

**Local Government
Boundary Commission
For England
Report No. 456**

LOCAL GOVERNMENT

BOUNDARY COMMISSION

FOR ENGLAND

REPORT NO. 456

LOCAL GOVERNMENT BOUNDARY COMMISSION FOR ENGLAND

CHAIRMAN

Mr G J Ellerton CMG MBE

DEPUTY CHAIRMAN

Sir Wilfred Burns CB CBE

MEMBERS

Lady Ackner

Mr T Brockbank DL

Mr D P Harrison

Professor G E Cherry

To the Rt. Hon. Leon Brittan QC., MP.
Secretary of State for the Home Department

PROPOSALS FOR THE FUTURE ELECTORAL ARRANGEMENTS FOR THE COUNTY OF CORNWALL

1. The last Order under Section 51 of the Local Government Act 1972 in relation to electoral arrangements for districts in the County of Cornwall was made on 30 May 1980. As required by Section 63 and Schedule 9 of the Act we have now reviewed the electoral arrangements for that county, using the procedure we have set out in our Report No.6.
2. We informed the Cornwall County Council in a consultation letter dated 7 October 1980 that we proposed to conduct the review, and sent copies of the letter to the district councils, parish councils and parish meetings in the county, to the Members of Parliament representing the constituencies concerned, to the headquarters of the main political parties and to the editors both of local newspapers circulating in the county and of the local government press. Notices in the local press announced the start of the review and invited comments from members of the public and from interested bodies.
3. On 27 February 1981 the County Council submitted to us a draft scheme in which they suggested 79 electoral divisions for the county, each returning one member in accordance with Section 6(2)(a) of the Act.
4. We considered this scheme together with the views expressed by local interests. On 30 June 1982 we issued draft proposals, which we sent to all those who had received our consultation letter, or commented on the County Council's draft scheme. Notices were inserted in the local press announcing that the draft proposals had been issued and could be inspected at the County Council offices.
5. We incorporated the County Council's draft scheme in our draft proposals - subject to changing the names of certain divisions as a result of comments made about the county scheme - except as it related to the districts of Kerrier and Penwith. We were of the opinion that the number of divisions which had been allotted to those districts under the draft scheme, compared to their theoretical entitlements, entailed a mismatch that we could not accept; in our view the representation for Kerrier ought to have been increased from 14 to 15 members and that for Penwith decreased from eleven to ten members. On that basis we adopted a revised pattern of electoral arrangements for both districts, though retaining many of the divisions featuring in the draft scheme.
6. The name changes we made were as follows:
 - (a) Caradon District
'Rame' instead of 'Maker'

(b) Restormel Borough

'Biscovey' instead of 'St. Austell East Central'

'Poltair' instead of 'St. Austell Central'

'St. Blazey' instead of 'St. Austell East'

'Trevarna' instead of 'St. Austell South'

'Treverbyn' instead of 'St. Austell North'

7. We received comments in response to our draft proposals from the Cornwall County Council, one borough council, five district councils, seven town councils, 23 parish councils, one parish meeting, three political organisations, five other organisations, one Member of Parliament, ten county/district councillors and 21 private individuals. A list of those who wrote to us is given at Appendix 1 to this Report.

8. The Cornwall County Council accepted our amendments to their draft scheme.

9. The other comments we received can be summarised as follows:

(a) Caradon District

St. Martin-by-Looe Parish Council supported our draft proposals.

Caradon District Council objected to a division boundary splitting the village of Seaton and the Dowederry district ward: they repeated their suggestion that St. Martin Parish should transfer from the Dobwalls to the St. Germans division. Landrake with St. Erney Parish Council repeated their suggestion for an alternative to the divisions of Callington, Menheniot and St. Germans in order to equalise electorates and unite parishes having common interests and between which existed strong lines of communication; this was supported by Menheniot Parish Council. St. Germans Parish Council repeated their suggestion that their Bethany ward should transfer to the St. Germans division in order that the whole of their parish could fall within that division.

(b) Carrick District

Carrick District Council, Falmouth Town Council, the Falmouth and Cambourne Conservative and Unionist Association, Mr David Mudd MP, Mr E Johnson (with a petition from 32 Falmouth electors) and one other private individual objected to the reduction in the number of Falmouth divisions from four to three: Falmouth Town Council were, furthermore, concerned that substitution of the names Falmouth South, North and Central, for ancient existing names would wipe away remaining historical connections. In addition to suggesting the retention of the existing arrangement of divisions, and division names, the Town Council also said that our draft proposals for the town were contrary to the criterion of not splitting

parish wards; they expressed a wish for a local meeting to be held to afford them an opportunity to elaborate on their objections to those proposals.

Mr Johnson's petition included an application made under Section 50(5) of the Local Government Act 1972 for the Commission to review the parish electoral arrangements for Falmouth, in order to correct the electoral imbalance between the present town wards, and a suggestion that the town's district warding arrangements should also be reviewed; this was supported by Mr Mudd.

Kea Parish Council objected to the name 'Feock' for their division, preferring instead either 'Kea and Feock' or 'Feock and Kea'.

(c) Kerrier District

Kerrier District Council accepted our draft proposals.

Helston Town Council, Sithney Parish Council, Wendron Parish Council and St Anthony-in-Meneage Parish Meeting objected to mixing urban with rural areas as a result of linking parts of Helston with neighbouring parishes; they each favoured retaining the existing arrangements for their own areas. Helston Town Council requested a local inquiry so that the electorate could express their objection. St Martin Parish Council were concerned lest the ancient and well known name of 'Meneage' should eventually disappear from the division name of 'Helston South and Meneage' in all written work; they suggested that the division should be named 'Meneage'. Mullion Parish Council said that the splitting of parish wards by division boundaries would confuse the electorate.

