Local Government Boundary Commission For England Report No. 142

LOCAL GOVERNMENT

BOUNDARY COMMISSION

FOR ENGLAND

REPORT NO. 142

LOCAL GOVERNMENT BOUNDARY COMMISSION FOR ENGLAND

CHAIRMAN

Sir Edmund Compton, GCB,KBE.

DEPUTY CHAIRMAN

Mr J M Rankin,QC.

MEMBERS

The Countess Of Albemarle, DBE.

Mr T C Benfield.

Professor Michael Chisholm.

Sir Andrew Wheatley,CBE.

Mr F B Young, CBE.

PH

To the Rt Hon Roy Jenkins, MP
Secretary of State for the Home Department

PROPOSALS FOR FUTURE ELECTORAL ARRANGEMENTS FOR THE DISTRICT OF HUNTINGDON IN THE COUNTY OF CAMBRIDGESHIRE

1. We, the Local Government Boundary Commission for England, having carried out our initial review of the electoral arrangements for the District of Huntingdon in accordance with the requirements of Section 63 of, and Schedule 9 to, the Local Government Act 1972, present our proposals for the future electoral arrangements of that District.

2. In accordance with the procedure laid down in section 60(1) and (2) of the 1972 Act, notice was given on 31 January 1974 that we were to undertake this review. This was incorporated in a consultation letter addressed to the Huntingdon District Council, copies of which were circulated to the Cambridgeshire County Council, the Member of Parliament for the constituency concerned and the headquarters of the main political parties. Copies were also sent to the editors of the local newspapers circulating in the area and of the local government press. Notices inserted in the local press announced the start of the review and invited comments from members of the public and from any interested bodies.

3. Huntingdon District Council were invited to prepare a draft scheme of representation for our consideration. When doing so, they were asked to observe the rules laid down in Schedule 11 to the Local Government Act 1972 and the guidelines which we set out in our Report No 6 about the proposed size of the council and the proposed number of councillors for each ward. They were also asked to take into account any views expressed to them following their consultation with local interests. We therefore asked that they should publish details of their provisional proposals about a month before they submitted their draft scheme to us, thus allowing an opportunity for local comment.

4. The Council have passed a resolution under section 7(4)(b) of the Local Government Act, 1972 requesting a system of elections by thirds.

5. On 10 June 1974, Huntingdon District Council presented their draft scheme of representation. They proposed to divide the area into 36 wards, returning 1, 2 or 3 members to form a council of 52 councillors.

6. We considered the draft scheme submitted by the Council together with comments which had been made upon it. We noted that the proposed arrangements for the St Ives and Huntingdon and Godmanchester wards did not comply with the rule in paragraph 3(2) of Schedule 11 to the Local Government Act 1972 that every parish ward should lie wholly within a single district ward and we were also disturbed at the wide range of elector/councillor ratios in the draft scheme; we also needed further information about those proposed wards where there were large increases shown between the 1974 electorate figures and the estimated 1979 figures. For these reasons we decided that the Council's draft scheme should be referred back to them for re-consideration.

7. On 25 September 1974, the District Council presented a revised draft scheme of representation. They had reviewed the parish electoral arrangements of the parishes of St Ives and Huntingdon and Godmanchester and proposed to create new parish wards which would be co-terminous with their proposed district wards. We considered the Council's revised draft scheme and the comments which had been made upon it. We noted that the revised draft scheme would comply with the rules in Schedule 11 to the Local Government Act 1972 and our own guidelines and we considered that it provided an acceptable standard of representation. We therefore decided to adopt it as our draft proposals.

8. On 20 November 1974 we issued our draft proposals and these were sent to all who had received our consultation letter or had commented on the Council's

draft scheme. The Council were asked to make these draft proposals and the accompanying map, which defined the proposed ward boundaries, available for inspection at their main offices. Representations on our draft proposals were invited from those to whom they were circulated and, by public notices, from members of the public and interested bodies. We asked that comments should reach us not later than 15 January 1975.

