

From: Richard Heys [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 May 2017 22:37
To: reviews
Subject: Forest of Dean ward boundary consultation
Attachments: FoDDC RHeys Submission.docx; ForestofDean RHeys Submission170517.xlsx

Dear Sir / Madam

FOREST OF DEAN DISTRICT COUNCIL WARD BOUNDARY CONSULTATION


In reference to the above consultation, with the aim of meeting the Commission's stated aim that it is minded to recommend that the Forest of Dean District Council should have 39 councillors in future, with the commensurate requirement to re-draw the existing boundary, please find attached a submission made by myself in my personal capacity as a resident in this area. For ease, I attach a written submission and a copy of the spreadsheet made available at your website, which contains detail of the ward model I have proposed.

I hope this submission proves helpful. Please feel free to contact me if you wish any clarification or explanation.

Yours

Richard Heys

[REDACTED]

Wednesday 17th May 2017

Dear Sir/Madam

FOREST OF DEAN DISTRICT COUNCIL BOUNDARY CONSULTATION

As a resident in the Forest of Dean area¹, I wish to submit this as a proposal for your consideration in refreshing the boundaries in this area, and reducing from 48 to 39 councillors.

Principles

I have used the following principles to shape my proposal:

1. I fundamentally believe that democracy is best served when all elector's votes carry the same weight and all councillors are elected by a similar sized electorate. As Figure One illustrates this is broadly the case in the Forest of Dean at present, and in my proposal is a feature I have attempted to maintain.

Figure One: Current average electorate size for different sized wards

Number of councillors per ward	Number of wards of this design	Total councillors elected by wards of this design	Total electorate	Mean electorate per councillor
1	12	12	17,100	1,425
2	9	18	25,465	1,415
3	6	18	24,376	1,354

Source: Author's calculations from Igbce 'electoral figures' spreadsheet

To do this I have attempted to minimise both the average variance, as calculated in column 'n' & 'p' of the 'electoral figures' spreadsheet you have provided, when the number of councillors is set to 39, and the statistical variance of this variance, ensuring as few wards as possible have an absolute variance of 10% or more. Figure Two compares my results to those of the 48 councillor example in place.

¹ Whilst I am writing as an individual citizen, in my professional life I am Deputy Chief Economist at the Office for National Statistics. I am also a member of the Labour Party and stood as a candidate in the 2014 elections in the Surbiton Hill ward in the Royal Borough of Kingston upon Thames. This submission is my personal submission and is not necessarily representative of the views of the Forest of Dean Labour Party.

Figure Two: Current and proposed average variance and variance of variance measures

	Current Model		Proposal	
	2017	2023	2017	2023
Average variance	0.81%	-0.61%	1.74%	0.31%
Variance of the variance	0.66%	1.39%	0.64%	0.41%
Number of wards outside 10% variance threshold	4	9	3	2

Source: Author's calculations from Igbce 'electoral figures' spreadsheet

By 2023 my proposal gives more equally sized wards, with less variation in the deviation from the means, and fewer significant outliers.

- I have made the following assumption on average electors per councillor using your data, as shown in Figure 3.

Figure Three: Key electorate size assumptions

	Total Electorate	Average electorate per cllr:	Target electors per 1 councillor ward	Target electors per 2 councillor ward	Target electors per 3 councillor ward
2017	66,941	1,716	1,716	3,433	5,149
2023	73,509	1,885	1,885	3,770	5,655

Source: Author's calculations from Igbce 'electoral figures spreadsheet

- I have worked to ensure that all wards are contiguous, and as closely based on existing relationships between polling districts, either in terms of current district or parish boundaries in an attempt to maintain current community relationships. This has affected the relative mix of 1, 2, & 3 seat wards in the proposal, whilst ensuring the same voter parity as illustrated in Figure 1. This shown in Figure 4. The end result is a more significant reduction in one councillor wards, as I have not broken up existing 2 and 3 councillor wards if this maintains existing relationships or enables the ward to tend towards the target average electorate per councillor. The absolute number of electors in three councillor wards remains constant, with a slight increase in the number of electors in two member wards, and a commensurate reduction in electors in one member wards.

