The table lists all the wards we are proposing as part of our final recommendations along with the number of voters per city councillor. The table also shows the electoral variances for each of the proposed wards which tells you how we have delivered electoral equality.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ward name</th>
<th>No. of cilrs</th>
<th>Number of electors per councillor (2021)</th>
<th>Variance from average %</th>
<th>Ward name</th>
<th>No. of cilrs</th>
<th>Number of electors per councillor (2021)</th>
<th>Variance from average %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Acocks Green</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8,898</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>38 Nechells</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8,617</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Allens Cross</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8,039</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>39 Newtown</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7,764</td>
<td>-4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Alum Rock</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8,764</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>40 North Edgbaston</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7,952</td>
<td>-1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Ashton</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7,788</td>
<td>-3%</td>
<td>41 Northfield</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8,758</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Balsall Heath West</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8,118</td>
<td>-3%</td>
<td>42 Oscott</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7,929</td>
<td>-2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Bartley Green</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8,454</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>43 Perry Barr</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7,819</td>
<td>-3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Billesley</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7,653</td>
<td>-5%</td>
<td>44 Perry Common</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8,639</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Birchfield</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7,670</td>
<td>-5%</td>
<td>45 Pype Hayes</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7,772</td>
<td>-4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Bordesley &amp; Highgate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7,375</td>
<td>-8%</td>
<td>46 Quinton</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8,199</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Bordesley Green</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7,490</td>
<td>-7%</td>
<td>47 Rubery &amp; Rednal</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8,229</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Bournebrook &amp; Selly Park</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8,299</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>48 Shard End</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8,646</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Bourneville &amp; Cotteridge</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7,773</td>
<td>-4%</td>
<td>49 Sheldon</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7,818</td>
<td>-3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Brandwood &amp; King’s Heath</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7,927</td>
<td>-2%</td>
<td>50 Small Heath</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7,367</td>
<td>-8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 Bromford &amp; Hodge Hill</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7,590</td>
<td>-6%</td>
<td>51 Soho &amp; Jewellery Quarter</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7,495</td>
<td>-7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Castle Vale</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7,408</td>
<td>-8%</td>
<td>52 South Yardley</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7,792</td>
<td>-3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 Druids Heath &amp; Monyhull</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8,180</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>53 Sparkbrook &amp;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 Edgbaston</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7,667</td>
<td>-5%</td>
<td>54 Balsall Heath East</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8,265</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 Endington</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7,866</td>
<td>-1%</td>
<td>55 Stirchley</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7,752</td>
<td>-4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 Frankley Great Park</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8,657</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>56 Stockland Green</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8,739</td>
<td>-3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 Garrett’s Green</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7,384</td>
<td>-8%</td>
<td>57 Sutton Four Oaks</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8,117</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 Glebe Farm &amp; Tile Cross</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8,522</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>58 Sutton Mere Green</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8,526</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 Gravelly Hill</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7,335</td>
<td>-9%</td>
<td>59 Sutton Redditch</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8,365</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 Hall Green North</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8,524</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>60 Sutton Roughley</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8,735</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 Hall Green South</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8,519</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>61 Sutton Trinity</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8,651</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 Handsworth</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7,874</td>
<td>-2%</td>
<td>62 Sutton Vesey</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8,302</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 Handsworth Wood</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7,874</td>
<td>-2%</td>
<td>63 Sutton Walmley &amp; Minworth</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8,352</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 Harborne</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8,592</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>64 Sutton Wylyde Green</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8,070</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 Heartlands</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8,076</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>65 Tyseley &amp; Hay Mills</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7,854</td>
<td>-3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 Higher’s Heath</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8,493</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>66 Ward End</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8,607</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 Holyhead</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7,751</td>
<td>-4%</td>
<td>67 Wesley &amp; Selby Oak</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8,400</td>
<td>-4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 King’s Norton North</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8,293</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>68 Yardley East</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8,467</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32 King’s Norton South</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8,069</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>69 Yardley West &amp; Stechford</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8,070</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33 Kingstanding</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7,575</td>
<td>-6%</td>
<td>70 Totals</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>8,059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34 Ladywood</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7,694</td>
<td>-2%</td>
<td>71 Average</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 Longbridge &amp; West Heath</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7,880</td>
<td>-2%</td>
<td>72</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36 Loxells</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7,923</td>
<td>-2%</td>
<td>73</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37 Moseley</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8,461</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>74</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The table also shows the electoral variances for each of the proposed wards which tells you how we have delivered electoral equality.

What happens next?

We have now completed our review of Birmingham City Council.

The recommendations must now be approved by Parliament. A draft order - the legal document which brings into force our recommendations - will be laid in Parliament. Subject to parliamentary scrutiny, the new electoral arrangements will come into force at the local elections in 2018.

Stage of review          Description
21 Jul - 28 Sep 2015     Public consultation on new warding patterns
15 Dec 2015 - 8 Feb 2016 Public consultation on draft recommendations
10 May - 20 Jun 2016     Public consultation on further draft recommendations
6 Sep 2016               Public consultation on further draft recommendations
May 2018                 Subject to parliamentary approval - implementation of new arrangements at local elections

Our proposals

Birmingham Council currently has 120 councillors. Based on the evidence we received during previous phases of the review, the Commission recommends that 101 councillors should continue to serve the city in future.

