

**Local Government
Boundary Commission
For England
Report No. 100**

LOCAL GOVERNMENT

BOUNDARY COMMISSION

FOR ENGLAND

REPORT NO. 100

LOCAL GOVERNMENT BOUNDARY COMMISSION FOR ENGLAND

CHAIRMAN

Sir Edmund Compton, GCB, KBE.

DEPUTY CHAIRMAN

Mr J M Rankin, QC.

MEMBERS

The Countess Of Albemarle, DBE.

Mr T C Benfield.

Professor Michael Chisholm.

Sir Andrew Wheatley, CBE.

Mr F B Young, CBE.

To: The Rt Hon Roy Jenkins, MP
Secretary of State for the Home Department

PROPOSALS FOR FUTURE ELECTORAL ARRANGEMENTS FOR THE CANNOCK CHASE
DISTRICT OF THE COUNTY OF STAFFORDSHIRE

1. We, the Local Government Boundary Commission for England, having carried out our initial review of the electoral arrangements for the District of Cannock Chase in accordance with the requirements of section 63 of, and Schedule 9 to, the Local Government Act 1972, present our proposals for the future electoral arrangements of that district.
2. In accordance with the procedure laid down in section 60(1) and (2) of the 1972 Act, notice was given on 26 February 1974 that we were to undertake this review. This was incorporated in a consultation letter addressed to Cannock Chase District Council, copies of which were circulated to Brindley Heath Parish Council, Staffordshire County Council, the Member of Parliament for the constituency concerned and the headquarters of the main political parties. Copies were also sent to the editors of the local newspapers circulating in the area and to the local government press. Notices inserted in the local press announced the start of the review and invited comments from members of the public and from any interested bodies.
3. Cannock Chase District Council were invited to prepare a draft scheme of representation for our consideration. In doing so, they were asked to observe the rules laid down in Schedule 11 to the Local Government Act 1972 and the guidelines which we set out in our Report No 6 about the proposed size of the council and the proposed number of councillors for each ward. They were also asked to take into account any views expressed to them following their consultation with local interests. We therefore asked that they should publish details of their provisional proposals about a month before they submitted their draft scheme to us, thus allowing an opportunity for local comment.

4. In accordance with section 7(4) of the Local Government Act 1972 the Council had exercised an option for a system of elections by thirds.

5. The Council presented their draft scheme of representation on 9 July 1974. They proposed to divide the area into 10 wards, five of which would return 6 members each. We pointed out to the Council that this was contrary to the guideline in our Report No 6 that only in the most exceptional circumstances should it be necessary for the number of councillors for a ward to be other than one, two or three. We invited the Council to prepare a revised scheme on this basis.

6. On 28 October 1974 the District Council presented revised proposals. The Council proposed to divide the area of the district into 14 wards each returning 3 members and one ward returning a single member to form a council of 43, six more than at present.

7. The District Council received no comments in response to the publication of their revised draft scheme. We received comments from a local political association proposing an alternative scheme of wards for the Rugeley area of the district. We heard also from members of a local political party suggesting that the boundaries of the proposed Rawnsley ward should be modified so as to follow those of the present St Peter's ward. It was accepted, however, that the ward should be known as Rawnsley ward.

8. We considered the Council's draft scheme, together with the comments we had received. On the information available to us we thought that the proposed alternative arrangements for the Rugeley area of the district did not offer arrangements which would be superior in the future to the proposals submitted by the District Council and we resolved to reject them. In the case of the Rawnsley ward proposed in the comments we noted that to adopt the revised boundaries proposed by the local political party would make it necessary to review the neighbouring Anglesey ward and perhaps to redraw the proposed ward boundaries over quite a wide area. We decided that the benefits to be obtained

from retaining the present St Peter's ward were insufficient to warrant the drastic reorganisation which would be necessary elsewhere and accordingly we decided not to alter the District Council's proposed boundaries in this locality.

9. We studied a number of ways in which the draft scheme might be modified so as to secure greater equality of representation. In most instances we concluded that no change should be made. In the case of the proposed Rawnsley ward, however, we noted that the electorate of the ward, both now and as forecast by the District Council in five years' time, was insufficient to warrant the allocation of three councillors, as the District Council had proposed. We decided to propose that the ward should be represented by two councillors.

10. After consulting Ordnance Survey, we decided to propose some minor adjustments to the alignment of some of the boundaries in order to secure boundary lines which were more readily identifiable on the ground.

11. Subject to the changes referred to in paragraphs 9 and 10 above we decided that the District Council's revised scheme provided a reasonable basis for the future representation of the District in compliance with the rules in Schedule 11 to the 1972 Act and our guidelines and we formulated our draft proposals accordingly.

12. On 18 April 1975 we issued our draft proposals and these were sent to all who had received our consultation letter or had commented on the Council's draft scheme. The Council were asked to make these draft proposals, and the accompanying map which defined the proposed ward boundaries, available for inspection at their main office. Representations on our draft proposals were invited from those to whom they were circulated and, by public notices, from other members of the public and interested bodies. We asked that any comments should reach us by 13 June 1975.