Figure Four: Proposed average electorate size for different sized wards (2017 and 2023)

Number of councillors per ward	Number of wards of this design	Total councillors elected by wards of this design	Total electorate 2017	Mean electorate per councillor 2017	Total electorate 2023	Mean electorate per councillor 2023
1	8	8	14,514	1,814	15,281	1,910
2	8	16	28,086	1,755	30,076	1,880
3	5	15	24,341	1,623	28,152	1,877

Source: Author's calculations from Igbce 'electoral figures spreadsheet'

4. I have tried to keep the number of polling districts to a minimum in each ward.
5. I have not assumed any sub-division of polling districts, although the indicated expected growth in Lydney East (district ON) is suggestive of a need to review this.
6. I have attempted to 'future-proof' the proposal by prioritising the application of these principles in 2023 over 2017.

Proposal

My proposal is for a total of twenty wards returning 39 councillors, as opposed to the current 27 which return 48. The average number of councillors per ward has therefore slightly increased from 1.78 to 1.95 per ward.

Using the data provided in the 'electoral figures' spreadsheet the headline characteristics of the twenty wards is as follows.

Figure Five: Proposed wards

Name of ward	Number of cllrs per ward	Electorate 2017	Variance 2017	Electorate 2023	Variance 2023
Alvington, St Briavels & Hewelsfield	1	1,970	15%	2,074	10%
Awre	1	1,819	6%	1,893	0%
Blaisdon, Longhope, Tibberton, Churcham & Huntley	2	3,428	0%	3,699	-2%
Bream & Newland	2	3,758	9%	3,987	6%
Christchurch & English Bicknor	2	3,434	0%	3,675	-3%
Cinderford East	2	3,475	1%	3,561	-6%
Cinderford West	2	3,179	-7%	3,654	-3%
Coleford East	3	5,241	2%	5,426	-4%
Coleford West	2	3,349	-2%	3,680	-2%
Dymock	1	1,650	-4%	1,736	-8%
Hartpur	1	1,888	10%	1,956	4%
Lydbrook & Ruardean	1	1,863	9%	1,938	3%

Lydney East	3	4,212	-18%	6,308	12%
Lydney West & Aylburton	2	3,915	14%	4,063	8%
Mitcheldean & Drybrook	3	4,677	-9%	4,943	-13%
Newent & Taynton	3	4,939	-4%	5,508	-3%
Newnham, Westbury, & Littledean	2	3,548	3%	3,757	0%
Pillowell	1	1,855	8%	1,924	2%
Redmarley & Bromsberrow	1	1,619	-6%	1,702	-10%
Ruspidge	1	1,850	8%	2,059	9%
Tidenham	3	5,272	2%	5,966	6%
Total	39	66,941		73,509	

Source: Author's calculations from Igbce 'electoral figures' spreadsheet

Taking each of these in turn in the following table I break down the primary rationales for this proposal in figure six below.

Conclusions

This submission aims to achieve a balance between keeping wards the same, whilst achieving the reduction in the number of councillors required, whilst ensuring geographical linkages are maintained, or in the case of Newent re-established, whilst delivering as close a parity of equivalent numbers of voters per councillor as possible. Whilst no mapping can be perfect, without the re-drawing of electoral district boundaries, I believe this submission lays out a strong case, keeping a similar distribution of one, two and three councillor wards to that currently in place. I believe it would deliver a viable base for mapping of wards to 2023 and potentially beyond. I have also attempted to present some of the key options available to you in figure six above.

I hope you find this submission useful in your deliberations.

