

Kingsley, Paul

From: Mayers, Mishka on behalf of reviews
Sent: 07 June 2016 09:28
To: Kingsley, Paul
Subject: FW: Crawley further draft recommendations

From: Sue Herbert [REDACTED]
Sent: 06 June 2016 17:00
To: reviews <reviews@lgbce.org.uk>
Subject: FW: Crawley further draft recommendations

From: [REDACTED]
Subject: Crawley further draft recommendations
Date: Mon, 6 Jun 2016 16:58:35 +0100

I am a Crawley resident, living at [REDACTED], and I have just seen the current draft which I understand needs views by today.

I am really unhappy about these boundary changes which cut in half some distinct neighbourhoods, and do not respect natural or communication restrictions. I have lived in Crawley since 1977 raising two children here. Moving to Crawley was not my choice having grown up in Reigate, but my experience has been positive, and that has been partly due to the brilliant design in the original Commission plans, which created holistic neighbourhood communities, each with shopping parade, GP, Dentist, church, Primary school, and green spaces to play. That design has encouraged neighbourhoods with distinct characters to emerge, and encouraged good use of elected local councillors to engage with their communities on issues specific to them.

The "further draft recommendations" dissect Crawley to rubbish those natural communities. Some examples :

1. West Green connects with Southgate but is separated from Northgate entirely by the town centre and roads. Why?
2. Three Bridges, including formerly older housing and contained by the bypass, is to include a part of Maidenbower, much newer housing and totally separated from it by an impenetrable mainline railway
3. Langley Green, highly ethnically mixed, with temples and mosque, is to be joined with Ifield East which is largely rural
4. Southgate is divided from Gossops Green by a dual carriageway

Please go back to the original proposal which made sense of the geography of the town.

Sue Herbert