13. Cannock Chase District Council accepted the draft proposals, subject to three minor adjustments to boundaries which, with our agreement, they had

discussed with representatives of the Ordnance Survey. The local political party who had made representations to us earlier for modifications to the proposed Rawnsley ward boundaries pressed us to reconsider their proposals. In addition we received letters from a local political association suggesting a modification of the boundary between the proposed Parkside and Longford wards and from a private individual who suggested that the present electoral arrangements should be retained save for the St Lukes ward which could be divided using the line of the Longford Road.

14. In view of the comments on the draft proposals, we decided that we needed further information to enable us to reach a conclusion. Therefore, in accordance with section 65(2) of the 1972 Act and at our request you appointed Mr N R Cave as an Assistant Commissioner to hold a local meeting and report to us.

15. The Assistant Commissioner held a meeting at the Chaseley Arts and Recreation Centre at Cannock on 9 September 1975. A copy of his report to us on the meeting is attached at Schedule 1.

16. The Assistant Commissioner recommended that, subject to the three minor boundary adjustments which had been agreed between the District Council and the Ordnance Survey our draft proposals should remain unaltered.

17. We considered again our draft proposals in the light of the comments which we had received, and of the Assistant Commissioner's Report. We concluded that the alterations recommended by the Assistant Commissioner should be adopted and, subject to these amendments, we decided that our draft proposals should be confirmed as our final proposals.

18. Details of these final proposals are set out in Schedules 2 and 3 to this report and on the attached maps. Schedule 2 gives the names of the wards and the number of councillors to be returned by each. Schedule 3 shows our proposals for the order of retirement of councillors. The boundaries of the proposed new wards are defined on the maps.

PUBLICATION

19. In accordance with Section 60(5)(b) of the Local Government Act 1972 a copy of this report and a copy of the maps are being sent to Cannock Chase District Council and will be available for public inspection at the Council's main offices. Copies of this report are also being sent to those who received the consultation letter and to those who made comments. A detailed description of the boundaries of the proposed wards, as defined on the maps, is set out in Schedule 4 to this Report.

L.S.

Signed:

EDMUND COMPTON (Chairman)

JOHN H RANKIN (Deputy Chairman)

DIANA ALBEMARLE

T C BENFIELD

MICHAEL CHISHOLM

ANDREW WHEATLEY

F B YOUNG

DAVID R SMITH (Secretary)

25 September 1975

LOCAL GOVERNMENT BOUNDARY
COMMISSION FOR ENGLAND

REVIEW OF ELECTORAL ARRANGEMENTS
CANNOCK CHASE DISTRICT COUNCIL

REPORT OF ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER

Assistant Commissioner - Mr. N.R.Cave
Date of Meeting - 9th September, 1975

1.1. On the 9th September, 1975 I held a meeting at the Chaseley Arts and Recreation Centre at Cannock, Staffordshire to hear representatives on the draft proposals published by the Local Government Boundary Commission for England for the electoral arrangements for the District of Cannock Chase and in particular those relating to the following Proposed wards:-

Rawnsley
Anglesey
Heath Hayes
Parkside
Longford
Etchinghall
Western Springs
Hagley
Brereton and Ravenhill

1.2. Later on the same day I visited the areas discussed at the meeting and also those areas mentioned above which were not mentioned at the meeting but which had been the subject of previous representations.

2. A list showing the names of those who attended the meeting and the interests they represented is attached (Annex A).

3.1. After I had outlined the purpose of the meeting and agreed procedure which met with the approval of all present I explained the statutory requirements relative to the subject of the meeting and outlined the Commission's Guidelines.

3.2. Councillor J.E. Roberts representing the Cannock Chase District Council and Mr. M.J. Freeman (Secretary to the District Council) briefly explained the history of local government representation in the area from the beginning of the 20th Century, The reasons why, following the reorganisation of local government in 1974, The District Council had recommended the creation of a number of wards to be represented by six councillors each and concluded by stating that the District Council now accepted in toto the Commission 's proposals, provided the recommendations as agreed between representatives of the Council and Mr. B. Ward representing the Ordnance Survey were approved. These latter recommendations are referred to in the note of the meeting which was held on the 25th June 1975 (Annex 2). Particular proposals

accepted by the Council are:-

Anglesey Ward -

Commission's southern boundary proposal to be amended to project a line from the north western boundary of 54 Hill Street to the south west boundary of Cross Keys Farm (shown by a red line on Map Annex 3).

Heath Hayes Ward -
- Rawnsley Ward

New boundary to be drawn from Lichfield Road northwards along the eastern boundary of the Recreation Ground to and along the western boundary of the former Cannock Chase No.8 Colliery and rejoining the proposed boundary east of Wimblebury Road (shown by a red line on the Map Annex 4).

Parkside Ward -
Longford Ward

Revision of boundary to include No.158 Hatherton Road in Parkside Ward (shown by the retention of the green line and the deletion of the blue line on the Map Annex 5).

No-one else present at the meeting raised any comment to these proposals and as they resulted from detached discussions between the District Council and the Ordnance Survey and comply with the Commission's Guidelines with only the minimal affect on representation in only one case, I RECOMMEND that the agreements reached between the District Council and the Ordnance Survey on 25th June, 1975 be accepted.

RAWNSLEY WARD and ANGLESEY WARD

Commission's Proposals.

