

Local Government
Boundary Commission
For England
Report No. 88

LOCAL GOVERNMENT

BOUNDARY COMMISSION

FOR ENGLAND

REPORT NO. 88

LOCAL GOVERNMENT BOUNDARY COMMISSION FOR ENGLAND

CHAIRMAN

Sir Edmund Compton, GCB, KBE.

DEPUTY CHAIRMAN

Mr J M Rankin, QC.

MEMBERS

The Countess Of Albemarle, DBE.

Mr T C Benfield.

Professor Michael Chisholm.

Sir Andrew Wheatley, CBE.

Mr F B Young, CBE.

To the Rt Hon Roy Jenkins, MP
Secretary of State for the Home Department

PROPOSALS FOR REVISED ELECTORAL ARRANGEMENTS FOR THE BOROUGH
OF WYRE IN THE COUNTY OF LANCASHIRE

1. We, the Local Government Boundary Commission for England, having carried out our initial review of the electoral arrangements for the borough of Wyre in accordance with the requirements of section 63 of, and of Schedule 9 to, the Local Government Act 1972, present our proposals for the future electoral arrangements for that borough.
2. In accordance with the procedure laid down in section 60(1) and (2) of the 1972 Act, notice was given on 13 May 1974 that we were to undertake this review. This was incorporated in a consultation letter addressed to the Wyre Borough Council, copies of which were circulated to the Lancashire County Council, Parish Councils in the borough, the Member of Parliament for the constituency concerned and the headquarters of the main political parties. Copies were also sent to the editors of local newspapers circulating in the area and of the local government press. Notices inserted in the local press announced the start of the review and invited comments from members of the public and from any interested bodies.
3. Wyre Borough Council were invited to prepare a draft scheme of representation for our consideration. In doing so, they were asked to observe the rules laid down in Schedule 11 to the Local Government Act 1972 and the guidelines which we set out in our Report No 6 about the proposed size of the council and the proposed number of councillors for each ward. They were asked also to take into account any views expressed to them following their consultation with local interests. We therefore asked that they should publish details of their provisional proposals about a month before they submitted their draft scheme to us, thus allowing an opportunity for local comment.

4. In accordance with section 7(4) of the Local Government Act 1972 the Council had exercised an option for a system of whole council elections.

5. On 30 September 1974, Wyre Borough Council presented their draft scheme of representation. The Council proposed to divide the area into 27 wards each returning 1, 2 or 3 members to form a Council of 56, 1 more than at present.

6. Following the publication by the Borough Council of their draft scheme we received a letter from the Upper Rawcliffe-with-Tarnacre Parish Council objecting to the Borough Council's proposal to include the parish in the proposed Brock ward. In submitting their draft scheme the Borough Council had sent us copies of their correspondence with parish councils and other bodies. We noted that the Borough had modified their proposals so as to meet objections to the inclusion of the parish of Inskip-with-Sowerby in the proposed Brock ward. However they had not been able to accede to a request from the Hambleton Parish Council that the parish should form a district ward returning two members. The Council's proposals provided for Hambleton to be grouped with the parishes of Stalmine-with-Staynall and Out Rawcliffe to form the proposed Hambleton ward returning two members.

7. We considered the Borough Council's draft scheme together with the comments which had been made upon it. On the evidence available to us we concluded that the Borough Council had been right to reject the submission by the Hambleton Parish Council and we resolved to adopt their proposed Hambleton ward without modification. (After we had considered this issue we received a letter from the Hambleton Parish Council expressing the view that the Borough Council's forecast 1979 electorates for the parishes in the ward were less than they should be. This letter arrived too late to influence our draft proposals which had just been issued. The Parish Council were accordingly advised to pursue the matter in response to our request for comments on the draft proposals).

8. In the case of the objection submitted by the Upper Rawcliffe-with-Tarnacre Parish Council we thought that their objection might be satisfied if we were to include the parish in the proposed Great Eccleston ward and we decided to modify the Borough Council's proposals accordingly.

9. We noted that in the Fleetwood part of the borough, the Borough Council's proposals produced a somewhat uneven standard of representation. We studied a number of possible ways in which the standard might be improved and decided to propose two boundary alterations affecting the proposed Mount, Pharos and Warren wards.

10. On the recommendation of the Ordnance Survey we made a minor adjustment to the alignment of one boundary in order to secure a boundary line which was technically better.

11. Subject to the changes referred to in paragraphs 8, 9 and 10, we decided that the Borough Council's draft scheme provided a reasonable basis for the future electoral arrangements for the Borough in compliance with the rules in Schedule 11 to the 1972 Act and our guidelines, and we formulated our draft proposals accordingly.

