

Turp= Vdnh/#p b|  
Vhqw= 36#Dxjxw#5349#15-46  
Wr= Fduovvrq0K | vors / #Gdq  
Vxemhfw= IZ #Erxqgdul #hylnz ##Kxqwbjgrq#j lwlfw###54B : 19

Emily Starkie  
Review Officer  
LGBCE  
0330 500 1280

-----Original Message-----

From: Mayers, Mishka On Behalf Of reviews  
Sent: 21 July 2016 17:13  
To: Starkie, Emily <emily.starkie@lgbce.org.uk>  
Subject: FW: Boundary review. Huntingdon district. 21.07.16

-----Original Message-----

From: David Harty [REDACTED]  
Sent: 21 July 2016 15:48  
To: reviews <reviews@lgbce.org.uk>  
Cc: [REDACTED]  
Subject: Boundary review. Huntingdon district. 21.07.16

Residents in Little Paxton St Neots, are very concerned about the possibility of boundary changes, and with more changes to follow.

The proposals link units together with a strong identity of their own.  
Lifetime boundary changes can have a significant impact on people and perceptions.  
Many residents have chosen to live in a village with the community having its own identity.  
For example the area of Eaton Socon, Eaton Ford, Eynesbury and including St Neots, are all different.  
The boundary line being the natural line of the Great River Ouse is useful to follow, a defining point that everyone understands.  
It is illogical to take out Crosshall Road, Milton Avenue and the roads off and place them in Little Paxton, they are entirely separate in all aspect of daily life. Furthermore Little Paxton has its own very active Parish Council, and clearly would find the proposals unacceptable. They have nothing to do with St Neots Council, therefore removing the above mentioned roads out of the Eatons into Little Paxton, shows a lack of understanding of how little concern has been shown for the residents of either ward.

Please acknowledge receipt.

CLlr David Harty.  
Member of Huntingdonshire District Council.  
Cambridgeshire County Council.

Sent from my I.pad