9. Comments received in response to our draft proposals raised objections to certain wards and asked for alternative arrangements, some of which involved alternative parish warding arrangements, to be given further consideration. We considered that we needed further information to enable us to judge the merits of the alternative proposals. Therefore, in accordance with Section 65(2) of the 1972 Act, and at our request, you appointed Mr J C Nelson as an Assistant Commissioner to hold a local meeting and to report to us.

10. The Assistant Commissioner carried out an inspection of the area and held a meeting at the Council Chamber, County Buildings, Huntingdon on 18 June 1975.

11. The Assistant Commissioner recommended that certain changes should be made to our draft proposals and these are set out in his report, a copy of (without enclosures) which is attached at Schedule 1 to this report.

12. Our draft proposals combined the parishes of Abbots Ripton, Kings Ripton and The Stukeleys in one single-member ward to be named "The Stukeleys". In view of the difficulties in communications between the parishes of Abbots Ripton and The Stukeleys he recommended that the parishes of Abbots Ripton, Kings Ripton, Upwood and the Raveleys and Wood Walton should be combined to form one ward and that Alconbury, Alconbury Weston, Upton and Coppingford and The Stukeleys should be combined to form another, each ward to return one member.

13. We proposed the separation of the parish of Bluntisham from the neighbouring parishes of Earith and Colne. In view of the strong local ties between the parishes the Assistant Commissioner recommended combining these parishes to form 1 ward and, as a consequence of this regrouping of parishes, he proposed that the parishes of Warboys, Broughton, Old Hurst, Pidley-cum-Fenton and Woodhurst should be combined to form a ward returning 2 members.

14. Our draft proposals provided for the creation of 4 wards in the area of Huntingdon and Godmanchester. As a result of the discussion at the meeting the Assistant Commissioner recommended the adoption of alternative proposals to divide the area into 3 wards.

15. We proposed 3 wards in the area of St Neots returning a total of 8 members. As a result of the discussion at the meeting and the geographical and social situation, the Assistant Commissioner recommended the creation of 4 wards returning a total of 9 members.

16. We considered again one draft proposals in the light of the comments which we received and of the Assistant Commissioner's report.

17. We noted that the Assistant Commissioner's recommendations for Huntingdon and Godmanchester and St Neots would not be compatible with the revised parish warding arrangements which the District Council were proposing and we drew their attention to this situation. We also noted that the recommendations affecting the parish of Warboys had arisen during the meeting where the Parish Council had not been represented. We therefore invited their comments on the recommendation.

18. The District Council decided to modify their parish warding proposals so that they would be compatible with the district wards recommended by the Assistant Commissioner and would also be compatible with the provisional proposals of the County Council for future county electoral divisions.

19. Warboys Parish Council informed us that they had no objection to being grouped with the parishes recommended in the Assistant Commissioner's Report.

20. Following receipt of these further comments, we concluded that the Assistant Commissioner's recommendations should be accepted and, subject to these modifications, we have decided to confirm our draft proposals as our final proposals.

21. Details of these proposals are set out in Schedules 2, 3 and 4 to this report. Schedule 2 gives the names of the wards and the number of councillors to be returned by each and Schedule 3 shows the order of retirement of councillors. The boundaries of the new wards are defined in Schedule 4.

PUBLICATION

22. In accordance with section 60(5)(b) of the Local Government Act 1972, a copy of this report together with a copy of the map illustrating the proposed wards is being sent to Huntingdon District Council and will be available for inspection at the Council's main offices. Copies of this report (without a map) are being sent to those who received the consultation letter and to those who made comments.

Signed L.S.

EDMUND COMPTON (CHAIRMAN)

JOHN M RANKIN (DEPUTY CHAIRMAN)

DIANA ALBEMARLE

T C BENFIELD

MICHAEL CHISHOLM

ANDREW WHEATLEY

DAVID R SMITH (Secretary)

8 January 1976

To the Chairman and members of the Local Government Boundary Commission for England.

In accordance with arrangements made by you, a local meeting was held on the 18th June, 1975 at the Council Chamber, County Buildings, Huntingdon to hear representations about the aspects of the Commission's draft proposals in relation to the electoral arrangements for the Huntingdon district which were the subject of differing views.

App. A The persons whose names appear in Appendix "A" were present at the meeting.