Budeck Parish Council considered that the line of the Budeck division boundary at the eastern end of their parish, which is also part of the Carrick/Kerrier district boundary, was unsatisfactory because it did not follow easily identifiable features; they drew our attention to a request which they had earlier made to alter this part of their parish boundary.

(d) North Cornwall District

Our draft proposals were supported by Bodmin Town Council and a county councillor.

Objections to the exclusion of Stratton from the Bude division were received from North Cornwall District Council, Bude-Stratton Town Council, Kilkhampton Parish Council, a county councillor and eleven private individuals; support for it was given by a county councillor. One of the private individuals requested a public inquiry into the matter. A county councillor who objected to the partition of the existing Stratton division invited a

member of the Commission to visit the area in order to see some of the problems which would result from confirmation of the draft proposals.

Objections to the draft proposals to exclude Egloshayle Parish from the Wadebridge division and place it in the Helland division were received from North Cornwall District Council, Egloshayle Parish Council, St Mabyn Parish Council, one political organisation, three other organisations, a county councillor (with a petition from 1,252 electors of Wadebridge Parish), a district councillor and 13 private individuals (one of whom forwarded a petition from 207 electors of Egloshayle Parish). The county councillor also submitted a representation on her own behalf in which she suggested transferring Michaelstow Parish from the Camelford division to the Helland division as a means of compensating for the loss of electors to the Helland division which would result if Egloshayle Parish were transferred.

Objections to the Altarnun division were received from North Cornwall District Council, Jacobstow Parish Council, Poundstock Parish Council and St Gennys Parish Council. They asserted that the length of the division would not be conducive to effective representation and that the parishes at its extremities had nothing in common.

There was general support for the retention of the existing Altarnun division, although St. Gennys Parish Council suggested grouping Altarnun Parish with other inland rural parishes - only St. Breward was named - and urged that their parish should be grouped with those of Jacobstow and Poundstock as at present.

The District Council said that the names of our two draft proposals Bodmin divisions should coincide with those of the respective district wards - 'Bodmin St Petroc' and 'Bodmin St. Mary's'.

(e) Penwith District

Gwinear - Gwithian Parish Council supported our draft proposals for their area.

Penwith District Council were opposed to our draft proposals because they did not provide a proper balance between the country and town areas; they gave rise to divisions which were too strung out and they did not comply with the criterion of electoral equality between divisions. They could not reconcile the loss of a member with a projected increase in the district population and maintained that the existing arrangement of eleven divisions was satisfactory. Sennen Parish Council also argued against reduced district membership.

Penzance Town Council contended that the number of electors in their area warranted four divisions for the town alone; they preferred the draft scheme divisions. The amalgamation of parts of Penzance with adjoining rural parishes was also criticised by Madron Parish Council and a county councillor, both of whom suggested the same alternative division comprising the parishes of Ludgvan Madron, Morvah, Paul and Sancreed, and by Sancreed Parish Council and another county councillor, both of whom wanted to retain the status quo. Hayle Town Council repeated their view that their parish should fall wholly within one division rather than be fragmented and joined with other parishes, or parts of parishes, having dissimilar interests. Towednack Parish Council and a county councillor objected to rural parishes being linked with part of St Ives in the St Ives North division.

St Just-in-Perwith Town Council, St Buryan Parish Council, St Levan Parish Council, Sennen Parish Council and two county councillors objected to the inclusion of St Just Parish in the St Just division because of fears on the one hand that, having a far greater number of electors than the other parishes, it would dominate the division, and on the other that the size of the division, with its poor public transport service, would not allow effective representation. The alternatives which were offered were to retain the existing St Buryan and St Just divisions, the latter possibly augmented by the inclusion of Morvah Parish.

(f) Borough of Restormel

Restormel Borough Council supported our draft proposals. The Truro Constituency Liberal Association also supported them except for the divisions of Grampound and St. Austell West where they repeated their request that we should adopt the Mevagissey and St. Mewan divisions which were recommended by the County Council in their provisional scheme; in their view these would result in more evenly balanced electorates whilst preventing local ties from being broken and borough wards from being split. A private individual also objected to the Grampound and St Austell West divisions, because they cut across borough ward boundaries, and he suggested the same alternative divisions as the Liberal Association, except that he seemed content to retain the division name of 'St Austell West'.

A county councillor objected to the Biscovey and St Blazey divisions because they did not have regard to the boundaries of the new parishes which had been proposed for the area and because the community known as St. Blazey would be split; he repeated his suggestion that the borough wards of St. Blaise and Tywardreath should each form their own division. In the event that our draft proposals were allowed to stand, he suggested substituting 'Par' for St Blazey as the division name on the grounds that the latter name would cause confusion

by not being representative of the St. Blazey area.

10. We have reviewed our draft proposals in the light of the comments which we received on them and we have come to the following conclusions:-

(a) Caradon District

We noted that each of the suggestions for alternative divisions had already been made in response to the County Council's draft scheme and that they were largely unaccompanied by fresh supporting material. Any benefits accruing from the adoption of these suggestions would be offset by either an inferior standard of electoral representation or by a poorer correspondence between division and district ward boundaries. We therefore confirm our draft proposals.