- 4.1. The Commission's proposals include the creation of new wards to be known as the Rawnsley Ward and the Anglesey Ward. In general terms the Rawnsley Ward would comprise the eastern part of the existing St.Peter's Ward with a very sparsely populated area to the south, whilst the Anglesey Ward

would include (inter alia) the western part of the existing St. Peter's Ward.

Representations.

- 4.2. On behalf of the St. Peter's Ward Labour Party Messrs. C. Tipton and W.E. Ryder and Miss G. Sargent firstly corrected an error in a letter (undated) which Mr. Tipton sent to the Commission on behalf of St. Peter's Ward Labour Party in that the three references therein to 776 voters should have stated 1088 voters. (This differs slightly from the Council's figure of 1017 electors but all interested parties agreed that this difference was of no consequence).

The St. Peter's Ward Labour Party accepted that the village of Wimblebury should be wholly contained in one ward. Further they proposed that starting at Bates Bridge the ward boundary should run south down the middle of Rugeley Road to Hill Top at its junction with Littleworth Road, thence round the existing St. Peter's Ward boundary. Eight bungalows near Prospect Village should be included in the Rawnsley Ward. As an alternative part of the eastern boundary should run south south west from the word "Wimblebury" to point 676 on Wimblebury Road on the 1:10,560 map.

Finally, because of the largeness of the proposed ward and (in parts) the sparsity of population it was claimed that three councillors would be needed if the electors were to be adequately represented, more especially if the councillors had no private transport. Whilst generally supporting Mr. Tipton, Mr. Ryder was opposed to a boundary which cut across Littleworth Road to the south of the reservoir. Neither Mr. Tipton nor Mr. Ryder had any suggestions as to what should happen to the balance of the proposed Anglesey Ward if their proposals were accepted except that it would remain in its attenuated form as a separate ward.

- 4.3. For the Council, Councillor Roberts stated that Mr. Tipton's proposals had regard to the needs of only one part of the District Council's area, that Church Hill (in that part of the proposed Anglesey Ward which the St. Peter's Ward Labour Party wished to include in the proposed Rawnsley Ward) should properly be associated with the town centre because it was near the market place and 'bus station. This would be the case if the Commission's proposals to include it in the Anglesey Ward were adopted. Mr. Freeman also pointed out (also on behalf of the Council) that Norton Canes Ward was also large and (in parts) sparsely populated and in the past had been adequately represented by a Councillor with no private transport.

4.4 Assessment

The St. Peter's Ward Labour Party, whilst happy for the proposed ward to be known as Rawnsley, want the boundaries of the existing St. Peter's Ward preserved. This would mean:-

- (a) The transfer of a sizeable part of the proposed Anglesey Ward into the proposed Rawnsley Ward. In this area there are (according to the Council) 1017 electors.
- (b) The transfer of a large part of the Rawnsley Ward to the proposed Heath Hayes Ward. There appears to be no electors in this area.

If the Council's figures are used (and these were accepted by the St. Peter's Ward Labour Party) proposals would have the following numerical results:-

<u>Ward</u>	1974		1979	
	<u>Electorate</u>	<u>Entitlement</u>	<u>Electorate</u>	<u>Entitlement</u>
Anglesey	3689	2.73	3900	2.51
Rawnsley	3379	2.50	4400	2.83
Heath Hayes	3245	2.40	4800	3.09

These compare with the Commission's draft proposals as follows:-

<u>Ward</u>	<u>Electorate</u>	<u>Entitlement</u>	<u>Electorate</u>	<u>Entitlement</u>
Anglesey	4706	3.48	4900	3.15
Rawnsley	2362	1.75	3400	2.19
Heath Hayes	3245	2.40	4800	3.09

It will be seen therefore that (assuming the proposed Rawnsley Ward has two councillors) the entitlements produced by the Ward Labour Party are inferior to those produced by the Commission's proposals. Further, whilst whichever proposal is adopted, it will result in a large area the Ward Labour Party's proposals would produce rather odd boundaries, the impact on the Anglesey Ward would mean that fresh thought would have to be given to the entitlement of representation of that ward and the attenuated Anglesey Ward would also be an odd shape. Therefore, whilst appreciating the understandable desire of the Ward Labour Party to preserve an arrangement which has existed for many years,

I RECOMMEND that the Commission's proposals remain unaltered.

5. WARDS GENERALLY

Mr. E.R. Whitehouse wants the present Wards to be retained subject to the division of the present St. Luke's Ward (proposed to be part of the Parkside Ward) into two wards with Longford Road as the dividing line. The two wards he proposes should be named St. Stephen's Ward (with about 3,000 electors) and St. Luke's Ward (with about 5,000 electors). He had no strong feelings on the number of Councillors for each of the proposed wards. He complained that no maps were available from the Council for him to take home to study but agreed (on challenge by Mr. Freeman) that he was given full opportunity to inspect a map at the Council offices and was greatly assisted by the Council's Electoral Registration clerk and admitted that much of the information he required was available in the Council's minutes which he could also inspect.

Mr. Whitehouse's proposals would continue the past practice of some wards returning more than three members but he could give no cogent reason for this except that he wished to preserve the old boundaries for tradition's sake. He appreciated that the entitlements of some wards were such that some modifications of boundaries would be required or that adjustments in the numbers of councillors would be necessary but he had no specific proposals to make to deal with these anomalies.