~~App. B The Commission's draft proposals are set out at Appendix "B".~~

Four issues were discussed affecting 1. Abbots Ripton parish 2. Bluntisham parish 3. Huntingdon and Godmanchester and 4. St. Neots, and I inspected each of the areas with the exception of Abbots Ripton.

Abbots Ripton parish

The Commission's draft proposals provided that this parish, with Kings Ripton parish, should be combined with the Stukeleys parish. The objection on the part of Abbots Ripton to this proposal is that there is now no direct road link between Abbots Ripton and Great Stukeley owing to the severance of the former road by the construction of an aerodrome runway. The distance between the two places used to be 3 miles but a journey of 10 miles is now necessary.

The figures for the electorate for the Stukeleys ward as proposed by the Commission were:- 824 in 1974 and 2123 in 1979. This increase was accounted for by the Huntingdon Town Development Scheme, part of which is to be undertaken in the Stukeleys parish. There was general agreement at the meeting that the electorate in the Stukeleys parish would now only increase marginally by 1979 as it has become apparent that the development here will not proceed as quickly as had been anticipated.

A suggestion was therefore advanced, which found general support, that the parishes of Abbots Ripton and Kings Ripton should be combined with the parishes of Upwood and the Raveleys and Wood Walton to form one ward and that the parishes of the Stukeleys should be combined with those of Alconbury, Alconbury Weston and Upton Coppingford to form another, each having one member.

This would produce the following electorates:-

	1974 electorate	1979 electorate
Abbots Ripton	174	174
Kings Ripton	91	105
Upwood and the Raveleys	690	830
Wood Walton	152	152
	1107	1261
Alconbury	539	775
Alconbury Weston	293	400
Upton and Coppingford	114	120
The Stukeleys	559	559
	1505	1854

This proposal would meet the objection and would be acceptable to both the County Council and the District Council.

Sir David Renton, the Member of Parliament for Huntingdonshire, had written to the Commission advocating that Abbots Ripton and Kings Ripton should not be separated, neither should Upwood and the Raveleys.

In view of the absence of a direct road link between Abbots Ripton and Great Stukeley and of the likelihood that the electorate of the Stukeleys will not materially increase by 1979, I recommend that the alternative proposal put forward, namely, that the parishes of Abbots Ripton, Kings Ripton, Upwood and the Raveleys and Wood Walton should be combined to form one ward and that Alconbury, Alconbury Weston, Upton

and Coppingford and The Stukeleys be combined to form another, each having one member, be adopted.

Bluntisham parish

The Commission's draft proposals combined the parish of Bluntisham with those of Broughton, Old Hurst, Pidley-cum-Fenton and Woodhurst, giving an electorate of 1289 in 1974 and 1649 in 1979.

The representatives of Bluntisham, Colne and Earith were unanimous in their desire to be united together as they have been in the past. They pointed out that there were close ties between the three communities which were all in close proximity to one another. There was one school serving the communities, Bluntisham and Earith was a combined ecclesiastical parish with one church at Bluntisham. The communities combined for the purpose of political associations, had a joint Women's Institute, Over 60's Club and Royal British Legion Branch and shared a chapel. They had very few links with Broughton, Old Hurst, Pidley-cum-Fenton and Woodhurst save that the church at Colne also served Pidley-cum-Fenton.

Sir David Renton in this case also supported the placing of Bluntisham with Earith and Colne.

The District Council were sympathetic to the views expressed but considered that, to add Bluntisham to Earith and Colne would leave only an electorate of 732 in 1974 and 762 in 1979 in the remaining parishes which would be too small to comply with the provisions of the Local Government Act 1972. They did, however, put forward an alternative proposal to combine the parishes of Bluntisham, Colne and Earith and to amalgamate the parishes of Broughton, Old Hurst, Pidley-cum-Fenton and Woodhurst with Warboys which would produce the following figures:-

	1974 electorate	1979 electorate
Bluntisham	557	887
Colne	402	462*
Earith	717	1042
	1676	2391

* there was general agreement that the figure was likely to be 662 giving a total of 2591.