(b) Carrick District

Under our draft proposals this district is in our view correctly represented with 14 members and the only means by which Falmouth could retain four divisions would therefore be to reduce representation elsewhere in the district by one member, which could not be achieved without considerable difficulty. Moreover, according to the electoral figures which the County Council supplied with their draft scheme, Falmouth would be nearly as correctly represented with three members as it would be with four. For these reasons we are reluctant to depart from our draft proposals, especially as the only arrangement based on four divisions which was suggested to us - to adhere to the existing pattern - would result in one of the divisions being grossly over-represented. We did not see any reason to arrange for a local meeting to be held as we already possessed sufficient information to come to a decision.

We have decided to propose that our draft proposals divisions of 'Falmouth North', 'Falmouth Central' and 'Falmouth South' should be re-named 'Falmouth Penwerris', 'Falmouth Smithick' and 'Falmouth Arwenack' respectively. We believe that the latter names would be more favourably received and that they would retain the town's historical links.

We have had regard to the fact that the boundary between our proposed Falmouth Smithick and Falmouth Arwenack divisions would, under the existing parish electoral arrangements, split the Smithick ward of the parish of Falmouth, contrary to the rules set out in paragraph 1(2)(c) of Schedule 11 to the Local Government Act 1972. However, the parish wards in Falmouth are currently coterminous with the town's district wards, and unless the latter could be correspondingly altered as a result of a further full electoral review of the district, to re-ward the parish now so as to match the electoral divisions would simply mean that parish wards would be split by district ward boundaries -

something which the rules in Schedule 11 also require us to avoid in our electoral reviews. We accordingly do not consider that it is reasonably practicable to comply with paragraph 1(2)(c) of Schedule 11 in this instance. We would be ready however to consider carrying out a second electoral review of the district both to deal with this anomaly and with any other revisions that might be necessary.

In this connection we referred Mr Johnson's request for parish and district re-warding in Falmouth to Carrick District Council and to Falmouth Town Council for their views. The Town Council felt that re-warding would be premature at this stage but the District Council were in favour of it. We are content at this point to leave the matter of parish warding in the hands of the District Council. We will therefore invite them to respond to Mr Johnson's request for parish re-warding in light of the terms of the Order that you will be making for the new county electoral arrangements. At the same time we shall ask them to provide us with the current district ward electorates so that we may examine further whether to undertake a second district electoral review. Although we will give sympathetic consideration to the request for the latter review we cannot commit ourselves to any date or even to carrying out the review, having regards to our statutory commitments during the period 1984-89.

With regard to Kea Parish Council's request, we have decided to propose the name 'Feock and Kea' in place of our draft proposals 'Feock' division.

(c) Kerrier District

We were not provided with sufficient information to perform a complete numerical analysis of the existing divisions in and around Helston, but according to the electorate figures that we ourselves were able to calculate, our draft proposals provide a better overall standard of representation. Moreover, no suggestions were put to us about how to deal with the knock-on effects which would be concomitant with the retention of those existing divisions. We can see no way of accommodating the knock-on effects, particularly as we are anxious not to disturb the divisions in the northern part of the district where a one-to-one correspondence with district wards has been achieved, and also because we are not disposed to group parishes north of the River Helford with those to the south of it, the disregard of which was the cause of substantial criticism against the County Council's draft scheme. We did not consider that a local meeting was justified as the electorate already had ample opportunity to express their views.

With regard to St Martin Parish Council's request, we have decided to propose the compromise name of 'Meneage and South Helston'.

We realize that the boundary between our proposed Porthleven, and Menage and South Helston divisions would split the Helston South ward of the parish of Helston, but the limited scope for proposing viable alternative divisions leaves us with little choice. Moreover, the parish wards in Helston are coterminous with its district wards and so the same comments apply as we made in paragraph 10(b) above in relation to Falmouth, including those concerning a second electoral review of the district; requests for such a review should be made to us as soon as possible.

Shortly after receiving their comment on our draft proposals we had informed Budock Parish Council that consideration of their request for a parish/district boundary alteration would be deferred pending the outcome of possible discussions between Carrick and Kerrier District Councils regarding other anomalous sections of the district boundary. To date we have had no news about those discussions and it is therefore very unlikely that we shall be able to deal with the Parish Council's request in the near future. In these circumstances we propose divisions based on the present line of the district boundary.

(d) North Cornwall District

Notwithstanding the popular support for leaving Stratton in the same division as Bude, the standard of electoral representation for the Bude division would deteriorate, if this change were made, to an unacceptable extent. We saw no need for a local meeting to open up this issue nor for one of our number to visit the Stratton area.

We are convinced from the strength of local feeling and the force of the arguments which have been advanced that Egloshayle Parish has very much stronger ties with Wadebridge than with the parishes in our draft proposals Helland division. The transfer of Egloshayle Parish would leave the Helland division a little more over-represented than we would wish but we consider this to be justified in the circumstances and we make the proposal accordingly. In this connection we feel that little would be gained by transferring Michaelstow Parish to the Helland division, in order to help restore an electoral balance, having regard to the small number of electors in that parish and the lack of support for the suggestion.

We acknowledge that our draft proposals Altarnun division is extensive, but if the parishes of Jacobstow and Poundstock are excluded from it, so as to retain the existing Altarnun division, the division would contain far too few electors to stand on its own. The further removal of Altarnun Parish, which St. Gennys Parish Council suggested, would only worsen the situation.

We accept the merit in the District Council's suggestion to name our draft proposals Bodmin divisions after the district wards in the parish, with which they are coterminous, and we endorse that suggestion accordingly.

(e) Penwith District

We recognise that when measured against the size of the district as a whole our draft proposals resulted in some unwieldy divisions but this was unavoidable having regard to the shape of the district and the concentration of electorate in Hayle, Penzance, St Ives and St Just. This last factor also accounts for the disparity in the size of electorates between divisions.