I RECOMMEND that no action be taken on Mr. Whitehouse's proposals.

6. PARKSIDE WARD AND LONGFORD WARD

I have read the proposals put forward by the Cannock and Rugeley Conservation Association and I have inspected the area to which they refer. However, no-one appeared at the meeting further to explain the proposals or to be questioned on them. There is little to choose between the Commission's draft proposals as will be seen from the following:-

<u>Ward</u>	1974		1979	
	<u>Electorate</u>	<u>Entitlement</u>	<u>Electorate</u>	<u>Entitlement</u>
Parkside	4006	2.96	4300	2.77
Longford	4696	3.47	4700	3.02

Conservative's alternative proposals.

Parkside	4263	3.15	4557	2.93
Longford	4439	3.28	4443	2.85

Presumably both proposals have taken into account an existing planning permission for development towards the south-western corner of the

proposed Parkside Ward, which could result in about 200/250 additional electors.

As the boundaries of both proposals are easily identifiable, in the absence of any compelling arguments such as community interests I RECOMMEND that the Commission's proposals remain unaltered.

7. ETCHINGHILL, WESTERN SPRINGS, HAGLEY AND BRERETON AND RAVENHILL WARDS

The Cannock Chase Liberal Association (Rugeley Branch) by letters dated 29th October, 1974 and 31st October, 1974 made proposals as alternatives to those submitted by the District Council and these were considered by the Commission in formulating their draft proposals. No-one appeared at the meeting further to explain these proposals or to be questioned on them nor were these proposals re-submitted following the publication by the Commission of their draft proposals in April 1975. It must therefore be assumed that the Liberal Association do not want to press any amendment to the Commission's proposals and accordingly

I RECOMMEND that the Commission's proposals remain unaltered.

Signed..... 
N.R. Cave.

Dated 11th September, 1975.

CANNOCK CHASE DISTRICT

PUBLIC MEETING - 9TH SEPTEMBER, 1975

<u>Names of Persons Present</u>	<u>Representing</u>
H. Bowdler	St. Johns, Heath Hayes.
A. Turner	" "
I. Turner	" "
C. Tipton	St. Peters
G. Sargent	"
E.R. Whitehouse	Self
Mrs. Patricia Tams	"Rugeley Times"
Stephanie Woollaston	Cannock Advertiser
J.E. Roberts	Cannock Chase District Council
S.J. Madden	"
M.J. Freeman	Secretary, Cannock Chase D.C.
B.E. Rastall	C.E.O. "
G.H. Cadman	C.C. C.L.P.
W.E. Ryder	St. Peter's Ward
P.C. Stevens	Express and Star.

DISTRICT OF CANNOCK CHASE: NAMES OF PROPOSED WARDS AND NUMBERS OF COUNCILLORS

<u>NAME OF WARD</u>	<u>NO OF COUNCILLORS</u>
ANGLESEY	3
BRERETON AND RAVENHILL	3
BRINDLEY HEATH	1
BROOMHILL	3
CANNOCK SOUTH	3
CHADSMOOR	3
ETCHING HILL	3
HAGLEY	3
HEATH HAYES	3
LONGFORD	3
NORTON CANES	3
PARKSIDE	3
PYE GREEN VALLEY	3
RAWNSLEY	2
WESTERN SPRINGS	3

ELECTION BY THIRDS
ORDER OF RETIREMENT

Name of Ward.	No. of Councillors	Order of Retirement		
		Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
ANGLESEY	3	1	1	1
BRERETON AND RAVENHILL	3	1	1	1
BRINDLEY HEATH	1	-	1 PE **	-
BROOKHILL	3	1	1	1
CASNOCK SOUTH	3	1	1	1
CHADSMOOR	3	1	1	1
ETCHING HILL	3	1	1	1
HAGLEY	3	1	1	1
HEATH HAYES	3	1	1	1
LONGFORD	3	1	1	1
NORTON CAVES	3	1	1	1
PARKSIDE	3	1	1	1
PYE GREEN VALLEY	3	1	1	1
RAWNSLEY	2	1	-	1
WESTERN SPRINGS	3	1	1	1
		42	14	14

** PE = Parish Election

CANNOCK CHASE DISTRICT : DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED WARD BOUNDARIES

NOTE: Where the boundary is described as following a road, railway, river, canal or similar feature, it should be deemed to follow the centre line of the feature unless otherwise stated.

ETCHING HILL WARD

Commencing at a point where the eastern boundary of Brindley Heath CP meets the northern boundary of the District, thence northeastwards along said northern boundary to Wolseley Road, thence southeastwards along said road to the Wolseley Road - Western Springs Road roundabout, thence southwestwards along Western Springs Road to a point opposite the access road to Western Springs Junior School, thence westwards to and crossing Plovers Rise to and along the said access road to the northeastern boundary of Western Springs Junior School, thence northwestwards along said northeastern boundary and the northeastern boundary of Western Springs Infants School and in prolongation thereof to the Path adjacent to the western boundary of Western Springs Infants School and leading to Green Lane, thence southwestwards along said path to Green Lane, thence southeastwards along said Green Lane to the path known as Green Lane, thence southwards along said path to the unnamed road running from the eastern end of Bilberry Close southwards to the unnamed road joining Hagley Road to Penkrige Bank, thence southwards along said unnamed road to the unnamed road joining Hagley Road to Penkrige Bank, thence southwestwards and following said unnamed road to the eastern boundary of Brindley Heath CP, thence northwards and following said eastern boundary to the point of commencement.