	1974 electorate	1979 electorate
Warboys	1528	1828
Broughton	151	156
Old Hurst	184	184
Pidley-cum-Fenton	237	237
Woodhurst	160	185
	2260	2590

Unfortunately no representatives from Warboys were present at the meeting but the alternative scheme (with two members from each ward) was acceptable to all those present.

I was impressed by the arguments and the unanimity of view that Bluntisham, Colne and Earith should be one ward and I think that the draft proposals would tend to break local ties, and I therefore recommend that the alternative proposal should be adopted, namely that the parishes of Bluntisham, Earith and Colne should form one ward and that the parishes of Warboys, Broughton, Old Hurst, Pidley-cum-Fenton and Woodhurst should form another, each returning two members.

Huntingdon and Godmanchester

The Commission's draft proposals provided for four wards each with two members but both the Huntingdon and Godmanchester Local Labour party and the Huntingdon and Godmanchester Town Council proposed that there should be three,retaining, broadly, the existing warding arrangements i.e. North, West and South with 3, 3 and 2 members respectively but with small variations of boundaries. There was no difference of opinion that the South (or Godmanchester) ward should be retained as a separate ward. The question centred on whether the remainder of the area should be divided into two or three wards.

The Commission's draft proposals provided for an electorate per member as follows:-

Ward	1974	1979
Sapley	1515	1609
Hartford	1744	1744
Town Centre	1017	1777
Godmanchester	1071	1836

whilst the alternative proposals provided for

North	1712	1778
West	~~1468~~ 1134	1801
South (or Godmanchester)	1071	1836

There was general agreement that two alterations to the boundaries of the Town Centre (or West) ward and the Hartford (or North) ward, referred to in detail in my recommendation, would improve the boundaries of these wards. The alterations would have the effect of making a small net addition to the Town Centre (or West) ward on the 1974 figures but no material further alteration to the projected 1979 figures.

On behalfof the District Council it was claimed that the Commission's draft proposals provided closer community of interest and would establish wards with clearly identifiable areas and that wards of smaller area would facilitate the work of elected members.

The County Council supported the draft proposals as they would enable two county electoral divisions to be co-terminus with groups of wards which it was considered would be helpful to the electorate.

Those who advocated the proposals for three rather than four wards pointed out that it more nearly met the requirement relating to equality of member/elector ratios, that it had more regard to local community of interest, that the Commission's draft proposals would tend to make one ward (Sapley) a ward almost exclusively comprised of tenants of housing provided by the local authorities either for local needs or for the needs of London whereas their proposals would produce wards less predominantly so, and that their proposals would provide greater electoral interest and lead to more contested elections.

The District Council had held in abeyance a decision about re-warding the area pending the Commission's decision.

As there was no real dispute that either proposal would provide for easily identifiable boundaries and in the absence of any firm evidence that the scheme for a total of three wards instead of four would break any local ties, I feel obliged to comply with the requirements in the Act relating to the ratio of the number of electors to the number of councillors, having regard to the changes likely to take place within the period to 1979 even though this is relatively small. I did not consider that the convenience of having county electoral divisions co-terminus with groups of district council wards is sufficient to displace this view particularly as this situation arises in other parts of the county.

I accordingly recommend that there should be three wards, North and West with 3 members each and Godmanchester with 2 members and that the descriptions of the wards should be as follows:-

Supplied separately.

St. Neots

The Commission's draft proposals provided for three wards: Eynesbury (3 members), Eaton Socon (3 members) - comprising all the area to the west of the river - and Priory Park (2 members) - comprising the Northern part of the area East of the river.

The County Council and the St. Neots Town Council both suggested that there should be nine members and that there should be three wards each represented by three members, being Eynesbury, St. Neots North - comprising the northern part of the area on both sides of the river - and Eaton Socon comprising the southern part of the area west of the river.

The County Council considered that ultimately (post 1979) the areas they proposed would give more equal representation, that there was a recognised boundary separating Eaton Ford and Eaton Socon and that the river bridge provided a link between Eaton Ford and the remaining northern area of St. Neots.