Regarding the District Council's comments about district membership, paragraph 1(2) of Schedule 11 to the Act requires us to take account of likely changes in the number or distribution of electors in the county over a period of five years. On that basis Penwith would in our view be appropriately represented by ten members.

We explored several ways of accommodating the request that the parish/town of Penzance should be allowed to remain an integral unit and not have to merge with the whole or parts of adjoining parishes, but our efforts were unsuccessful. Firstly, whilst Penzance by itself is theoretically entitled to four members, any arrangement of divisions within the town based on that number would require a complete revision of the other six divisions in the district if the total of ten members is not to be exceeded; that has been shown to be impracticable because the pattern of electorates outlined above militates against the formation of viable alternative divisions, and each of the six divisions would on average be under-represented. Even the allocation of three members to Penzance would require substantial recasting of the remaining divisions, and the same obstacles prevent this from being achieved; furthermore, each of the Penzance divisions would be considerably under-represented on average. As it became clear that some form of merger between Penzance and neighbouring parishes was necessary we realised that we could not endorse the division suggested by Madron Parish Council and a county councillor, which initially we were disposed to accept; the resulting division would in any case have been more extensive than the largest of our draft proposals divisions.

Retention of the existing St Buryan division or the St. Just division (even with the addition of Morvah Parish) could not be defended as both would be over-represented, the former markedly so. Although not large enough to stand on its own, St. Just-in-Penwith Parish does nevertheless represent the only substantial source of electors in the area and it must inevitably share these in some way with its neighbours. We can see no way to improve upon our draft proposals.

It is not entirely clear to us which style of representation would find favour with Hayle Town Council. When commenting on the County Council's draft scheme (which recommended the same Hayle divisions as featured in our draft proposals) they said that they were against any move to attach Hayle to St. Ives but that they would be prepared to accept retention of the existing arrangements, which include a Hayle division comprising the parishes of Hayle and Gwinear - Gwithian. In their comment on our draft proposals they appear to have rejected any form of amalgamation with St. Ives and Gwinear - Gwithian Parish. Given that, and their objection to being partitioned, only two possibilities remain - either they form their own division or they amalgamate with parishes to the south, such as St. Erth. Apart from not knowing how it would be greeted locally, the latter course would result in a very under-represented Hayle division and a very over-represented Marazion division, and it would leave Gwinear - Gwithian Parish out on a limb with not even enough electors to justify half of a division. Numerically, Hayle could just about stand by itself but the knock-on effects would be too far-reaching. For these reasons we decided to adhere to our draft proposals.

We can see no alternative to mixing urban with rural areas in our draft proposals St. Ives North division. The only other convenient way of enhancing the small combined electorate of the parishes of Morvah, Towednack and Zennor would be to join them with St. Just-in-Perwith Parish, and we have already expressed the view that that parish belongs more with the parishes of St. Buryan, St Levan and Sennen in the St. Just division.

Our proposals for this district result in five parish wards being split by division boundaries viz. the Central, East, North and West wards of Penzance Parish, and the Lelant and Carbis Bay ward of St. Ives Parish. We tried to avoid this situation but were unable to do so. As in the other instances where we have proposed divisions that split parish wards, these five Penwith parish wards are coterminous with district wards. That being the case the same comments once again apply as were made in paragraph 10(b) above concerning parish re-warding and the possibility of undertaking a second electoral review of the district, requests for which should be made to us as soon as possible.

(f) Borough of Restormel

We noted that the alternative divisions which had been suggested by the Truro Constituency Liberal Association and a private individual were numerically very sound and that they did not cut across borough ward boundaries. We also noted the reasons why the County Council had decided not to carry through those divisions from their provisional to their draft scheme - they would destroy

the rural character of the existing divisions and give an unfair influence to urban areas. On balance we prefer to stand by our draft proposals, particularly as they too provide a very good standard of representation.

The suggestion to create two divisions - to be coterminous with the borough wards of St Blaise and Tywardreath - out of our St Blazey division and part of our Biscovey division is untenable. The Tywardreath division would be grossly over-represented and the large number of electors in the remaining part of the Biscovey division could not be absorbed into any of the other adjoining divisions, even on a shared basis. It was suggested that they might form their own division but such a division would also be over-represented and it would mean increasing the total county council membership. The allocation of an extra member would have no effect on the representational entitlement for the borough as a whole but it would not be consistent with the view which we expressed during the early stages of the review that a county council of more than 79 members was not appropriate, having regard to the size of Cornwall's electorate against that of other counties for which council sizes had already been agreed. We also consider that the name 'St. Blazey' is sufficiently representative of our proposed division not to warrant its being changed.

We became aware during the course of this review that the Secretary of State for the Environment had agreed to a suggestion from a private individual (Mr A C Hills) for an alteration to the boundary between the new parishes of St Blazey and Treverbyn which we had proposed in the context of the Restormel Parish Boundary Review. The alteration in question was relatively minor and involved only six electors. For the sake of administrative convenience we propose that the boundary between our draft proposals St Blazey and Treverbyn divisions should take account of Mr Hills' suggestion.

11. In the light of the conclusions set out in paragraph 10 above we have decided to confirm our draft proposals for the county subject to the modifications in the districts of Carrick, Kerrier, North Cornwall and Restormel referred to in paragraphs 10(b), (c), (d) and (f).