WESTERN SPRINGS WARD

Commencing at a point where the northeastern boundary of Etching Hill Ward meets the northern boundary of the District, thence northeastwards and following said district boundary to the Colwich to Cannock Chase railway line, thence southwards and following said railway line to Horse Fair, thence northwestwards along said Horse Fair and Western Springs Road to the river

running from Rising Brook to Elmore Park, thence westwards and following said river and Rising Brook to a point opposite the southwestern boundary of Fair Oak School, thence to and along said southwestern boundary to the eastern boundary of Etching Hill Ward, thence northeastwards and following said eastern boundary and the northeastern boundary of said ward to the point of commencement.

HAGLEY WARD

Commencing at a point where the eastern boundary of Brindley Heath CP meets the southern boundary of Etching Hill Ward, thence eastwards and following said ward boundary to the southwestern boundary of Western Springs Ward, thence southeastwards along said ward boundary to the Colwich to Cannock Chase railway line, thence southwestwards along said railway line to National Grid Reference SK 0424017385, thence due southwards to the southern boundary of the dismantled railway, thence northeastwards and following said southern and western boundary of the dismantled railway to the southeastern corner of 33 Curzon Place, thence southwards in a straight line to and along the western boundary of St Joseph's RC Primary School, thence westwards to the eastern boundary of 35 Hardie Avenue, thence southwards along said eastern boundary and continuing along the eastern boundaries of 71 Queensway, the small parcel to the east of 71 Queensway, thence continuing in a straight line to and along the eastern boundary of 78 Queensway, thence southwestwards along the rear boundaries of 78 to 68 Queensway and in prolongation thereof to the Path leading from Queensway to the Track joining Brereton Fields Farm to Gorse Lane, thence southwards and westwards following said path and track to Gorse Lane, thence southwards along Gorse Lane to a point opposite the western boundary of 7 Gorse Lane, thence to and along the said western boundary and continuing southwestwards and southeastwards along the rear boundaries of 6 to 1 Stile Close and 38 to 48 Cherry Tree Road and in prolongation thereof to Coppice Lane, thence southwestwards and following said lane to the eastern boundary of Brindley Heath CP, thence northwards and following said eastern boundary to the point of commencement.

BRERETON AND RAVENHILL WARD

Commencing at a point where the eastern boundary of Brindley Heath CP meets the southeastern boundary of Hagley Ward, thence northeastwards and northwestwards along the said southeastern boundary and the northeastern boundary of the said ward and continuing northeastwards along the southeastern boundary of Western Springs Ward to the eastern boundary of the District, thence southeastwards and following said eastern boundary to the eastern boundary of Brindley Heath CP, thence westwards and following said eastern boundary to the point of commencement.

BRINDLEY HEATH WARD

The parish of Brindley Heath.

PYE GREEN VALLEY WARD

Commencing at a point where the western boundary of the District meets the southern boundary of Brindley Heath CP, thence southeastwards along said southern boundary to a point being the prolongation northeastwards of the western boundary of No 48 Chancery Drive, thence southwestwards to and along said prolongation and said western boundary to Chancery Drive, thence generally eastwards along said drive to a point being the prolongation northeastwards of the western boundary of No 17 Chancery Drive, thence southwestwards to and along said prolongation and western boundary of said property and eastwards and southwards along the rear boundaries of Nos 17 to 1 in said drive to Bradbury Lane, thence generally westwards along said Lane to a point being the prolongation northeastwards of the western boundary of No 45 Mount Side Street, thence southwestwards to and along said prolongation and said western boundary to the northern boundary of 43 Mount Side Street, thence generally westwards and southwards along said northern and rear boundaries of 43 to 1 Mount Side Street and in prolongation thereof to Mount Street, thence southeastwards along said street to a point opposite the rear boundary of 131 High Mount Street, thence southwestwards to and along the rear boundaries of 131 to 113 High Mount Street, thence northwestwards along the northeastern boundary of 111 High Mount Street and generally