12. On 19 November 1974 we issued our draft proposals and these were sent to all who had received our consultation letter or had commented on the Council's draft scheme. The Council were asked to make these draft proposals and the accompanying maps, which defined the proposed ward boundaries, available for inspection at their main offices. Representations on our draft proposals were invited from those to whom they were circulated and, by public notices, from other members of the public and interested bodies. We asked that any comments should reach us by 17 January 1975.

13. Wyre Borough Council informed us that they had no comment to make on the draft proposals.

14. Hambleton Parish Council wrote to us restating their case for the parish to be established as a district ward returning 2 members. We heard also from the Stalmine-with-Staynall Parish Council who objected to the inclusion of the parish in the proposed Hambleton ward.

15. A local civic society submitted a number of letters and a petition signed by nearly 3000 persons objecting to our proposed Jubilee, Cleveleys Park and Bourne wards on the grounds that they would be detrimental to the interests of the Fleetwood area of the Borough. The society's case was supported by a number of letters from Fleetwood residents and local organisations.

16. In view of these comments, we decided that we needed further information to enable us to reach a conclusion. Therefore, in accordance with section 65(2) of the 1972 Act and at our request, you appointed Mr A G Harrison as an Assistant Commissioner to hold a local meeting and to report to us.

17. The Assistant Commissioner held a meeting at the Council Chambers, Poulton-le-Fylde on 24 July 1975. A copy (without enclosures) of his report to us of the meeting is attached at Schedule 1 to this report.

18. The Assistant Commissioner recommended that the boundary between the proposed Bourne and Mount wards should be amended to follow the line specified at the end of paragraph 8 of his Report. This would include the whole of the marshland in the proposed Mount ward. Apart from this modification the Assistant Commissioner recommended that our proposals should not be altered.

19. We considered again our draft proposals in the light of the comments which we had received and of the Assistant Commissioner's Report. We concluded that the alteration recommended by the Assistant Commissioner should be adopted and, subject to this amendment, we decided that our draft proposals should be confirmed as our final proposals.

20. Details of these final proposals are set out in Schedule 2 to this report

and on the attached maps. Schedule 2 gives the names of the wards and the number of councillors to be returned by each. The boundaries of the new wards are defined on the maps.

21. In accordance with Section 60(5)(b) of the Local Government Act 1972, a copy of this report and a copy of the maps are being sent to Wyre Borough Council and will be available for public inspection at the Council's main offices. Copies of this report are also being sent to those who received the consultation letter and to those who made comments. A detailed description of the boundaries of the proposed wards, as defined on the maps, is set out in Schedule 3 to this report.

L.S.

Signed

EDMUND COMPTON (CHAIRMAN)

JOHN M RANKIN (DEPUTY CHAIRMAN)

DIANA ALBEMARLE

T C BENFIELD

MICHAEL CHISHOLM

ANDREW WHEATLEY

F B YOUNG

DAVID R SMITH (Secretary)

18 September 1975

BOROUGH OF WYREINITIAL REVIEW OF ELECTORAL ARRANGEMENTS.

Report of A.G. Harrison, Esq., O.B.E., D.S.C.
an Assistant Commissioner.

To: The Chairman and Members of the Local Government Boundary Commission for England. 29th July, 1975.

1. I took the chair at a local meeting, arranged by the Commission, on 24th July, 1975 at the Council Chamber, Queensway, Poulton-le-Fylde, Lancashire. A list of the persons present at the meeting is attached (Appendix A).
2. At the conclusion of the meeting, I carried out an extensive visit of the proposed wards and boundaries, with which the meeting had been concerned. I was accompanied by representatives of the Borough Council and the objectors.
3. My RECOMMENDATIONS appear in paragraphs 7 and 13 of this Report.
4. The Commission's Proposals.

The Commission formulated and published a draft scheme proposing that the Borough of Wyre should be divided into 27 Wards and that the number of councillors for the Borough should be 56.

5. Objections to the Proposals.

Objections to the draft proposals were received by the Commission as follows:-

- (1) From the Hambleton Parish Council who claimed that the proposed Hambleton Ward should be divided into two separate wards - one a 2 member ward comprising Hambleton Parish only - and the other a single member ward comprising the Parishes of Out Rawcliffe and Stalmine-with-Staynall.
- (2) From the Stalmine-with-Staynall Parish Council who objected to the proposed 2 member Hambleton Ward, in which they are linked with the parishes of Hambleton and Out Rawcliffe.
- (3) From the Fleetwood Civic Society, and others, who objected to three proposed wards because they would straddle the old boundary between the former Fleetwood M.B. and Thornton Cleveleys U.D. - The Society put forward to the Commission an alternative

proposal, to remedy the situation by redrawing the ward boundaries as close as possible to the old boundary of the former Fleetwood M.B.