12. Details of the final proposals are set out in Schedules 1 and 2 to this report and are shown on the attached map. Schedule 1 gives the names of the electoral divisions. A detailed description of the boundaries of the proposed electoral divisions, as illustrated on the map, is set out in Schedule 2.

PUBLICATION

13. In accordance with Section 50(5)(b) of the Local Government Act 1972, a copy of the report and a copy of the map are being sent to the Cornwall County Council

and will be available for inspection at the Council's main offices. Copies of this report (without the map) are being sent to those who received the consultation letter and to those who made comments.

L.S.

Signed: G J ELLERTON
(Chairman)

WILFRED BURNS
(Deputy Chairman)

J M ACKNER

TYRRELL BROCKBANK

G E CHERRY

D P HARRISON

LESLIE GRIMSHAW
Secretary

29 September 1983

LIST OF THOSE WHO COMMENTED ON THE DRAFT PROPOSALS

	<u>Area Concerned</u>
Cornwall County Council	Whole county
<u>CARADON DISTRICT</u>	
Caradon District Council	Dobwalls/St. Germans
St. Martin-by-Looe Parish Council	" " "
Landrake with St. Erney Parish Council	Callington, Menheniot and St Germans
Menheniot Parish Council	" " " " " "
St. Germans Parish Council	Menheniot/St. Germans
<u>CARRICK DISTRICT</u>	
Carrick District Council	Whole district
Falmouth Town Council	Falmouth
Falmouth and Cambourne Conservative and Unionist Association	"
Falmouth Hotels Association	"
Mr David Mudd MP	"
Mr E Johnson (on behalf of 32 Falmouth electors)	"
One other private individual	"
Kea Parish Council	Feock and Kea
<u>KERRIER DISTRICT</u>	
Kerrier District Council	Whole district
Helston Town Council	Helston
Mullion Parish Council	"
St. Anthony-in-Meneage Parish Meeting	"
St Martin Parish Council	"
Sithney Parish Council	"
Wendron Parish Council	"
Budock Parish Council	Budock
<u>NORTH CORNWALL DISTRICT</u>	
North Cornwall District Council	Whole district
A district councillor	" "
Bodmin Town Council	Bodmin
Bude-Stratton Town Council	Bude/Stratton
St. Andrew's Stratton Parochial Church Council	" "

Area Concerned

NORTH CORNWALL DISTRICT (contd...)

Two county councillors	Bude/Stratton
Nine private individuals	" "
Kilhampton Parish Council	Altarnun and Bude/Stratton
Jacobstow Parish Council	Altarnun
Foundstock Parish Council	"
St. Gennys Parish Council	Altarnun and Camelford
Egloshayle Parish Council	Egloshayle/Wadebridge
St. Mabyn Parish Council	" "
North Cornwall Conservative Assn.	" "
C E Paul & Son	" "
Pencarrow Estate	" "
Wadebridge Town AFC	" "
A county councillor (on behalf of 1,252 Wadebridge electors and again on her own behalf)	" "
A district councillor	" "
Ten private individuals (one on behalf of 207 Egloshayle electors)	" "

PENWITH DISTRICT

Penwith District Council	Whole District
Hayle Town Council	Hayle
Gwinear - Gwithean Parish Council	"
Penzance Town Council	Ludgvan/Penzance
Madron Parish Council	" "
A county councillor	" "
Sancreed Parish Council	Penzance/St. Buryan
A county councillor	" "
St. Just-in-Penwith Town Council	St. Buryan/St. Just
St. Buryan Parish Council	" "
St. Levan Parish Council	" "
Sennen Parish Council	" "
Two County Councillors	" "
Towednack Parish Council	St Ives
A county councillor	"

RESTORMEL BOROUGH

Restormel Borough Council	Whole borough
Truro Constituency Liberal Assn.	Crampound/St. Austell West
A private individual	" " "
A county councillor	Biscovey/St. Blazey

COUNTY OF CORNWALL

NAMES OF PROPOSED ELECTORAL DIVISIONS (EACH RETURNING ONE COUNTY COUNCILLOR)

PROPOSED COUNTY ELECTORAL DIVISIONS WITHIN DISTRICTS

DISTRICT OF CARADON

Callington
Calstock
Dobwalls
Lanreath
Liskeard
Looe
Menheniot
Rame
St. Cleer
St. Germans
Saltash East
Saltash West
Torpoint

DISTRICT OF CARRICK

Falmouth Arwenack
Falmouth Penwerris
Falmouth Smithick
Feock and Kea
Kenwyn
Mylor
Penryn
Ferranporth
Probus
Roseland
St. Agnes
Truro East
Truro South
Truro West

DISTRICT OF KERRIER

Breage
Camborne North
Camborne South
Camborne West
Constantine

DISTRICT OF FERRIER (contd....)

Helston North
Illogan North
Illogan South
Keneage and South Helston
Porthleven
Redruth North
Redruth South
St. Day and Lanner
St. Keverne
Stithians

DISTRICT OF NORTH CORNWALL

Altarnun
Bodmin St. Mary's
Bodmin St. Petroc
Bude
Camelford
Helland
Launceston
Padstow
St. Kew
Stokeclimsland
Stratton
Tintagel
Wadebridge

DISTRICT OF PENWITH

Hayle North
Hayle South
Ludgvan
Marazion
Penzance East
Penzance West
Penzance South
St. Ives North
St. Ives South
St. Just

BOROUGH OF RESTORMEL

Biscovey
Fowey and Lostwithiel
Grampound

BOROUGH OF RESTORMEL (contd...)