Yours Sincerely



Richard Heys MA MSc

Figure Six: Detailed proposal

Proposed ward	Composing electoral districts	Key rationale
Alvington, St Briavels & Hewelsfield	NA (Alvington), OC (Hewelsfield), PF (St Briavels Div 1), PG (St Briavels Div 2)	Squeezed between Tidenham and the Lydney wards, this balances size considerations with the common secondary school (Wyedean) catchment area, and keeps the numbers of electoral districts down to four.
Awre	NC (Blakeney), NCA (Awre), PBY (Ruspidge Div 2 Soudley)	Keeps Awre parish council area integrated, but splits Soudley from Ruspidge to balance the size of the two single councillor wards (Awre and Ruspidge). If it was desired to not split Ruspidge Parish Council these two wards could be combined into a single two councillor ward which would deliver very low variances from electoral averages.
Blaisdon, Longhope, Tibberton, Churcham & Huntley	NE (Blaisdon), OI (Longhope), PI (Tibberton), NH (Churcham) & OE (Huntley)	This is an amalgamation of the old Blaisdon & Longhope, and Churcham & Huntley seats with the addition of Tibberton in the north to achieve a two seat ward which almost precisely maps to the required size.
Bream and Newland	OT (Newland Div 1 – Clearwell), PP (Bream), & PUA (Pillowell Div 2 Whitecroft)	This seat brings together three districts which were previously in different wards. Whilst it is regrettable to split Whitecroft from the rest of the Pillowell Parish, the population sizes leave no solution where Pillowell is viable as a ward in its own right. Equally the Newland split is a result of there being no way to leave them together which compiles with the size targets.
Christchurch and English Bicknor	NY (English Bicknor), OK (Lydbrook Div 1), PS (Berry Hill), & PSA (Christchurch)	This merges the old Berry Hill and Christchurch and English Bicknor seats into a two councillor ward, with the addition of part of Lydbrook to achieve the size objectives, and the loss of Staunton (Coleford) to the new proposed Coleford West.
Cinderford East	NIXA (Cinderford West Div 2), NIXB (Cinderford East Div 1), NIZ (Cinderford East Div 2)	This brings together the three densely populated districts in the eastern part of Cinderford into a two councillor ward
Cinderford West	NIX, (Cinderford West Div 1), NIXC, (Cinderford West Div 3), NIY (Cinderford North)	This brings together the three less densely populated districts in the western part of Cinderford into a two councillor ward.
Coleford East	NJA (Coleford East Div 1), NJB (Coleford East Div 2), NJC (Coleford East Div 3), PQ (Ellwood & Sling)	This retains the old Coleford East seat with the addition of Ellwood and Sling from the old St Briavels and Newland ward, to achieve the required electorate size, recognising Ellwood & Sling is far closer to Coleford than the large dispersed old St Briavels and Newlands ward.