6. Proceedings at the Local Meeting.

In relation to the Hambleton Ward.

- (i) The Borough Council supported the Commission's proposal - A revised estimate of the electorate for the Parish of Hambleton was submitted by the Borough Council based partly upon a revised ratio of 2.4 electors per household, as follows:-

1975 electorate	1,961
No. of dwellings under construction	
- 14.	
Current ratio of electors per household - 2.4	
14 x 2.4	34
No. of dwellings projected by 1979 - between 25 and 50 (maximum)	
50 x 2.4	120
Total electorate 1979 - estimated	<u>2,115</u>

- (ii) The Council stressed that this 1979 estimate was a maximum and dependent upon the ratio of electors per household continuing and the number of houses projected being attained.
- (iii) The Borough Council produced a map which indicated the building land available in Hambleton Parish and the planning policy "urban fence", to contain developments. A map showing similar information was also produced for Stalmine-with-Staynall.
- (iv) The Borough Council's projection for the Parish of Stalmine-with-Staynall is as follows:-

1975	848
No. of dwellings under construction - 38.	
Current ratio of electors per household - 1.8	
38 x 1.8	68
No. of dwellings projected by 1979 - up to 50 (maximum)	
50 x 1.8	90
Total electorate 1979 - estimated	<u>1,006</u>

- (v) The Borough Council expects the electorate of Out Rawcliffe parish to remain static for the relevant period.
- (vi) The Borough Council said that the corrected existing and projected

electorate for the proposed Hambleton ward is therefore:-

<u>Parish</u>	<u>Existing Electorate</u>		<u>Forecast Electorate</u>
	<u>1974</u>	<u>1975</u>	<u>1979</u>
Hambleton	1,854	1,961	2,115
Out Rawcliffe	435	447	435
Stalmine-with-Staynall	825	848	1,006
	<u>3,114</u>	<u>3,256</u>	<u>3,556</u>
No. of Electors per Councillor	1,557	1,628	1,778
Average No. of electors per councillor throughout the Borough.	1,341	1,351	1,447

The Borough Council said that Hambleton Parish in particular had become increasingly urbanised; the forecast average electorate per Councillor for the proposed Hambleton Ward - 1,778 - although high, compares with the Commission's proposals for the Great Eccleston Ward - 1,815 - although the latter ward is by comparison more rural⁹ widespread.

- (vi) The persons who spoke on behalf of Hambleton Parish Council and Stalmine-with-Staynall Parish Council feared the loss of identity of their two villages by the Commission's proposals. It was also feared by Stalmine-with-Staynall that the 2 Councillors for the proposed ward would likely be persons from Hambleton Parish because of the greater electorate of that parish. The Borough Council's forecasts for 1979 were broadly in line with the estimate made by the Parishes.

On behalf of the Hambleton Parish Council it was said that perhaps the solution should be a 1 member ward for that parish now but to become a 2 member ward in 1979.

Stalmine-with-Staynall Parish Council did not object to that suggested solution and would be content if joined with Out Rawcliffe to form a separate 1 member ward.

- (vii) The view of the Borough Council was that whilst the combined electorate of Stalmine-with-Staynall and Out Rawcliffe parishes would be adequate to support one councillor for a ward comprised

of those two parishes, the electorate for Hambleton Parish would be rather high for one councillor and somewhat low for two councillors.

The Borough Council did remark that a more evenly balanced electorate might be achieved by alternative parish groupings but that these could well give rise to further objections from the Parishes affected - No proposals on these lines were made either by the Borough Council or anyone else at the local meeting.

7. Recommendation - Hambleton Ward.

I thought the plea made by the Parish Councils had, on the face of it, some attractions. However, much depends upon the electorate forecasts. The 1979 forecast shows a maximum electorate of 2,115 for Hambleton Parish. This figure does not quite justify a 2 member ward for the Parish, which is the objective of the Parish Council. On the other hand, the combined forecast electorate for Stalmine-with-Staynall and Out Rawcliffe is satisfactory for a 1 member ward comprised of those two parishes.