Newquay Central

Newquay North

Newquay West

Poltair

Roche

St. Blazey

St. Austell West

St. Columb

St. Stephen

Trevarna

Treverbyn

The proposed electoral divisions are shown on a map which can be inspected at the Council's offices. A description of the boundaries of the proposed electoral divisions shown on the map is attached at Schedule 2.

LOCAL GOVERNMENT BOUNDARY COMMISSION FOR ENGLAND

COUNTY OF CORNWALL - DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED ELECTORAL DIVISIONS

Note: Where the boundary is described as following a road, railway, river, canal or similar feature, it should be deemed to follow the centre line of the feature, unless otherwise stated.

CARADON DISTRICT

CALLINGTON ED

The Caradon District Wards of Callington

St Dominick

and the parish of

Quethiock

CALSTOCK ED

The Caradon District Wards of Calstock and Harrowbarrow

Chilsworthy and Delaware

Gunnislake

DOBWALLS ED

The Caradon District Wards of Dobwalls and Trewidland

Morval

and the parishes of

St Martin

St Pinnock

LANREATH ED

The Caradon District Wards of Lansallos

Lanteglos

Trelawny

and the parishes of Boconnoc
 Broadoak
 St Veep
 St Winnow

LISKEARD ED

The Caradon District Wards of Liskeard North
 Liskeard South

LOOE ED

The Caradon District Ward of Looe

MENHENIOT ED

The Caradon District Wards of Menheniot
 St Ive
 and the parish of South Hill

RAME ED

The Caradon District Wards of Maker
 Millbrook
 Sheviock

ST CLEER ED

The Caradon District Wards of St Cleer
 St Neot and Warleggan
 and the parish of Linkinhorne

ST GERMAN'S ED

The Caradon District Ward of Landrake and the Dowederry, Hessenford,
 St Germans and Tideford Wards of the Parish of St Germans.

SALTASH EAST ED

The Caradon District Wards of Essa

Pill

SALTASH WEST ED

The Caradon District Wards of Burraton

St Stephens

TORPOINT ED

The Caradon District Ward of Torpoint

CARRICK DISTRICT

FALMOUTH ARWENACK ED

The Carrick District Ward of Arwenack

and that part of the Carrick District Ward of Smithick bounded by a line commencing at the junction of the southern and western boundaries of said Ward, thence generally northwards along said western boundary to the northern boundary of said Ward, thence generally northeastwards along said northern boundary to the unnamed road leading from Lister Hill to Windsor Quarry Car Park, thence southeastwards along said unnamed road to a point in line with the rear curtilage of No 42 Lister Street, thence southwestwards to and along said rear curtilage and continuing southwestwards along the rear curtilages of No's 41-35 Lister Street to the unnamed road leading from Lister Street to Marlborough Road, thence southeastwards along said unnamed road to its junction with Marlborough Road, thence southeastwards in a straight line to the westernmost corner of No 66 Marlborough Road, thence southeastwards along the southwestern curtilage of said property to the eastern boundary of Smithick Ward, thence generally southwards along said Ward boundary and westwards along the southern boundary of said Ward to the point of commencement.

FALMOUTH PENWERRIS ED

The Carrick District Ward of Penwerris

FALMOUTH SMITHICK ED

The Carrick District Ward of Trevethan

and that part of the Carrick District Ward of Smithick not included in Falmouth
Arwenack ED.

FEOCK AND KEA ED

The Carrick District Wards of Feock

Kea

KENWIN ED

The Carrick District Wards of Chacewater

Kenwyn

MYLOR ED

The Carrick District Ward of Mylor

PENRYN ED

The Carrick District Ward of Penryn.

PERRANPORTH ED

The Carrick District Wards of Newlyn

Perranzabuloe

PROBUS ED

The Carrick District Ward of St Clement

and the parishes of Ladock

Probus

ROSELAND ED

The Carrick District Ward of Roseland

and the parishes of Cuby
 Ruanlanihorne
 Tregoney

ST AGNES ED

The Carrick District Ward of St Agnes

TRURO EAST ED

The Carrick District Wards of Moresk
 Tregolls

TRURO SOUTH ED

The Carrick District Ward of Boscawen

TRURO WEST ED

The Carrick District Ward of Trehaverne

KERRIER DISTRICT

BREAGE ED

The Kerrier District Wards of Breage and Germoe
 Crowan

CAMBORNE NORTH ED

The Kerrier District Ward of Camborne North

CAMBORNE SOUTH ED

The Kerrier District Ward of Camborne South

CAMBORNE WEST ED

The Kerrier District Ward of Camborne West

CONSTANTINE ED

The Kerrier District Wards of Constantine

Mawnan and Budock

HELSTON NORTH ED

The Kerrier District Ward of Helston North

and the parish of Wendron

ILLOGAN NORTH ED

The Kerrier District Ward of Illogan North.

ILLOGAN SOUTH ED

The Kerrier District Ward of Illogan South.

MENEAGE AND SOUTH HELSTON ED

The Kerrier District Ward of Meneage

the parishes of Cury

Gunwalloe

and that part of the Kerrier District Ward of Helston South bounded by a line commencing at the point where the southeastern boundary of said Ward crosses the A3083 road, thence northwestwards and northeastwards along said road to Meneage Road, thence northwestwards along said road to the Helston Relief Road (A394), thence southwestwards and northwestwards along said road to the northern boundary of Helston South Ward, thence northeastwards along said Ward boundary to the northeastern boundary of said Ward, thence southeastwards and generally southwestwards along said northeastern boundary and the southeastern boundary of said Ward to the point of commencement.