southwestwards along the rear boundaries of 111 to 81 High Mount Street, thence generally southeastwards along the rear boundaries of 28 to 2 Heath Street and in prolongation thereof to High Mount Street, thence southwestwards along said street to Heath Street, thence northwestwards along said street to a point opposite the northwestern boundary of 65 High Mount Street, thence southwestwards to and along the said northwestern boundary and the northwestern boundary of the Electricity Sub-Station to the northeastern boundary of 63 High Mount Street, thence northwestwards along said northeastern boundary to the rear boundary of 63 High Mount Street, thence southwestwards along the rear boundaries of 63 to 1 High Mount Street and southeastwards along the southwestern boundary of 1 High Mount Street to the northwestern boundary of 90 Green Heath Road, thence southwestwards along said northwestern boundary and in prolongation thereof to Green Heath Road, thence northwestwards along said road to Stanley Road, thence southwestwards along said road to a point opposite the western boundary of parcel number 5144 on Ordnance Survey 1:2500 plan SJ 98/9912 Edition of 1969, being opposite the rear boundary of No 1 Wyvern Grove, thence to and along said western boundary of said parcel to Belt Road, thence northwestwards along said road to Platt Street, thence southwards along said street to a point opposite the northeastern boundary of 2 Platt Street, thence to and along said northeastern boundary and northwestern boundary of the said property to the northeastern boundary of No 6 Platt Street, thence northwestwards along said northeastern boundary and southwards and following the rear boundaries of 6 to 36 Platt Street and continuing in a straight line to the northeastern boundary of No 38 Platt Street, thence northwestwards along said northeastern boundary and southwestwards and following the southeastern, southwestern and northwestern boundaries of Hightown Girls' Secondary School to the rear boundary of 79 Belt Road, thence northwestwards along the rear boundaries of 79 to 83 Belt Road and in prolongation thereof to Mercury Road, thence northeastwards along said road to a point opposite the rear boundary of 89 Belt Road, thence to and generally northwestwards along the rear boundaries of 89 to 131 Belt Road and in prolongation thereof to Huntington Terrace Road, thence northwards

along said road to a point opposite the southern boundary of 133 Belt Road, thence to and following along the said southern boundary, the eastern and southwestern boundary of 143 and 145 Belt Road and the southwestern and northwestern boundaries of 147 Belt Road and in prolongation thereof to Belt Road, thence northwestwards along said road to a point opposite the southeastern boundary of 161 Belt Road, thence southwestwards to and following along the said southeastern boundary and the southwestern and northwestern boundary of 161 and 163 Belt Road to the southern boundary of the parcel to the rear of 169 Belt Road, thence northwestwards along said southern boundary and in prolongation thereof to and along the rear boundaries of 173 to 227 Belt Road and in prolongation thereof to Holly Street, thence northwards along said street to a point opposite the rear boundaries of 231 to 235 Belt Road, thence to and along said rear boundaries and northwards along the western boundary of 235 Belt Road and in prolongation thereof to Belt Road, thence northwestwards along said road Limepit Lane to the western boundary of the District, thence northwards along said western boundary to the point of commencement.

BROOMHILL WARD

Commencing at a point where the western boundary of the District meets the southwestern boundary of Pye Green Valley Ward, thence southeastwards and following said southwestern boundary to the rear boundary of 401 Huntington Terrace Road, thence southwards and following the rear boundaries of 401 to 315 Huntington Terrace Road and the southwestern boundary of 315 Huntington Terrace Road in prolongation thereof to Huntington Terrace Road, thence southwestwards along said road to a point opposite the northern boundary of 265 Huntington Terrace Road, thence to and along said northern boundary and southwards along the rear boundaries of 265 to 255 Huntington Terrace Road to the rear boundary of 6 Princess Street, thence southeastwards along the rear boundaries of 6 and 4 Princess Street and southwards along the eastern boundary of 4 Princess Street and in prolongation thereof to Princess Street, thence northwestwards along

said street to a point opposite the rear boundary of 213 Huntington Terrace Road, thence to and along the rear boundaries of 213 to 193 Huntington Terrace Road, the southern boundary of 193 Huntington Terrace Road and southeastwards along the southwestern boundary of 191 Huntington Terrace Road, thence southwards in a straight line to and along the northwestern boundary of 181 Huntington Terrace Road and westwards along the northern boundary of 179 Huntington Terrace Road to the rear boundary of 179 Huntington Terrace Road, thence southwards and following the rear boundaries of 179 to 165 Huntington Terrace Road to the rear boundary of 6 Redhill Road, thence eastwards along the rear boundaries of 6 to 2 Redhill Road and the eastern boundary of 2 Redhill Road to Redhill Road, thence eastwards along said road to the prolongation northeastwards of the northwestern boundary of 157 Huntington Terrace Road, thence to and along said northwestern boundary westwards along the northern boundary of 155 Huntington Terrace Road and southwards and southwestwards along the rear boundaries of 155 to 109 Huntington Terrace Road to the Path leading from Greenwood Avenue to Huntington Terrace Road, thence eastwards along said path to a point opposite the rear boundaries of 59 to 55 Huntington Terrace Road thence to and along said rear boundaries, thence crossing Albert Street to and along the rear boundaries of 53 to 9 Huntington Terrace Road, the western and southern boundaries of the parcel to the rear of 5 Huntington Terrace Road, the southern boundary of 5 Huntington Terrace Road and the rear boundaries of 3 and 1 Huntington Terrace Road and the western boundary of the unnamed property to the south of 1 Huntington Terrace Road to Cemetery Road, thence northwestwards along said road to a point opposite the southeastern boundary of 2 Cemetery Road, thence to and along said southeastern boundary, the rear boundaries of 2 to 68 Cemetery Road and the northwestern boundary of 68 Cemetery Road to Cemetery Road, thence northwestwards along said road to Pye Green Road, thence southwards along said road to a point opposite the south-

western boundary of 181 Fye Green Road, thence to and along said southwestern boundary and the rear boundaries of 220 to 236 Cemetery Road, thence southwestwards to the rear boundary of 204 Bevan Lee Road, thence northwestwards and following the rear boundaries of 204 to 160 Bevan Lee Road to Cambria Street, thence northwards along said street to a point opposite the rear boundaries of 342 to 380 Cemetery Road, thence to and along said rear boundaries and westwards and northwards along the southern and western boundaries of the vacant plot to the west of 380 Cemetery Road, thence southwestwards along the southeastern boundary of 514 Stafford Road and in prolongation thereof to Stafford Road, thence northwestwards along said road to a point opposite the Track leading from Stafford Road to Shoal Hill, thence to and along said track to the western boundary of the District, thence northeastwards and following said western boundary to the point of commencement.