Electoral arrangements

Our final recommendations propose that Birmingham’s 101 councillors should represent 32 two-member wards and 37 single-member wards across the city.

Why Birmingham?

The Commission decided to conduct its electoral review following publication of Lord Kerslake’s report on the governance and organisational capabilities of Birmingham City Council. The report recommended that an electoral review should be conducted ‘to help the council produce an effective model of representative government.’

What we are

The Local Government Boundary Commission for England is an independent body set up by Parliament. We are not part of government or any political party. We are accountable to Parliament through a committee of MPs chaired by the Speaker of the House of Commons.

Our main role is to carry out electoral reviews of local authorities throughout England.

Electoral review

An electoral review examines and proposes new electoral arrangements for a local authority. A local authority’s electoral arrangements are:

- The total number of councillors representing the council’s voters (‘councillor size’).
- The names, number and boundaries of wards or electoral divisions.
- The number of councillors representing each division or ward.

Who we are

The Local Government Boundary Commission for England is an independent body set up by Parliament. We are not part of government or any political party. We are accountable to Parliament through a committee of MPs chaired by the Speaker of the House of Commons.
Summary of our recommendations

In response to representations made to the Commission during consultation, the Commission has made changes to the proposals published in May. In Balsall Heath, local people told the Commission that its recommendations divided the centre of the community between wards. The final recommendations extend the boundary of the Balsall Heath West eastwards so that the centre of the community is contained within a single ward. The Commission has also renamed Sparkbrook ward as Sparkbrook & Balsall Heath East ward to reflect the identities of the communities within it.

The Commission received local representations that stated its proposals for a single-member Perry Beeches and Perry Hall wards artificially divided a cohesive community. The Commission has therefore merged the two wards to form a two-member Perry Barr ward.

In Erdington and its surrounding area, the Commission has reconfigured its pattern of wards in response to local evidence around community identities. The Short Heath community will be part of the Perry Common ward rather than Erdington and an area to the north of the A452 Chester Road is included in Erdington ward instead of Pype Hayes ward.

The Commission received representations on its proposals that parts of the Oscott community had been included in the Kingstanding ward and that an area that identified with the Kingstanding community had been included with Oscott ward. The Commission has amended the boundaries of the wards to reflect that evidence. Therefore, the area to the north of the B4149 King’s Road – up to Sutton Coldfield – is included in Oscott ward. The area around the southern part of the B4138 Kingstanding Road is included as part of Kingstanding ward.

The boundaries of the Stockland Green ward have also been amended in the west to include the area between Wilton Lakes and Wilton Cemetery and its eastern boundary has moved westwards so that it runs along the Birmingham to Sutton Coldfield railway line. The Commission was persuaded that the new configuration provided a better reflection of community identities and draws boundaries that are strong and locally recognised.

Local people and groups also made representations on the names of wards. The Commission has listened to those views and has amended ten ward names. The changes include the addition of the Druids Heath name so that the ward covering that area will be called Druids Heath & Monyhull.

An outline of the proposals is shown in the map to the right of this box. A detailed report on the recommendations and interactive mapping is available on our website at: www.lgbce.org.uk.

Overview of final recommendations for Birmingham City Council

View this map online and explore it in more detail at: www.consultation.lgbce.org.uk

Follow the Commission on Twitter: @LGBCE

If you are viewing this page online, click on the map to go straight to our interactive mapping area
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Map key:
1. Acocks Green
2. Allens Cross
3. Alum Rock
4. Aston
5. Balsall Heath West
6. Bartley Green
7. Billesley
8. Birchfield
9. Borodsey & Highgate
10. Borodsey Green
11. Bournbrook & Selly Park
12. Bournville & Cotteridge
13. Brandwood & King’s Heath
14. Bromford & Hodge Hill
15. Castle Vale
16. Druids Heath & Monyhull
17. Edgbaston
18. Erdington
19. Frankley Great Park
20. Garretts Green
21. Gravelly Hill
22. Gravelly Hill
23. Hall Green North
24. Hall Green South
25. Handsworth
26. Harborne
27. Heartlands
28. Highet’s Heath
29. Holyhead
30. King’s Norton North
31. King’s Norton South
32. Kingsland
33. Ladywood
34. Longbridge & West Heath
35. Lichfield
36. Moseley
37. Nechells
38. Newmoor
39. North Edgbaston
40. Northfield
41. Ossett
42. Perry Barr
43. Perry Common
44. Pype Hayes
45. Quinton
46. Rubery & Rednal
47. Shadd End
48. Sheldon
49. Small Heath
50. Soho & Jewellery Quarter
51. South Yardley
52. Sparkbrook & Balsall Heath East
53. Sparkhill
54. Stirchley
55. Stockland Green
56. Sutton Four Oaks
57. Sutton Mere Green
58. Sutton Reddich
59. Sutton Roughley
60. Sutton Trinity
61. Sutton Vesey
62. Sutton Walmley & Minworth
63. Sutton Wynde Green
64. Tysley & Hay Mills
65. Ward End
66. Weoley & Selly Oak
67. Yardley East
68. Yardley West & Stechford