Coleford West	NJ (Coleford Central), OU (Newland Div 2 – Redbrook), PD (Staunton (Coleford))	This brings three districts together which were previously in separate wards to achieve a viable two councillor ward in terms of electorate size. It unites Staunton (Coleford) with Coleford Central.
Dymock	NQ (Dymock), NX (Gorsley & Kilcot), OF (Kempley), & OX (Oxenhall)	This unites four predominantly rural districts in the north-west of the area, allowing Newent NE to be brought back together with the rest of Newent to deliver Newent and Taynton as a viable three councillor ward.
Hartpury	NK (Corse), OA (Hartpury), PB (Rudford & High Leadon), & PN (Upleadon)	This is the old Hartpury ward with the addition of Rudford and High Leadon, which was formerly in the now defunct Tibberton ward, which has been broken into its three constituent districts, each of which has been added to another ward.
Lydbrook & Ruardean	OL (Lydbrook Div 2 – Joys Green), PA (Ruardean)	This is a geographical small ward on the western edge of the area. It is predominantly made up of Ruardean and a part of Lydbrook, both of which were part of the same ward previously, with other districts now forming part of Mitcheldean & Drybrook for reasons of size, whilst maintaining existing community links as far as possible.
Lydney East	ON (Lydney East)	Lydney East is the most problematic ward because of the rapid, large-scale expected population growth. It has been set aside as a one district three councillor ward to permit this growth. It starts as a smaller than average ward in terms of electors per councillor, but ends up as larger than average in these terms by 2023. There is a case to be made that this ward should be split and the boundary with Lydney West & Aylburton reconsidered to permit both these wards to have three councillors, possibly with the addition of Alvington (NA) district to Lydney West & Aylburton, which would allow the proposed Alvington, St Briavels & Hewelsfield ward to become a slightly smaller than average two seat ward, rather than a slightly larger than average two seat ward, as is currently modelled.
Lydney West & Aylburton	ND (Aylburton), OM (Lydney North), OO (Lydney West), PX (Pillowell Div 5 – Viney Hill)	This ward comprises the remaining parts of Lydney and surrounding areas excluding Lydney East (see above) to achieve size objectives and retain as many community links as possible.
Mitcheldean & Drybrook	NM (Drybrook village), NN (Ruardean Hill Div 1), NO (Ruardean Hill Div 2), OR (Mitcheldean North), & ORA (Mitcheldean South)	This three councillor ward adds Ruardean to the existing Mitcheldean & Drybrook ward to achieve size objectives, although this would remain a relatively small three councillor ward.
Newent & Taynton	OS (Newent Central), OSA (Newent North East), OSB	Presently the three Newent districts are divided into three separate wards. This proposal achieves the significant improvement of delivering a single ward covering Newent, plus

	(Newent South West), PHA (Taynton)	Taynton to achieve size objectives in a dedicated three councillor ward for this important town.
Newnham, Westbury & Littledean	OG (Littledean), OV (Newnham), & PO (Westbury-on-Severn)	This two councillor ward covers three districts delivering size objectives through the addition of Littledean to the existing Newnham and Westbury ward
Pillowell	PU (Pillowell Div 1), PV (Pillowell Div 3), PW (Pillowell Div 4)	This ward covers the Pillowell Parish Council area excluding two districts for size reasons. Adding these back in would not deliver a ward large enough to merit two councillors. Adding back only Viney Hill would help Aylburton & Lydney NW to be more appropriate size, but would leave Pillowell too large (by over 20%) for a one councillor ward, and far too small for a two councillor ward.
Redmarley and Bromsberrow	NF (Bromsberrow), OY (Pauntley), OZ (Redmarley), PE (Staunton Gloucester),	This is the old Redmarley ward, with the addition of Bromsberrow for size objective.
Ruspidge	PBX (Ruspidge Div 1)	Ruspidge Div 1 delivers a well size single councillor ward, allowing sensible geographical and population sized wards to be drawn up around it. If it was desired to not split Ruspidge Parish Council, Ruspidge and Awre could be combined into a single two councillor ward which would deliver very low variances from electoral averages.
Tidenham	PJ (Tidenham Div 1), PK (Tidenham Div 2), PY (Woolaston)	This is the old Tidenham ward, plus Woolaston for size reasons to deliver a three councillor ward covering the southern part of the district council areas along the A48.

Electoral data - current electorate as at 1 January 2017 and predicted electorate for 2023

Forest of Dean District Council

Using this sheet:

Fill in the cells for each polling district. Please make sure that the names of each parish, parish ward and borough ward are correct and consistent. Check your data in the cells to the right.