CHADSMOOR WARD

Commencing at a point where the eastern boundary of Broomhill Ward meets the southwestern boundary of Fye Green Valley Ward, thence northwards and following said southwestern boundary to Belt Road, thence southeastwards along said road, crossing Cannock Road and continuing southeastwards along Stafford Lane to the Rugeley to Walsall Railway Line, thence southwestwards along said railway line to Old Hednesford Road, thence westwards and following said road to Common Lane, thence northwestwards along said Common Lane to a point opposite the southeastern boundary of 21 Common Lane, thence to and along said southeastern boundary, the southeastern boundary of 28 and 31 Redwood Drive, the southwestern boundary of 31 Redwood Drive, thence southwestwards along the rear boundary of Nos 7 and 9 Meadow Hill Drive and continuing along the properties on the eastern side of Meadow Hill Drive, thence westwards along the southern boundaries of 16 Meadow Hill Drive, 18 to 2 Oakhill Road, 21 to 41 Walnut Drive,

13 Cedarhill Drive, Cedarhill Drive, 18 Cedarhill Drive and the Club and Institute to Cannock Road, thence southwards along said road to grid reference SJ 9864610959, thence westwards to and continuing westwards and northwards along the eastern boundary of Calving Hill County Secondary School and westwards along the northern boundary of the said school to the eastern boundary of the Bakery, thence northwards and following the eastern boundary of the bakery to Old Fallow Road, thence northeastwards along said road to a point opposite the western boundary of 56 Old Fallow Road, thence to and along said western boundary to the southern boundary of Broomhill Ward, thence eastwards along said southern boundary and northwards and following the eastern boundary of the said ward to the point of commencement.

ANGLESEY WARD

Commencing at a point where the northeastern boundary of Chadsmoor Ward meets the southeastern boundary of Pye Green Valley Ward thence northeastwards and following the said southeastern boundary to the southern boundary of Brindley Heath CP, thence southeastwards and following said southern boundary to Grid Reference SK 0156312869 being a point on a Mineral Railway, thence southeastwards along said Mineral Railway to Rugeley road, thence southwestwards to and in prolongation of said road crossing Rawnsley Road to the eastern boundary of parcel number 0800 on Ordnance Survey 1:2500 plan SK 00/0112, Edition 1970, thence southeastwards and southwestwards and following the eastern and southern boundaries of said parcel and the northern and southwestern boundaries of 305 to 301 Littleworth Road, thence crossing Littleworth Road in a straight line southeastwards to the northeast corner of the Engineering Works, thence southeastwards along the northeastern boundary of the said engineering works and continuing in a straight line from the southeastern corner to the northwestern corner of 46 Arthur Street, thence in a straight line southwestwards to the northern corner of Heath Hayes County Primary Junior School, thence southwestwards and northwestwards along the boundary forming the northern end of the Path

running parallel to the northwestern boundary of the school, thence southwestwards to and along said path to Hill Street, thence northwestwards along said street to a point opposite the southeastern boundary of 152 Hill Street, thence to and along said southeastern boundary, thence northwestwards and following the rear boundaries of 152 to 54 Hill Street to the Westernmost point of No 54 Hill Street, thence northwestwards in a straight line to the point where the southeastern and western boundaries of Cross Keys Farm meet, thence northwestwards and northeastwards along the western boundary of said farm to the prolongation southeastwards of the southwestern boundary of the parcel to the rear of 46 Hill Street, thence northwestwards along said prolongation and southwestern boundary thence in a straight line northwards to the rear boundary of 44 Hill Street thence along said rear boundary to the southeastern boundary of the Football Ground, thence southwestwards and following the southeastern and western boundary and in prolongation thereof to the proposed primary road, thence southwestwards and following the said proposed primary road to the disused Norton Branch Railway line, thence northwestwards along said disused railway line to the eastern boundary of Chadsmoor Ward, thence northeastwards along said eastern boundary and northwestwards along the northeastern boundary of the District to the point of commencement.

RAWNSLEY WARD

Commencing at a point where the eastern boundary of Anglesey Ward meets the southern boundary of Brindley Heath CP, thence northeastwards and following said southern boundary to the eastern boundary of the District, thence southwards and following said eastern boundary to Cannock Road, thence westwards along said road to a point opposite the western boundary of parcel number 8600 on Ordnance Survey 1:2500 plan SK 00/0109 Edition of 1962, thence northwards to and along said western boundary, the western boundary of parcel number 8400 on Ordnance Survey 1:2500 plan SK 00/0110 Edition of 1971, the western boundary of parcel number 0002, crossing the track and continuing northeastwards along the eastern boundaries

of parcel numbers 7552, 8069, 0085 and 0085 on Ordnance Survey 1:2500 plan SK 02/0310 Edition of 1960 to the track leading from the mine to Wimblebury Road, thence northwestwards along said track to the northern boundary of parcel number 0003 on Ordnance Survey 1:2500 plan SK 00/0111 Edition of 1971, thence northeastwards along said boundary and continuing along northern boundary of parcel number 0003 on Ordnance Survey 1:2500 plan SK 02/0311 to a point opposite the western boundary of parcel number 2043, thence to and along said western boundary and the western boundaries of parcel numbers 1566 and 1000 to the Footpath running from Wimblebury Farm to Littleworth Road, thence northwards along said footpath to the Dismantled Mineral Railway, thence southwestwards along said dismantled railway to the eastern boundary of Anglesey Ward, thence northwestwards and following said eastern boundary to the point of commencement.

