards along said Borough boundary to Grid Ref. TQ 380826338, thence southwestwards in a straight line and continuing along the northwestern boundary of Parcel No 7400 as shown on Ordnance Survey 1:2500 plan TQ 36/3763 Edition of 1971 to Lodge Lane, thence southwards along said lane to a point opposite the southern boundary of the Golf Course, thence generally
westwards to and along said boundary to the northeastern boundary of Parcel No 5343 as shown on Ordnance Survey 1:2500 plan TQ 3762 Edition of 1955, thence southeastwards along said boundary to Nat Grid reference TQ 3796062097, thence southeastwards in a straight line to Nat Grid reference TQ 3793362065, being the northwestern corner of Overbury High School, thence southeastwards along the southwestern boundary of said school and southwestwards in a straight line to Nat Grid reference TQ 3827761625, being a point on the northeastern boundary of the scrubland, thence southeastwards along said boundary and the northeastern boundary of the scrubland known as Hutchingson's Bank to the southern boundary of the Borough, thence southwestwards and northwestwards along said boundary to the path known as Addington Border in Selsdon Wood (Bird Sanctuary and Nature Reserve), thence northwestwards along said path, crossing Yew Tree Way and continuing northwestwards along the path that leads to the road known as Swallowdale to the rear boundary of the Swallowdale visitors' parking area, thence northeastwards and northwestwards along said boundary to the rear boundaries of Nos 30-68 Swallowdale, thence northeastwards along said boundaries and northwards along the rear boundaries of Nos 72-55 Swallowdale and in prolongation thereof to Selsdon Park Road, thence southwestwards along said road to a point opposite the eastern boundary of No 265 Addington Road, thence northwesterns to and along said boundary, the rear boundaries of Nos 1-8 Ingham Close and Nos 46-26 Ingham Road, thence northwesterns in a straight line to the eastern boundary of No 252 Croham Valley Road, thence generally westwards along the rear boundaries of Nos 252-130 Croham Valley Road, thence southwestwards in a straight line to the rear boundary of No 154 Littleheath Road, thence northwestwards along the rear boundaries of Nos 154-170 Littleheath Road and Nos 176-186 Farley Road to the southeastern boundary of No 188 Farley Road, thence southwards along said boundary, crossing Farley Road and continuing along the southeastern boundary of No 211 Farley Road to the rear boundaries of Nos 211-229
Farley Road, thence northwestwards along said boundaries and the rear boundaries of Nos 76-2 Croham Valley Road to the western boundary of No 2 Croham Valley Road, thence northeastwards along said boundary to and continuing along the southeastern boundary of Fairfield Ward and the southern boundary of Spring Park Ward to the point of commencement.

FIELDWAY WARD
Commencing at a point where the eastern boundary of Heathfield Ward meets the eastern boundary of the Borough, thence southeastwards along said Borough boundary to the unnamed road that leads from Mickleham Way to the Electricity Sub Station, thence northwestwards along said unnamed road and Mickleham Way to Dunley Drive, thence southwestwards along said drive to Headley Drive, thence southwards and southwestwards along said drive and Castle Hill Avenue to Lodge Lane, thence northwestwards along said lane and continuing northwestwards and northeastwards along the eastern boundary of Heathfield Ward to the point of commencement.

NEW ADDINGTON WARD
Commencing at a point where the southern boundary of the Borough meets the eastern boundary of Heathfield Ward, thence northwestwards and northeastwards along said eastern boundary and continuing northeastwards along the southern boundary of Fieldway Ward to the eastern boundary of the Borough, thence southeastwards along said boundary and generally westwards along the southern boundary of the Borough to the point of commencement.