But there must also be taken into account the view expressed at the meeting by the Borough Council that the high ratio of electors per dwelling, used in the forecast for Hambleton Parish, might be expected to decrease in the forecast period; further that the recent rate of completing new houses fell well short of the average rate required to meet the 1979 maximum estimate. One is bound to question, therefore, whether the figure of 2,115 will, in fact, be achieved. Even if it is, it seems unlikely that there can be any material upward change in the electorate after that date, because of planning policy.

To create Hambleton Parish as a separate 2 member ward (which the figures do not, in my opinion, justify) would increase an already rather large Borough Council from 56 to 57, and would also be bound to make anomalies.

I recommend that the Commission's proposals for Hambleton Ward be not altered.

8. Proceedings at the Local Meeting.

In relation to the "Fleetwood" Wards.

- (1) For the removal of a doubt it was confirmed by the Fleetwood Civic Society that they accepted the Commission's proposals for the boundaries between Mount Ward and Warren Ward and

Mount Ward and Pharos Ward.

(2) Commenting on the written submission to the Commission by the Fleetwood Civic Society, which were repeated verbally at the Meeting on behalf of the Society, it was said on behalf of the Borough Council:-

(a) That there is a misunderstanding of the true position by the Civic Society and those members of the public who signed a petition, when they assert that land will be lost by Fleetwood to Thornton Cleveleys, that this would affect the livelihood of the Fleetwood community and hinder the proper development of that town.

(b) With regard to the Civic Society's claim that the proposals could ultimately lead to greater representation on the Council for the Thornton Cleveleys area, the Borough Council pointed out that Fleetwood returns, like Thornton Cleveleys, only 16 members to the Council out of an existing total of 55 members which would be increased to 56 under the Commission's proposals. Neither on the Council nor its committees is there any "finely balanced" situation as between representatives of the respective communities in the District. The future development of land in Fleetwood will remain unaffected by a revision of Ward boundaries.

The Borough Council said that the forecast electorates based on anticipated development do not indicate the need for any change in the number of Councillors for either Fleetwood or Thornton Cleveleys within the lifetime of the proposed new ward boundaries.

(c) The Borough Council said that the residents of that part of the Rossall Beach area, lying to the north of the former municipal boundary do not, as the Civic Society claim, have any community of interest with Fleetwood township, from which it is remote. Their community of interest is with the other residents of the Rossall Beach area to the south and the complete area has properly been included in the Commission's proposed Jubilee Ward. The former municipal boundary had no bearing on this development, which proceeded as a normal extension of residential estates in Cleveleys - Rossall School, which has strong ties with Fleetwood, is properly included in the Commission's proposed Rossall ward and will form a suitable southern boundary of that Ward. The school separates that

part of Rossall Beach development (formerly within the Borough of Fleetwood) from the next residential development, some distance to the north and known as the Larkholme Estate.

- (d) The Borough Council criticised the alternative boundary line between Rossall Road and Fleetwood Road, submitted to the Commission by the Civic Society. The Council claimed that the alternative boundary fails to meet, satisfactorily, the criteria of the Commission. The Council say the Society's line has no degree of permanence; it consists largely of private farm tracks and disused and un-maintained ditches, filled in in parts and not easily identified - Where the line runs to the rear of residential properties and at the ends of cul de sacs, it could be affected by a slight extension of development by 1979. Parts of the line are likely to be obliterated by anticipated development of public playing fields and the construction of the north/south relief road. The Council said that they saw no evidence of any local ties of the inhabitants (6 electors) of this area either to Fleetwood or Thornton Cleveleys.
- The Council said that the Commission's proposal made a sensible boundary, easily identifiable and likely to remain undisturbed. The Council claimed that it is the obvious - and indeed the only - line to follow which will comply with the prescribed guidelines. The adoption of the line would, in the opinion of the Council, cause no detriment to Fleetwood.

- (e) As to the Civic Society's proposed boundary line, from Fleetwood Road to the River Wyre the Borough Council made the following observations:-
- (i) The Marshlands (mainly in the ownership of the Council) are being used for controlled tipping - a long term scheme of reclamation. The likely future developments on the land would include industrial and recreational use but not residential.
 - (ii) The number of electors involved is 35 (of whom 12 are service employees of a holiday caravan park) and they reside in comparative isolation.
 - (iii) The boundary line proposed by the Civic Society does, in the opinion of the Borough Council, meet the prescribed criteria.
 - (iv) The Borough Council said that if the Commission felt inclined to amend their published proposals the Council would suggest the following boundary line (as being satisfactory although not quite

so good as the Commission's proposed line) namely:-

"from the junction of the northerly boundary of Bourne Ward at Rossall Lane with Fleetwood Road proceeding in a southerly direction along the centre of Fleetwood Road to its junction with the public footpath at Springfield Terrace, then along the full length of such public footpath in a north easterly direction thence due east to low water medium tides of the River Wyre".

