

**Hinds, Alex**

---

**From:** Mayers, Mishka on behalf of reviews  
**Sent:** 30 November 2015 18:22  
**To:** Hinds, Alex  
**Subject:** FW: Response to Boundary Changes in Cambridge

---

**From:** Neil Swan [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 30 November 2015 15:54  
**To:** reviews <reviews@lgbce.org.uk>  
**Subject:** Response to Boundary Changes in Cambridge

### **The South Acre, Latham and Chaucer Road Residents Association**

I am writing as Chairman of SOLACHRA in response to the revised proposal to change the boundaries within Cambridge.

We do not support the proposal to combine Trumpington and Queen Edith's Division and require them to be represented by two members, and we do not see what logic might lie behind it. We would prefer to retain two separate divisions represented by one member each.

There are strong arguments for keeping the divisions separate:-

1. While Trumpington and Queen Edith's are both attractive areas of the city, they do not sit happily together because Hills Road forms a natural border between them. As a result people in Trumpington know little of the issues affecting Queen Edith's and the reverse is probably also true.
2. Trumpington is growing fast and will have a great number of issues to contend with. It needs focus on its own particular problems.

We therefore object to the proposal and wish to retain a single member representing the interests of Trumpington.

Kind regards

Neil Swan

Chairman SOLACHRA