BODMIN ST PETROC

The North Cornwall District Ward of Bodmin St Petroc

BUDE ED

The North Cornwall District Ward of Bude and Poughill

CAMELFORD ED

The North Cornwall District Ward of Camelford
and the parishes of Davidstow

Lesnewth

Michaelstow

Otterham

St Breward

St Clether

St Gennys

St Juliot

HELLAND ED

The North Cornwall District Wards of Lanivet

Trigg

and the parishes of

St Mabyn

St Tudy

LAUNCESTON ED

The North Cornwall District Wards of Launceston North

Launceston South

PADSTOW ED

The North Cornwall District Wards of Padstow and St Merryn

Rumford

ST KEW ED

The North Cornwall District Wards of St Endellion

St Miniver

and the parish of

St Kew

STOKECLIMSLAND ED

The North Cornwall District Wards of North Petherwin

Stokeclimsland

South Petherwin

STRATTON ED

The North Cornwall District Wards of Grenville

Stratton

Week St Mary

TINTAGEL ED

The North Cornwall District Wards of St Teath

Tintagel

and the parishes of

Forrabury and Minster

Trevalga

WADEBRIDGE ED

The North Cornwall District Ward of Wadebridge

PENWITH DISTRICT

HAYLE NORTH ED

The Hayle North Ward of the parish of Hayle

and that part of the Penwith District Ward of Lelant and Carbis Bay bounded

by a line commencing at the point where the northwestern boundary of said

Ward crosses St Ives Road, thence southeastwards along said road to Polmennor Drive,

thence southwards along said drive to Laity Lane, thence eastwards along said lane and northeastwards along the unnamed road leading to the A3074 road, to the unnamed road leading northeastwards and southeastwards into Church Lane, thence northeastwards along said unnamed road to a point opposite the northwestern boundary of Parcel No 5317, as shown on OS 1:2500 Microfilm (B) SW 5338, date of publication 1971, thence northeastwards to and along said parcel boundary and eastwards, northwards and eastwards along the northern boundary of said Parcel to the western boundary of Parcel No 7031, thence northeastwards along said western boundary to the point at which it meets the northern boundary of Parcel No 5242, thence due North from said point to the northern boundary of Penwith District, being MLW, thence generally eastwards along said District boundary to the eastern boundary of Lelant and Carbis Bay Ward, thence generally southwards along said Ward boundary to the southern boundary of said Ward, thence generally westwards, northwestwards and generally northeastwards along said southern boundary, the southwestern boundary and the northeastern boundary of said Ward to the point of commencement.

HAYLE SOUTH ED

The Penwith District Ward of Hayle-Gwinear
and the Gwithian Ward of the parish of Gwinear-Gwithian.

LUDGVAN ED

The parishes of Ludgvan

Madron

and that part of the Penwith District Ward of Penzance North bounded by a line commencing at the point where the northern boundary of said Ward meets the south-western boundary of Parcel No 0290, as shown on OS 1:2500 Microfilm (B) SW 4631, date of publication 1979, thence southeastwards along said parcel boundary, continuing on OS 1:2500 Microfilm (B) SW 4731, date of publication 1979, and the southwestern boundary of Parcel No 0684 to the southern boundary of the

last-mentioned Parcel, thence eastwards along said southern boundary and the southern boundary of Parcel No 1780 to the southernmost corner of the last-mentioned Parcel, thence southwards in a straight line from said corner to the unnamed road leading from Heamoor to Trythogga, thence southeastwards along said road to the western boundary of Parcel No 3464, thence southwards along said Parcel boundary to the northern boundary of Parcel No 3453, thence westwards along said northern boundary and southwards along the western boundaries of said Parcel and Parcel No 3343 to the southwestern boundary of the last-mentioned Parcel, thence eastwards and southwards along said Parcel boundary and in prolongation thereof to the southern boundary of Penzance North Ward, thence northwestwards and generally southwestwards along said Ward boundary to the western boundary of said Ward, thence northwestwards along said western boundary and generally northeastwards along the northern boundary of said Ward to the point of commencement.

MARAZION ED

The Penwith District Wards of Marazion

Perranuthnoe

St Erth and St Hilary

PENZANCE EAST ED

That part of the Penwith District Ward of Penzance North not included in Ludgvan ED, that part of the Penwith District Ward of Penzance East bounded by a line commencing at the point where the northern boundary of Penzance West Ward meets the northwestern boundary of Penzance East ED, thence northwestwards along said north-western boundary and generally southeastwards along the northeastern boundary of said Ward to the eastern boundary of said Ward, being MLW, thence generally southwards along said eastern boundary to the southernmost point **of Albert Pier**, thence northwestwards in a straight line, from said point, to NG Reference: 4752030258, as shown on OS 1:2500 Microfilm (B) SW 4730, date of publication 1968, being at the junction of Wharf Road and Jennings Street, thence westwards along Jennings Street, to the south of the Fire Engine Station,

field boundary to the southern end of Treban Road, thence eastwards along the end of said road and continuing eastwards along the southern boundary of the area of land to the south of Nos 16 to 10 Mounts Bay Road, the southern curtilages of No 4a Mounts Bay Road, Nos 90 and 89 The Ropewalk, No 1 Provis Road and the northern curtilage of No 1 Higher Lidden Road to Creeping Lane, thence northwards along said lane and the accessway leading to Love Lane, to and continuing northwards along the eastern boundary of the Allotment Gardens to Lariggan River, thence generally southeastwards along said river to its culvert on the northern side of New Road, thence southeastwards in a straight line from said culvert to the culvert to the south of the Bedford-Bolitho Gardens, thence southwards along Lariggan River to the southeastern boundary of Penzance Central Ward being MLW, thence generally southwestwards along said Ward boundary to the southwestern boundary of said Ward, thence northwestwards along said southwestern boundary to the point of commencement.