The Civic Society said they were happy to support this slight variation of their own proposal.

9. As to future development, the Borough Council had this to say:-

(i) The land lying between Rossall Road (to the West) and Fleetwood Road (to the east) and bounded by Rossall Lane, Fleetwood (to the north) and the existing housing development in Cleveleys (to the south) is, at present, cultivated agricultural land and contains only 2 dwellings, one of which is a farmhouse. The planning proposals for this area are that practically the whole of such lands be retained in their present use and form a green wedge between the existing townships of Fleetwood and Thornton Cleveleys.

The only residential development which may be contemplated would be a minor extension of the existing residential development in Cleveleys, east of Way Gate and to the south of the former district boundary.

(ii) A major extension of existing residential development is proposed in the vicinity of Pheasant Wood, Thornton, of which account has been taken in the projected 1979 electorates. This does not affect the boundaries in dispute and is wholly situate within the former Urban District of Thornton Cleveleys.

(iii) A Fleetwood/Thornton Cleveleys Relief Road is planned which will run approximately through the centre of the lands.

(iv) In the foreseeable future, therefore, and consistent with longstanding planning intentions, there is not likely to be any residential or other development which would alter the forecast electorate.

10. The table (Appendix B) was submitted to the meeting on behalf of the Borough Council. The table shows the ^{at} statistical effect of the proposals, submitted by the Civic Society to the Commission, compared with those comprised in the draft proposals of the Commission. The statistics are accepted by the Civic Society.

It was explained to the meeting by the Council that the forecast increase in electorate shown in the table would occur mainly in the following places in the wards mentioned:-

<u>Ward</u>	<u>Place</u>
Bourne	Pheasant Wood
Cleveleys Park	Ingles Nursery, near southern boundary of the Ward
Jubilee	Scattered individual plots
Park	Fleetwood Farm area
Rossall	Larkholme Estate and Council development in North of Ward, near Bailey

The only "disputed" ward showing a decline in the electorate is Mount. It was explained by the Council that this was due to anticipated demolition and a lowering of occupancy in old areas in the north of the ward.

11. In addition to the points already referred to in previous paragraphs of this Report, the Fleetwood Civic Society made the following observations:-

- (i) They emphasized the matters set out in their previously submitted written statements to the Commission. They claimed they were supported by the overwhelming majority of the townspeople of Fleetwood.
- (ii) The best solution, the Society thought, would be to adopt as the boundary of the wards, the old municipal boundary of Fleetwood, subject to a minor adjustment affecting only 4 dwellings (7 electors). If this was not acceptable (and it was said by the Council that the line did not meet the prescribed criteria) then the Society urged the adoption of the boundaries already submitted by them to the Commission. This line was satisfactory and it was defined on the Ordnance Survey Map, the Society said. It would only transfer 67 electors from the new Jubilee Ward to Rossall Ward and 12 from Rossall to Jubilee. The Society believed that the Commission's proposals would prove to be to the long term disadvantage of the Fleetwood community.
- (iii) Under the Commission's proposals the transfer of approximately 700 electors to Thornton Cleveleys and inevitable development of other land will lead to a call, ultimately, for greater representation on the Council by Thornton Cleveleys. The majority of the 700 electors prefer the status quo. For many, a change of polling place would mean very much greater travel resulting in some cases in virtual disenfranchisement.

For the Council, it was said that a review of polling places would be undertaken once the new wards were settled.

- (iv) The Society claimed other disadvantages would accrue to residents of Fleetwood because of the proposed ward boundary changes e.g., change of postal address, denial of benefit of certain trusts etc. These claims were denied by the Council.
- (v) The Society could not see the justification for any change so soon after the arrangement of wards, following the recent formation of Wyre Borough.
- (vi) The Society alleged lack of adequate publicity for the proposals and for this meeting; also complained about the venue for the meeting. For the Council, it was said that all requirements as to notices had been met and as to the venue, this was the usual meeting place used by the Council.