The District Council considered it unwise, in this one case, to consider the position post 1979, they pointed out that Eaton Ford and Eaton Socon (the areas west of the river) had, prior to 1965, formed part of Bedfordshire and had, at that time, together been transferred to what is now Cambridgeshire. This western area was that which forms the major long term growth area within St. Neots and that it would be illogical to divide a long established parish and attach part of it to the older established ward of Priory Park. They also considered that the river constitutes a good boundary between the wards.

On behalf of the County Council and the St. Neots Town Council it was strongly urged that, although there was only one vehicular (and pedestrian) crossing of the river and one other pedestrian crossing within the town area, the town was one complete whole and was not divided

in practice by the river. Many of the facilities used by those living on the west side were provided on the east (including secondary schools) and the recreational areas along the river banks also tended to create a point of common interest drawing the population from both sides.

There was general agreement that there would be a considerable increase in the electorate in the period to 1979 and that the delays associated with development in other parts of the district were unlikely to hinder progress here. The size of the increase up to 1979 was not entirely agreed but there seems a considerable likelihood that the figures for the increase in the electorate in Eaton Ford area will exceed those already supplied to the Commission by about 200.

The District Council agreed that, if there were to be nine members for the area, the proposals put forward by them and comprising the basis for the Commission's draft proposals would be difficult to reconcile with the provisions of the Act and the guidelines laid down by the Commission.

An alternative proposal was submitted for four wards as follows:-

Ward and number of members		1974 electorate	1979 electorate
Eynesbury	3	5401	5601
Priory Park	2	3247	3447
Eaton Socon	2	1598	4143
Eaton Ford	2	1545	2600 (2800)

This proposal would meet the County Council's and the St. Neots Town Council's objections whilst retaining the river as the ward boundary throughout the area. It has certain disadvantages in relation to ensuring equality in the ratio of the number of electors to the number of councillors in each ward on the figures for 1979.

However, having regard to what I was told about the progress in development in the Eaton Ford area and to the consequent probability that the figure for that ward will exceed the estimate and having regard to the desirability of retaining the river as a ward boundary, I recommend that

this alternative scheme should be adopted and that there should be four wards: Eynesbury returning 3 members and Priory Park, Eaton Socon and Eaton Ford returning 2 members each and that the description of the wards should be as follows:-

Eynesbury: The present Eynesbury ward of the parish of St. Neots.

Priory Park: The present Priory Park ward of the parish of St. Neots.

Eaton Socon and Eaton Ford supplied separately.

HUNTINGDON DISTRICT COUNCIL

ALTERNATIVE PROPOSALS TO FORM FOUR WARDS IN ST. NEOTS AS SUGGESTED BY THE DISTRICT COUNCIL AT THE INQUIRY

EATON FORD

Commencing at a point where the Duloe Road meets the western boundary of Cambridgeshire County, thence northwards along said County Boundary to the northern boundary of St. Neots Parish, thence eastwards along the said northern boundary to the River Great Ouse thence southwestwards southwards and southwestwards along the said river to a point opposite Duloe Brook, thence northwestwards to and along said brook to Great North Road thence northwards along said road to Duloe Road, thence westwards along said road to the point of commencement.

PRIORY PARK

Commencing at a point where the northern boundary of St. Neots Parish meets the eastern boundary of the Eaton Ford ward thence eastwards along the said northern boundary and southwestwards along the eastern boundary of the said Parish to Cambridge Street, thence westwards along the said street and High Street to New Street, thence northwards along said street to a point opposite the southern boundary of number 45 Market Square thence westwards to and along said southern boundary and southern boundaries of numbers 41-3 Market Square to the road known as The Priory, thence southwards along said road to St. Neots Road to the western boundary of the Eaton Ford Ward on the River Great Ouse thence northwards and northwestwards following the said boundary to the point of commencement.

EATON SOCON

Commencing at the point where the western boundary of Cambridgeshire County meets the southern boundary of Eaton Ford ward, thence eastwards, southwards and southeastwards along said southern boundary to the River Great Ouse, thence southwestwards along said river to the southern boundary of St. Neots Parish, thence generally southeastwards along said boundary to the western boundary of Cambridgeshire County, thence westwards and northwards along said western boundary to the point of commencement.