PARKSIDE WARD

Commencing at a point where the western boundary of the District meets the southern boundary of Broomhill Ward, thence eastwards and following said southern boundary to the western boundary of Chadsmoor Ward, thence southeastwards and following said western boundary and the southern boundary of said ward to Cannock Road (B 5013), thence southwards along said road and continuing along Hednesford Road and Church Street to Ringway, thence southwards and following Ringway to Market Place, thence northwestwards and following Market Place, the northern carriageway of Market Place and Stafford Road to Park Road, thence westwards and following said road and Dartmouth Road to a point opposite the rear boundary of 2 Hatherton Road, thence northwestwards to and following along the rear boundaries of 2 to 30 Hatherton Road to Lloyd Street, thence northwards along said street to a point opposite the rear boundaries of 32 to 36 Hatherton Road, thence to and along said rear boundaries to the access road from Hatherton Road to the garages at the rear of 44 St James Road, thence southwards along said access road to a

point opposite the rear boundary of 38 Hatherton Road, thence to and along the rear boundaries of 38 to 46 Hatherton Road and 2 to 6 Gorsey Lane to the Path joining Gorsey Lane to St James Road, thence southwestwards along said path to a point opposite the rear boundary of 12 Gorsey Lane, thence to and along the rear boundaries of 12 to 42 Gorsey Lane, the western boundary of 42 Gorsey Lane and the southwestern boundary of 44 Gorsey Lane and in prolongation thereof to Oaks Drive, thence southwards along said drive to a point opposite the rear boundary of 46 Gorsey Lane, thence to and along the rear boundaries of 46 to 76 Gorsey Lane and the western boundary of 76 Gorsey Lane to Gorsey Lane, thence westwards along said Gorsey Lane, crossing Longford Road to and along Poplar Lane to a point opposite the rear boundary of 331 Longford Road, thence to and following along the rear boundary of 331 Longford Road, the southern boundary of 333 Longford Road and the rear boundaries of 337 to 158 Longford Road to the western boundary of the District, thence northeastwards and following said western boundary to the point of commencement.

LONGFORD WARD

Commencing at a point where the western boundary of the District meets the southern boundary of Parkside Ward, thence southwestwards and following said southern boundary to Avon Road, thence southwestwards and following said road to Wolverhampton Road, thence southwestwards and following said road to the southwestern boundary of the District, thence northwestwards and following the said southwestern boundary and the western boundary of the District to the point of commencement.

CANNOCK SOUTH WARD

Commencing at a point where the southwestern boundary of the District meets the southeastern boundary of Longford Ward, thence northwards and following said southeastern boundary, the eastern boundary of Parkside Ward and the southeastern boundary of Chadsmoor Ward to the western boundary of Anglesey Ward, thence south-eastwards along said western boundary to the proposed route of the distributor

road (on the line of the old Wyrley and Essington Canal), thence southwestwards along the proposed route to the southwestern boundary of the District, thence westwards and following the said southwestern boundary to the point of commencement.

HEATH HAYES WARD

Commencing at a point where the southeastern boundary of Anglesey Ward meets the western boundary of Rawsley Ward, thence northeastwards and following said western boundary to Cannock Road, thence westwards along said road to Hednesford Road, thence southeastwards along said road to Stoke's Lane, thence southwestwards along said lane to the Track leading from Stoke's Lane to Newlands Lane thence northwards and following said track to Newlands Lane, thence southwestwards along said lane to the Footpath known as Newlands Lane, which runs from Newlands Lane to a point opposite 248 Cannock Road, thence northwards along said Footpath (Newlands Lane) to Cannock Road, thence westwards along said road and Lichfield Road to a point opposite the eastern boundary of The Cottage, thence to and along the said eastern boundary and the southern boundaries of The Cottage and The Bungalow and in prolongation thereof to Leacroft Lane, thence northwards along said lane to Lichfield Road, thence westwards to the eastern boundary of Cannock South Ward, thence northeastwards and following the said eastern boundary and the southeastern boundary of Anglesey Ward to the point of commencement.

NORTON CANES WARD

Commencing at a point where the southwestern boundary of the District meets the eastern boundary of Cannock South Ward, thence northeastwards along said eastern boundary to the southern boundary of Heath Hayes Ward, thence eastwards and following said southern boundary and eastern boundary to the southern boundary of Rawsley Ward, thence southeastwards along said southern boundary to the

eastern boundary of the District, thence southwestwards and following said eastern boundary and the southern and southwestern boundaries of the District to the point of commencement.