12. Other persons spoke at the meeting in support of the Civic Society. In addition a number of letters of support were read out at the meeting. Some of the principal points made and not otherwise mentioned in this Report may be summarised as follows:-

- (1) There are many retired people in Thornton Cleveleys - the ~~under-~~ ^{un-}developed land in Fleetwood would be used for housing many more retired people, whereas the land should be used for housing people who would work in new industries induced to come to Fleetwood.
- (2) Fear of possibility of reduced representation for Fleetwood on Borough Council - the proposals are the result of political desire to strengthen Thornton Cleveleys to detriment of Fleetwood.
- (3) Thornton Cleveleys will find their number of electors boosted not only by development of land in their own area but of land to be lost by Fleetwood. The land at rear of the docks should "remain Fleetwood" and also the Green Wedge land, which might well be suitable for development. Proposed ward changes would be a death knell for future development of Fleetwood.
- (4) The Council has made no case at all "for the greater convenience of the electorate".
- (5) Wider interests of the Borough will be better served by fostering locality interest and local civic pride.
- (6) Proposals are premature, having regard to uncertainty in forecasting future development.
- (7) Few Fleetwood people ever leave the Town or want to. If Fleetwood's "expansion land" is taken away they may have to leave Fleetwood could better safeguard the Green Wedge, as present assurances may not last.

The meeting was told by the Council that the Green Wedge was the firm policy of the present Council and had been that of former local authorities as well.

13. Recommendations - Fleetwood Wards

- (i) With regard to the boundary for the Rossall/Jubilee Wards, I feel that the Rossall Beach development should not be split, as proposed by the Civic Society but should be accepted as being one community, associated with the Cleveleys area, rather than Rossall. I therefore recommend that the boundary be as proposed by the Commission.
- (ii) With regard to the Ward boundaries between Rossall Road and Fleetwood Road. Following my inspection, I agree with the Borough Council that neither the old municipal boundary of Fleetwood nor the alternative line, both suggested by the Civic Society, would be really satisfactory. No other boundary except that proposed by the Commission would seem practicable nor was any other line proposed at the meeting. In view of the Council's policy about the Green Wedge it seems highly unlikely that any residential development will occur to upset, within the foreseeable future, the "balance of representation", referred to by the Civic Society and others. I recommend that the Commission's proposal be not altered.
- (iii) With regard to the boundary of the Mount/Bourne Wards - As a satisfactory line is available I see no purpose in the marshland being split as between Mount and Bourne Wards. I therefore recommend the adoption of the line described in detail in paragraph 8(2)(e)(iv) of this Report. On inspection I noticed that the public footpath, which would form part of this line, is overgrown in places by a hedge and other vegetation and is not signposted, at the moment. Nevertheless, it is, in my opinion, identifiable and quite satisfactory as a Ward boundary.

ab/hammin
.....
An Assistant Commissioner

SCHEDULE 2

BOROUGH OF WYRE : NAMES OF PROPOSED WARDS AND NUMBERS OF COUNCILLORS

<u>NAME OF WARD</u>	<u>NO OF COUNCILLORS</u>
BAILEY	3
BOURNE	3
BRECK	2
BROCK	1
CALDER	1
CARLETON	2
CATTERAL	1
CLEVELEYS PARK	3
DUCHY	1
GARSTANG	2
GREAT ECCLESTON	1
HAMBLETON	2
HARDHORN	2
HIGH CROSS	2
JUBILEE	2
MOUNT	2
NORCROSS	2
PARK	3
PHAROS	2
PILLING	1
PREESALL	3
ROSSALL	3
STAINA	3
TITHEBARN	2
VICTORIA	3
WARREN	3
WYFLESDALE	1

BOROUGH OF WYRE : DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED WARD BOUNDARIES

Note: Where the boundary is described as following a road, railway, river, canal or similar feature, it should be deemed to follow the centre line of the feature unless otherwise stated.

PHAROS WARD

Commencing at the point where Lord Street meets Mount Street, thence northwestwards along Mount Street and Seabank Road to Mount Road; thence westwards along said road to Promenade Road, thence northwestwards along said road, crossing The Esplanade and continuing along the road adjacent to Marine Gardens to Outer Esplanade, thence continuing northwestwards in a straight line to the northern boundary of the District, thence eastwards to the western boundary of Preesall CP, thence southeastwards and following said parish boundary to the point at which it meets a line drawn from NG Reference SD3362047633 in Dock Street and passing through NG Reference SD3400047633, thence westwards along said line to Dock Street, thence northwestwards to and along Mount Street to Lord Street being the point of commencement.