EYNESBURY

Commencing at the point where the southern boundary of St. Neots Parish meets the eastern boundary of Eaton Socon ward, thence northwards along said eastern boundary to the southern boundary of Priory Ward, thence generally northeastwards and eastwards along said southern boundary to the eastern boundary of the parish, thence southwestwards and westwards along the eastern and southern boundaries of the parish to the point of commencement.

HUNTINGDON AND GODMANCHESTER CP - Electoral Arrangements

WEST WARD

Commencing at the point where the western boundary of Huntingdon and Godmanchester CP meets the eastern boundary of The Stukeleys CP in Alconbury Brook; thence generally northeastwards following said eastern boundary to the eastern boundary of the railway; thence southeastwards in a straight line to Windover Road and continuing along said road to St Peter's Road; thence southwestwards along said road to Redwongs Way; thence southeastwards along said way to Sallowbush Road, northeastwards along said road to Coneygear Road, southwards and northeastwards along said road to Buttsgrove Way; thence southeastwards and southwestwards along said way and southeastwards along Mayfield Road to a point opposite the footpath leading to American Lane; thence southwestwards to and along said footpath, American Lane and Priory Road to Nursery Road; thence southeastwards along said road and continuing in a straight line to the River Great Ouse; thence southwestwards along said river to the western boundary of Huntingdon and Godmanchester CP; thence westwards along said boundary to the point of commencement.

NORTH WARD

Commencing at the point where the northern boundary of West Ward meets the western boundary of Huntingdon and Godmanchester CP; thence northwards and following said parish boundary to the River Great Ouse; thence southwestwards along said river to the eastern boundary of West Ward; thence northwestwards and following said boundary and generally westwards following the northern boundary of said ward to the point of commencement.

GODMANCHESTER WARD

Commencing at the point where the eastern boundary of West Ward meets the southern boundary of North Ward; thence eastwards and following said southern boundary to the eastern boundary of Huntingdon and Godmanchester CP; thence southwards and following the said parish boundary to the eastern boundary of West Ward; thence northeastwards along said boundary to the point of commencement.

DISTRICT OF HUNTINGDON: NAMES OF PROPOSED WARDS AND NUMBERS OF COUNCILLORS

NAME OF WARD	NO OF COUNCILLORS
BRAMPTON	2
BUCKDEN	1
BURY	1
EATON FORD	2
EATON SOCON	2
EARITH	2
ELLINGTON	1
ELTON	1
EYNESBURY	3
FARCET	1
FENSTANTON	1
GODMANCHESTER	2
GRANSDEN	1
HEMINGFORD ABBOTS AND HILTON	1
HEMINGFORD GREY	1
HOUGHTON AND WYTON	1
HUNTINGDON NORTH	3
HUNTINGDON WEST	3
KIMBOLTON	1
NEEDINGWORTH	1
PAXTON	1
PRIORY PARK	2
RAMSEY	3
SAWTRY	2
SOMERSHAM	1

NAME OF WARD	NO OF COUNCILLORS
STAUGHTON	1
STILTON	1
ST IVES NORTH	2
ST IVES SOUTH	2
THE OFFORDS	1
THE STUKELEYS	1
UPWOOD AND THE RAVELEYS	1
WARBOYS	2
YAXLEY	2

SCHEDULE 3

ORDER OF RETIREMENT

NAME OF WARD	NO. OF COUNCILLORS REPRESENTING WARD	1st YEAR	2nd YEAR	3rd YEAR
BRAMPTON	2	1		1 PE
BUCKDEN	1	1 PE		
BURY	1	1 PE		
EARITH	2		1 PE	1
EATON FORD	2		1 PE	1
EATON SOCON	2		1 PE	1
ELLINGTON	1		1 PE	
ELTON	1	1 PE		
EYNESBURY	3	1	1 PE	1
FARCET	1	1 PE		
FENSTANTON	1		1 PE	
GODMANCHESTER	2	1		1 PE
GRANSDEN	1		1 PE	
HEMINGFORD ABBOTS AND HILTON	1			1 PE
HEMINGFORD GREY	1	1 PE		
HOUGHTON AND WYTON	1	1 PE		
HUNTINGDON NORTH	3	1	1 PE	1
HUNTINGDON WEST	3	1	1 PE	1
KIMBOLTON	1			1 PE
NEEDINGWORTH	1		1 PE	
PAXTON	1			1 PE
PRIORY PARK	2	1	1 PE	
RAMSEY	3	1	1 PE	1
SAWTRY	2	1		1 PE
SOMERSHAM	1		1 PE	
STAUGHTON	1		1 PE	
STILTON	1		1 PE	
ST IVES NORTH	2	1		1 PE
ST IVES SOUTH	2		1	1 PE
THE OFFORDS	1	1 PE		
THE STUKELEYS	1	1 PE		
UPWOOD AND THE RAVELEYS	1		1 PE	
WARBOYS	2	1		1 PE
YAXLEY	2		1 PE	1
		18	18	17