CROHAM WARD
Commencing at a point where the eastern boundary of Waddon Ward meets the southern boundary of Fairfield Ward, thence southeastwards along said southern boundary to the northwestern boundary of Heathfield Ward,
thence southwestwards along said boundary and continuing southwestwards and southeastwards along the western boundary of the Croham Hurst Golf Course to Upper Selsdon Road, thence northwesterly along said road to Arkwright Road, thence southwestwards, southeastwards and southwesterly along said road to Briton Hill Road, thence westerly along said road to Sanderstead Road, thence southeastwards along said road to Purley Oaks Road, thence westerly along said road to the road known as Downsway, thence southwards along said road to a point opposite the northern boundary of No 2 Downsway thence northwesterly to and along said boundary and generally southwestwards along the rear boundaries of Nos 2-40 Downsway to the southern boundary of No 40 Downsway, thence southeastwards along said boundary to said road, thence southwestwards along said road to Purley Downs Road, thence northwesterly along said road to Grid Reference TQ 3278561842, thence southwestwards in a straight line to Grid Reference TQ 3270061700 being a point in prolongation southeastwards of the rear boundary of No 104 Purley Downs Road, thence northwesterly along said prolongation and the rear boundaries of Nos 104-98 Purley Downs Road to the Beckenham-Woodside railway, thence northeastwards along said railway to Purley Oaks Road, thence northwesterly along said road to the southeastern boundary of No 1 Station Approach, thence northeastwards along said boundary and northwesterly along the northeastern boundary of said property, and in continuation crossing the railway at Purley Oaks Station to Brantwood Road to the station, thence northeastwards along said road and northwesterly along Brantwood Road to Brighton Road, thence southwestwards along said road to Biddulph Road (northern arm), thence northwesterly along said road to the access road to the rear of Nos 544-436 Brighton Road, thence northeastwards along said access road to a point opposite the southwestern boundary of No 47 Kingsdown Avenue, thence northwesterly to and along said boundary and southwestwards along the rear boundaries of Nos 49-73
Kingsdown Avenue to the southwestern boundary of No 73 Kingsdown Avenue, thence northwesterly along said boundary to said avenue, thence northeasterly along said avenue to Coningsby Road, thence northwesterly along said road to Mount Park Avenue, thence southwesterly along said avenue to a point opposite the southern boundary of No 52 Mount Park Avenue, thence northwesterly to and along said boundary to the eastern boundary of Waddon Ward, thence generally northerly along said boundary to the point of commencement.

PURLEY WARD

Commencing at a point where Plough Lane meets the western boundary of the Borough, thence easterly along said boundary to the western boundary of Waddon Ward, thence southwesterly along said boundary and generally northeasterly along the southern boundary of said ward to the southeastern boundary of Croham Ward, thence generally southeasterly and southwesterly along said boundary to and continuing along the railway to the western boundary of No 25 Mitchley Avenue, thence southwesterly along said boundary to Mitchley Avenue, thence westerly along said avenue to Riddlesdown Road, thence southeasterly along said road to a point opposite the northern boundary of the area known as Riddlesdown, thence southwesterly to and along said boundary to Godstone Road, thence northwesterly along said road to Sylverdale Road, thence southwesterly along said road to Roke Lodge Road, thence southeasterly and southwesterly along said road to the Purley-Caterham railway, thence southeasterly along said railway to a point opposite the northwesterly boundary of No 91 Oaks Road, thence southwesterly to and along said boundary, crossing Oaks Road, continuing southwesterly along the northwesterly boundary of No 72 Oaks Road and in continuation to the path to the south of Foxley Wood, thence southeasterly and southwesterly along said path to the eastern boundary of Higher Drive Recreation Ground, thence southeasterly along said boundary to Foxley Road, thence westerly along said road to the road known as Higher Drive, thence northwesterly along said road to the track to the south of No 106 Higher Drive, thence southwest-
wards along said track, the northern boundary of No 108 Higher Drive
and the southeastern boundary of No 35 Haydn Avenue to Haydn Avenue,
thenese southwards along said avenue to Lodge Hill, thence southwestwards
along said hill to Old Lodge Lane, thence northwestwards along said lane
to the access road to the south of No 116 Old Lodge Lane, thence west-
wards along said road to the eastern boundary of said golf course,
thenese northwestwards along said boundary, generally southwestwards
along the northern boundary of said golf course and the southern boundary
of No 105 Hartley Down to the road known as Hartley Down, thence north-
wards along said road to a point opposite the southern boundary of No 96
Hartley Down, thence westwards along said boundary and northwestwards
along the rear boundaries of Nos 67-29 Stoats Nest Road, to the north-
eastern boundary of No 29 Stoats Nest Road, thence northwestwards along
said boundary to said road, thence northeastwards and northwestwards
along said road to Brighton Road, thence northeastwards along said road
to Banstead Road, thence northwestwards along said road, Foxley Lane
and Plough Lane to the point of commencement.