MOUNT WARD

Commencing at the point where Jameson Road meets Fleetwood Road, thence northwestwards and following Fleetwood Road and Copse Road to Broomfield Road, thence northwards along said road to Hatfield Avenue, thence northeastwards along said avenue to Nelson Road, thence northwards along said road to Park Avenue, thence northeastwards along said avenue to Birch Street, thence northwards along said street and Darbshire Road and in prolongation thereof to the western boundary of Pharos Ward, thence southeastwards along said western boundary to the western boundary of Preesall CP, thence southwards along said boundary and the western boundary of Stalmine-with-Staynall CP to a point being the prolongation north-eastwards of the centre line of the North and south railway jetties, thence southwestwards along said prolongation to and along the railway to a track at NG Reference SD3416644537, thence generally northwards along said track to and westwards along footpath leading to Springfield Terrace and Fleetwood Road, thence northwestwards along said road to the point of commencement.

BOURNE WARD

Commencing at the point where Fleetwood Road meets the southern boundary of Mount Ward, thence generally eastwards and following said ward boundary to the western boundary of Stalmine-with-Staynall CP, thence southwards and following said boundary to Hillylaid Pool, thence southwestwards and following said pool to Hillylaid Road, thence generally westwards along said road to Lawson Road, thence northwestwards along said road to Bancroft Avenue, thence

generally westwards along said avenue to Rossendale Avenue North; thence southwards along said avenue to Devonshire Avenue; thence westwards along said avenue to Fleetwood Road; thence northwestwards along said road and Marsh Road to Wordsworth Avenue; thence southwestwards along said avenue to Tennyson Avenue; thence northwards along said avenue to West Drive; thence southwestwards along said drive to the proposed Thornton Cleveleys/Fleetwood Relief Road; thence northwestwards along said proposed road to Rossall Lane; thence eastwards along said lane to Fleetwood Road; thence southeastwards along said road to the point of commencement.

STAINA WARD

Commencing at the point where the railway meets the southern boundary of Bourne Ward; thence eastwards and following said boundary to the western boundary of Stalmine-with-Staynall CP; thence southeastwards and following said boundary, the western boundaries of Hambleton CP and the southern boundary of the District to Mains Lane; thence westwards and northwestwards along said lane and Amounderness Way to Fleetwood Road; thence northwards along said road to Beechwood Drive; thence eastwards and northeastwards along said drive and Hawthorne Road to Victoria Road East; thence southeastwards along said road to the railway; thence northwards along said railway to the point of commencement.

BRECK WARD

Commencing at the point where the railway meets the southern boundary of Staina Ward; thence southeastwards and following said boundary to the southern boundary of the District; thence northeastwards and following said boundary to Garstang Road East; thence southwestwards along said road to Hardhorn Road; thence northwards along said road to Queens Square; thence westwards along said square to the Market Place; thence northwards along the Market Place and Church Street to Ball Street; thence eastwards along said street to Breck Road; thence northwards along said road to the railway; thence northwestwards and northwards along said railway to the point of commencement.

HARDHORN WARD

Commencing at the point where Hardhorn Road meets the southern boundary of Breck Ward; thence eastwards and following said ward boundary to the southern boundary of the District; thence southwards and following said boundary to High Cross Road; thence generally northwards along said road and Hardhorn Road to the point of commencement.

HIGH CROSS WARD

Commencing at the point where Garstang Road West meets the western boundary of Hardhorn Ward; thence southwards and following said ward boundary to the southern boundary of the District; thence southwestwards, northwestwards and northwards along the southern and southwestern boundaries of the District to Garstang Road West; thence northeastwards along said road to the point of commencement.

TITHEBARN WARD

Commencing at the point where Horse Bridge Watercourse meets the western boundary of Breck Ward; thence southeastwards and following said boundary to the northern boundary of High Cross Ward; thence southwestwards along said boundary to the southwestern boundary of the District; thence northwestwards and following said boundary to the point where it crosses the railway; thence northeastwards along said railway to Horsebridge Watercourse; thence northwestwards and following watercourse to the point of commencement.

CARLETON WARD

Commencing at the point where the southwestern boundary of the District meets Whiteholme Road; thence eastwards along said road to Bispham Road; thence southwards along said road to Norcross Lane; thence northeastwards along said lane to Fleetwood Road; thence northwestwards along said road to the southern boundary of Staina Ward; thence southeastwards along said boundary to the western boundary of Breck Ward; thence southwards along said boundary to the northern boundary of Tithebarn Ward; thence southwestwards and following said boundary to the southwestern boundary of the District; thence northwestwards and following said District boundary to the point of commencement.