PE=Parish Elections

HUNTINGDON DISTRICT

ELTON WARD
Comprises the parishes of Alwalton, Elton, Haddon, Morborne, Chesterton, Sibson cum Stibbington, Water Newton.

YAXLEY WARD
The parish of Yaxley

FARCET WARD
The parish of Farcet

STILTON WARD
Comprises the parishes of Denton and Caldecote, Folksworth and Washingley, Glatton, Holme, Stilton

RAMSEY WARD
The parish of Ramsey

WARBOYS WARD
Comprises the parishes of Warboys, Broughton, Old Hurst, Pidley cum Fenton, Woodhurst.

BURY WARD
Comprises the parishes of Bury, Wistow.

UPWOOD AND THE RAVELEYS WARD
Comprises the parishes of Abbots Ripton, Kings Ripton, Upwood and the Raveleys, Wood Walton.

SAWTRY WARD
Comprises the parishes of Conington, Sawtry, Hamerton, Winwick, Great Gidding, Little Gidding, Steeple Gidding.

ELLINGTON WARD
Comprises the parishes of Barham and Woolley, Brington and Molesworth, Buckworth, Leighton, Old Weston, Bythorn and Keyston, Spaldwick, Easton, Ellington.

THE STUKELEYS WARD
Comprises the parishes of The Stukeleys, Alconbury, Alconbury Weston, Upton and Coppingford.

SOMERSHAM WARD
The parish of Somersham

EARITH WARD
Comprises the parishes of Earith, Colne, Bluntisham.

NEEDINGWORTH WARD
The parish of Holywell-cum-Needingworth

ST IVES NORTH WARD

The St Ives North Ward of the parish of St Ives

ST IVES SOUTH WARD

The St Ives South Ward of the parish of St Ives

HOUGHTON AND WYTON WARD

The parish of Houghton and Wyton

FENSTANTON WARD

The parish of Fenstanton

HEMINGFORD ABBOTS AND HILTON WARD

Comprises the parishes of Hemingford Abbots, Hilton

HEMINGFORD GREY WARD

The parish of Hemingford Grey

WEST WARD

The West No.1 Ward and the West No.2 Ward of the parish of Huntingdon and Godmanchester

NORTH WARD

The North Ward of the parish of Huntingdon and Godmanchester

GODMANCHESTER WARD

The Godmanchester Ward of the parish of Huntingdon and Godmanchester.

BRAMPTON WARD

The parish of Brampton

KIMBOLTON WARD

Comprises the parishes of Catworth, Covington, Kimbolton, Stow Longa, Tilbrook.

BUCKDEN WARD

The parish of Buckden

STAUGHTON WARD

Comprises the parishes of Grafham, Great Staughton, Hail Weston.

PAXTON WARD

Comprises the parishes of Diddington, Little Paxton, Southoe and Midloe.

THE OFFORDS WARD

Comprises the parishes of Great Paxton, Offord Cluny, Offord Darcy, Toseland, Yelling.

GRANSDEN WARD

Comprises the parishes of Abbotsley, Eynesbury Hardwicke, Great Gransden, St Neots Rural, Tetworth, Waresley.

EYNESBURY WARD

The Eynesbury Ward of the parish of St Neots

PRIORY PARK WARD

The Priory Park Ward of the parish of St Neots

EATON SOCON WARD

The Eaton Socon Ward of the parish of St Neots

EATON FORD WARD

The Eaton Ford Ward of the parish of St Neots