Scroll right to see the second table

What is the polling district code?	Is there any other description you use for this area?	Is this polling district contained in a parish? If not, leave this cell blank.	Is this polling district contained in a parish ward? If not, leave this cell blank.	Is this polling district contained in a group of parishes with a joint parish council? If not, leave this cell blank.	What ward is this polling district in?	What is the current electorate?	What is the predicted electorate?
EX1	Example 1	Little Example	Even Littlef	Little and Even Littlef	Example	480	502
EX2	Example 2	Example	Example	Little and Even Littlef	Example	67	68
EX3	Example 3	Medium Example			Example	893	897
EX4	Example 4	Big Example	Big Example East		Example	759	780
EX5	Example 5	Big Example	Big Example West		Example	803	824

Polling district	Description of area	Parish	Parish ward	Grouped parish council	Existing ward	Electorate 01/01/2017	Electorate 2023
NA	Alvington	Alvington			Alvington, St Briavels & Hewelsf	520	543
NC	Blakeney	Awre			Awre	1206	1255
NCA	Awre	Awre			Awre	174	185
ND	Aylburton	Aylburton			Lydney West & Aylburton	571	592
NE	Blaisdon	Blaisdon			Blaisdon, Longhope, Tibberton,	202	223
NF	Bromsberrow	Bromsberrow			Redmarley & Bromsberrow	355	368
NH	Churcham	Churcham			Blaisdon, Longhope, Tibberton,	556	601
NIX	Cinderford West Div 1	Cinderford	Cinderford West		Cinderford West	1337	1375
NIXA	Cinderford West Div 2	Cinderford	Cinderford West		Cinderford East	825	858
NIXB	Cinderford East Div 1	Cinderford	Cinderford East		Cinderford East	1060	1079
NIXC	Cinderford West Div 3	Cinderford	Cinderford West		Cinderford West	1618	1687
NIY	Cinderford North	Cinderford	Cinderford North		Cinderford West	224	592
NIZ	Cinderford East Div 2	Cinderford	Cinderford East		Cinderford East	1590	1624
NJ	Coleford Central	Coleford	Coleford Central		Coleford West	2819	3120
NJA	Coleford East Div 1	Coleford	Coleford East		Coleford East	2632	2711
NJB	Coleford East Div 2	Coleford	Coleford East		Coleford East	1061	1108
NJC	Coleford East Div 3	Coleford	Coleford East		Coleford East	635	654
NK	Corse	Corse			Hartpur	476	498
NM	Drybrook Village	Drybrook	Drybrook Village		Mitcheldean & Drybrook	1428	1534
NN	Ruardean Hill Div 1 - Ruardean	Drybrook	Drybrook Ruardean Hill		Mitcheldean & Drybrook	521	539
NO	Ruardean Hill Div 2 - Ruardean	Drybrook	Drybrook Ruardean Hill		Mitcheldean & Drybrook	499	516
NQ	Dymock	Dymock			Dymock	993	1040
NY	English Bicknor	English Bicknor			Christchurch & English Bicknor	342	356
NX	Gorsley & Kilcot	Gorsley & Kilcot			Dymock	235	247
OA	Hartpur	Hartpur			Hartpur	676	687
OC	Hewelsfield	Hewelsfield & Brockweir			Alvington, St Briavels & Hewelsf	394	411
OE	Huntley	Huntley			Blaisdon, Longhope, Tibberton,	906	956
OF	Kempley	Kempley			Dymock	237	252
OG	Littledean	Littledean			Newnham, Westbury, & Littlede:	1004	1085
OI	Longhope	Longhope			Blaisdon, Longhope, Tibberton,	1266	1396
OK	Lydbrook Div 1	Lydbrook			Christchurch & English Bicknor	1060	1152
OL	Lydbrook Div 2 - Joys Green	Lydbrook			Lydbrook & Ruardean	718	746
OM	Lydney North	Lydney	Lydney North		Lydney West & Aylburton	1512	1569