NORCROSS WARD

Commencing at the point where Church Road meets the western boundary of Bourne Ward; thence southeastwards along the said boundary to the southern boundary of Bourne Ward; thence eastwards and following said boundary to the western boundary of Staina Ward; thence southwards and following said boundary to the northern boundary of Carleton Ward thence southwards and following said boundary to the southwestern boundary of the District; thence generally northwards and following said boundary to the stream running between the property known as White House and White Carr Lane; thence northeastwards and following said stream to Anchorsholme Lane; thence westwards along said lane to the access road from Anchorsholme Lane to Marsh Farm and Victoria Road; East; thence northeastwards along said access road to Victoria Road East; thence northwestwards along said road to Meadow Avenue; thence generally northwards along said avenue to Church Road; thence generally eastwards along said road to the point of commencement.

VICTORIA WARD

Commencing at the point where Rossall Road meets West Drive; thence eastwards and northeastwards along said drive to the western boundary of Bourne Ward; thence continuing northeastwards and following said boundary and the western boundary of Norcross Ward to the southwestern boundary of the district; thence northwestwards and following said district boundary to Crescent East; thence northeastwards and northwards along Crescent East and Rossall Road to the point of commencement.

JUBILEE WARD

Commencing at the point where the prolongation eastwards of the northern boundary of No 426 Broadway meets Broadway; thence generally southwards along said Broadway, Rossall Road and the western boundary of Victoria Ward to the southwestern boundary of the District; thence westwards along said boundary to Low Water, being the western boundary of the District; thence northwards along the District boundary to the prolongation westwards of the southern boundary of Rossall School; thence eastwards along said prolongation and said southern boundary and the northern boundary of No 426 Broadway and in prolongation thereof to the point of commencement.

CLEVELEYS PARK WARD

Commencing at the point where Broadway meets Rossall Lane; thence eastwards along said lane to the western boundary of Bourne Ward; thence southwards along said boundary to the northern boundary of Victoria Ward; thence southwestwards and westwards along said boundary to the eastern boundary of Jubilee Ward; thence northwards along said boundary and Broadway to the point of commencement.

PARK WARD

Commencing at the point where Broadway meets Hatfield Avenue; thence northeastwards along said avenue to the western boundary of Mount Ward; thence southwards and following said boundary to and westwards following the northern boundary of Bourne Ward to the northern boundary of Cleveleys Park Ward; thence generally westwards along said boundary to Broadway; thence northeastwards along the Broadway to the point of commencement.

ROSSALL WARD

Commencing at the point where Chatsworth Avenue meets the western boundary of Park Ward; thence southwestwards and southwards along said boundary and the western boundary of Cleveleys Park Ward to the northern boundary of Jubilee Ward; thence westwards along said boundary to the western boundary of the District; thence northwards along said boundary to the prolongation southwestwards of Chatsworth Avenue; thence northeastwards along said prolongation and Chatsworth Avenue to the point of commencement.

BAILEY WARD

Commencing at the point where Poulton Road meets the western boundary of Mount Ward; thence southeastwards and following said boundary to the northern boundary of Park Ward; thence southwestwards along said boundary and the northern boundary of Rossall Ward to the western boundary of the District; thence northwards along said boundary to a point being the prolongation southwestwards of Rossall Grange Lane; thence northeastwards along said prolongation, Rossall Grange Lane and Poulton Road to the point of commencement.

WARREN WARD

Commencing at the point where the western boundary of Pharos Ward meets the

the western boundary of Mount Ward; thence southwards and following the western boundary of Mount Ward to the northern boundary of Bailey Ward; thence southwestwards along said boundary to the western boundary of the District; thence northwards and northeastwards following the western and northern boundaries of the District to the western boundary of Pharos Ward; thence southeastwards along said ward boundary to the point of commencement.

PREESALL WARD

The parish of Preesall

HAMBLETON WARD

The parishes of Hambleton, Out Rawcliffe and Stalmine-with-Staynall

PILLING WARD

The parishes of Pilling and Nateby

DUCHY WARD

The parishes of Cabus and Winmarleigh

GREAT ECCLESTON WARD

The parishes of Great Eccleston, Inskip-with-Sowerby and Upper Rawcliffe-with-Ternacre

GARSTANG WARD

The parish of Garstang

CATTERALL WARD

The parishes of Catterall and Kirkland

WYRESDALE WARD

The parishes of Forton and Nether Wyresdale

CALDER WARD

The parishes of Barnacre-with-Bonds and Bleasdale

BROCK WARD

The parishes of Bilborrow, Claughton and Myerscough