PENZANCE WEST ED

That part of the Penwith District Ward of Penzance East not included in Penzance East ED,

that part of the Penwith District Ward of Penzance Central not included in Penzance South ED

and that part of the Penwith District Ward of Penzance West not included in Penzance East ED.

ST IVES NORTH ED

The Penwith District Ward of St Ives North

and the parishes of Morvah

Towednack

Zennor

ST IVES SOUTH ED

The Penwith District Ward of St Ives South

and that part of the Penwith District Ward of Lelant and Carbis Bay not included

in Hayle North ED.

ST JUST ED

The Penwith District Ward of St Just

and the parishes of Sennen
 St Buryan
 St Levan

BOROUGH OF RESTORMEL

The Civil Parishes and Wards in Restormel Borough have been modified by the Restormel (Parishes) Order 1983, which came into operation on 1 4 83, and are included in this description.

BISCOVEY ED

The Restormel Borough Ward of Crinnis

and that part of the Restormel Borough Ward of St Blaise bounded by a line commencing at the point where the southern boundary of said Ward, being MLW, meets the western boundary of said Ward, thence generally northwestwards along said western boundary to the southern boundary of Parcel No 6900, as shown on OS 1:2500 Microfilm (A) SX0454, date of publication 1970, thence eastwards along said parcel boundary and northwards along the eastern boundary of said Parcel, continuing on OS 1:2500 Microfilm (A) SX0455, date of publication 1970, to the northeastern corner of said parcel, thence eastwards in a straight line to the southwestern corner of Parcel No 0317, as shown on OS 1:2500 Microfilm (A) SX0555, date of publication 1970, being a point on the southern curtilage of No 9 Bodelva Road, thence eastwards and northeastwards along said southern curtilage to Bodelva Road, thence southeastwards along said road and Luxulyan Road to the northwestern boundary of Parcel No 8825, as shown on OS 1:2500 Microfilm (A) SX 0554, date of publication 1970, thence northeastwards along said parcel boundary to the western boundary of Parcel No 0025, thence southwards along said western boundary and the western boundary of Parcel No 0002, continuing on OS 1:2500 Microfilm (A) SX 0553, date of publication 1971, to the southeastern boundary of said parcel, thence northeastwards along said southeastern boundary and continuing on OS 1:2500 SIM Microfilm (A2) SX 0653, date of publication October 1980 and

OS 1:2500 Microfilm (A) SX 0654, date of publication 1970, to the northeastern boundary of Parcel No 0500, as shown on the last-mentioned Microfilm, thence southeastwards along said Parcel boundary and continuing on OS 1:2500 SIM Microfilm (A2) SX 0653, date of publication Oct 1980, to the northeastern curtilage of the property known as Harley House, thence southeastwards along said northeastern curtilage and the northeastern curtilages of the property known as Roselands and Nos 4 to 1 Vernon Villas to the northwestern curtilage of the property known as Kildare, thence southwestwards along said northwestern curtilage and the northwestern curtilage of the property known as Spurfield to the road known as Vernon Villas, thence southeastwards along said road to its junction with Edgcumbe Terrace, thence southeastwards in a straight line to the northernmost corner of No 35 Bobs Road, thence southwards along the western curtilage of said property to the northwestern curtilage of No 33 Bobs Road, thence southwestwards along said northwestern curtilage to the rear curtilage of said property, thence southeastwards along said rear curtilage and the rear curtilages of Nos 31 to 3 Bobs Road to the southeastern curtilage of the last-mentioned property, thence northeastwards along said southeastern curtilage to the southwestern curtilage of No 1 Bobs Road, thence southeastwards along said southwestern curtilage to its easternmost point, thence southeastwards in a straight line from said point to the junction of Bobs Road and Par Lane, thence eastwards along Par Lane, Harbour Road and continuing along the A3082 road to the eastern boundary of St Blaise Ward, thence southeastwards along said Ward boundary to the southern boundary of said Ward, being MLW, thence generally southwestwards along said southern boundary to the point of commencement.

FOWEY AND LOSTWITHIEL ED

The Restormel Borough Ward of Fowey

and the parishes of Lanlivery
 Lostwithiel

GRAMPOUND ED

The Parishes of Grampound and Creed

St Ewe
 St Goran
 St Mewan
 St Michael Caerhays

NEWQUAY CENTRAL ED

The Restormel Borough Ward of Edgcombe

NEWQUAY NORTH ED

the Restormel Borough Ward of Rialton

NEWQUAY WEST ED

The Restormel Borough Ward of Gannel

POLTAIR ED

The Restormel Borough Ward of Poltair

ROCHE ED

The Restormel Borough Ward of Rock

and the parish of Luxulyan

ST AUSTELL WEST ED

Those parts of the Restormel Borough Wards of Mevagissey, St Ewe and St Mewan not included in Grampound ED.

ST BLAZEY ED

The Restormel Borough Ward of Tywardreath

and that part of the Restormel Borough Ward of St Blaise not included in Biscovey ED.

ST COLUMB ED

The Parishes of Mawgan-in-Pydar

St Columb Major

St Wenn

ST STEPHEN ED

The Restormel Borough Ward of St Stephen-in-Brannel
and the parish of St Enoder

TREVARNNA ED

The Restormel Borough Ward of Trevarna

TREVERBYN ED

The Restormel Borough Ward of Treverbyn.