SANDERSTEAD WARD

Commencing at a point where the southern boundary of the Borough meets
the South Croydon - Oxted railway, thence northwestwards along said railway
to the path between the railway and the junction of Godstone Road and
Riddlesdown Road, thence southwestwards along said path to Riddlesdown
Road, thence northwestwards along said road to the southern boundary of
Purley Ward, thence northwestwards and southeastwards along said boundary
and generally northwards along the eastern boundary of said ward to the
southern boundary of Croham Ward, thence generally eastwards and north-
eastwards along said boundary to Church Way, thence southeastwards along
said way to Norfolk Avenue, thence eastwards along said avenue to a
point opposite the rear boundary of No 143 Norfolk Avenue, thence south-
wards to and along said boundary and southwards and northeastwards along
the rear boundaries of Nos 141-15 Norfolk Avenue to the rear boundaries of Nos 22-12 Sylvan Close, thence southeasterwards along said boundaries to the rear boundaries of Nos 13-6 Mountwood Close, thence southwestwards and southwards along said boundaries to the rear boundaries of Nos 57b-55 Addington Road, thence southwestwards along said boundaries and southwards along the southwestern boundary of No 55 Addington Road to Addington Road, thence eastwards along said road to the northeastern boundary of No 64 Addington Road, thence southeastwards along said boundary to the northern boundary of Selsdon Park Golf Course, thence southwestwards along said boundary and generally southeastwards and eastwards along the western and southern boundary of said golf course to Kingswood Way, thence southwards along said way to the southern boundary of the Borough, thence generally southwestwards along said borough boundary to the point of commencement.

SELDSON WARD

Commencing at a point where the southern boundary of the Borough meets the eastern boundary of Sanderstead Ward, thence generally northwestwards and northwards along said eastern boundary and the eastern boundary of Croham Ward to the southwestern boundary of Heathfield Ward, thence southeastwards along said boundary to the southern boundary of the Borough, thence southwestwards along said boundary to the point of commencement.

WOODCOTE AND COULSDON WEST WARD

Commencing at a point where the South Croydon-Coulsdon South railway meets the southern boundary of the Borough, thence westwards along said boundary and generally northeastwards along the western boundary of the Borough to the western boundary of Purley Ward thence southeastwards and southwestwards along said boundary and southeastwards along the southern boundary of said ward to the South Croydon-Coulsdon South railway, thence southwestwards along said railway to the point of commencement.
COULSDON EAST WARD

Commencing at a point where the southern boundary of the Borough meets the southeastern boundary of Woodcote and Coulsdon West Ward, thence north-eastwards along said ward boundary to the southern boundary of Purley Ward, thence southeastwards, northeastwards and southeastwards along said boundary to the rear boundaries of Nos 118-324 Old Lodge Lane, thence southeastwards along said boundaries and northeastwards along the southeastern boundary of No 324 Old Lodge Lane to Old Lodge Lane, thence southeastwards along said lane to National Grid reference TQ3181458565 being a point on the northern end of a footpath running from said lane to the northwestern corner of the property in Old Lodge Lane, known as The Heights, thence generally southwards, southeastwards and northeastwards along said footpath to a point opposite the western boundary of aforementioned property, thence southeastwards to and along said boundary and in continuation along the southwestern boundary of the open ground to the rear of said property and in prolongation thereof to Hayes Lane, thence southwestwards and southeastwards along said lane to the southern boundary of the Borough, thence generally southwestwards and northwards along said boundary to the point of commencement.

KENLEY WARD

Commencing at a point where the southern boundary of the Borough meets the northeastern boundary of Coulsdon East Ward, thence north-westwards along said ward boundary to the southern boundary of Purley Ward, thence generally northeastswards along said boundary to the southwestern boundary of Sanderstead Ward, thence southeastwards along said boundary to the southern boundary of the Borough, thence generally southwestwards along said boundary to the point of commencement.