ON	Lydney East	Lydney	Lydney East		Lydney East	4212	6308
OO	Lydney West	Lydney	Lydney West		Lydney West & Aylburton	1421	1479
OR	Mitcheldean North	Mitcheldean	Mitcheldean North		Mitcheldean & Drybrook	1975	2094
ORA	Mitcheldean South	Mitcheldean	Mitcheldean South		Mitcheldean & Drybrook	254	260
OS	Newent Central	Newent	Newent Central		Newent & Taynton	3350	3789
OSA	Newent North East	Newent	Newent North East		Newent & Taynton	905	981
OSB	Newent South West	Newent	Newent South West		Newent & Taynton	313	338
OT	Newland Div 1 - Clearwell	Newland			Bream & Newland	523	543
OU	Newland Div 2 - Redbrook	Newland			Coleford West	304	314
OV	Newnham	Newnham			Newnham, Westbury, & Littlede:	1094	1147
OX	Oxenhall				Dymock	185	197
OY	Pauntley	Pauntley			Hartpur	268	281
OZ	Redmarley	Redmarley			Redmarley & Bromsberrow	637	683
PA	Ruardean	Ruardean			Lydbrook & Ruardean	1145	1192
PB	Rudford & Highleadon	Rudford & Highleadon			Hartpur	230	247
PBX	Ruspidge Div 1 - Ruspidge	Ruspidge	Ruspidge & SoudkRuspidge & Soudley West		Ruspidge	1850	2059
PBY	Ruspidge Div 2 - Soudley	Ruspidge	Ruspidge & SoudkRuspidge & Soudley East		Awre	439	453
PD	Staunton (Coleford)	Staunton Coleford			Coleford West	226	245
PE	Staunton Gloucester	Staunton Gloucester			Redmarley & Bromsberrow	627	651
PF	St Briavels Div 1 - St Briavels	St Briavels			Alvington, St Briavels & Hewelsf	966	1027
PG	St Briavels Div 2 - Brockweir	St Briavels			Alvington, St Briavels & Hewelsf	90	93
PHA	Taynton	Taynton			Newent & Taynton	371	401
PI	Tibberton	Tibberton			Blaisdon, Longhope, Tibberton,	498	522
PJ	Tidenham Div 1	Tidenham			Tidenham	2447	2739
PK	Tidenham Div 2	Tidenham			Tidenham	1785	2143
PN	Upleadon	Hartpur			Hartpur	238	243
PO	Westbury-on-Severn	Westbury-on-Severn			Newnham, Westbury, & Littlede:	1450	1525
PP	Bream	West Dean	Bream		Bream & Newland	2547	2732
PQ	Ellwood & Sling	West Dean	Ellwood & Sling		Coleford East	913	953
PS	Berry Hill	West Dean	Berry Hill		Christchurch & English Bicknor	1295	1370
PSA	Christchurch	West Dean	Christchurch		Christchurch & English Bicknor	737	797
PU	Pillowell Div 1 - Pillowell	West Dean	Pillowell		Pillowell	302	322
PUA	Pillowell Div 2 - Whitecroft	West Dean	Pillowell		Bream & Newland	688	713

Proposed wards

Check your data	2017	2023
Number of councillors:	39	39
Overall electorate:	66,941	73,509
Average electorate per cllr:	1,716	1,885

Fill in the name of each ward once	Fill in the number of councillors per ward	These cells will show you the electorate and variance. They change depending what you enter in the table to the left.
------------------------------------	--	---

Name of ward	Number of cllrs per ward	Electorate 2017	Variance 2017	Electorate 2023	Variance 2023
--------------	--------------------------	-----------------	---------------	-----------------	---------------

268					
Lydney West & Aylburton	2	3,915	14%	4,063	8%
Awre	1	1,819	6%	1,893	0%
Ruspidge	1	1,850	8%	2,059	9%
Blaisdon, Longhope, Tibberton, Churcham & Huntley	2	3,428	0%	3,699	-2%
Bream & Newland	2	3,758	9%	3,987	6%
Dymock	1	1,650	-4%	1,736	-8%
Christchurch & English Bicknor	2	3,434	0%	3,675	-3%
Cinderford East	2	3,475	1%	3,561	-6%
Cinderford West	2	3,179	-7%	3,654	-3%
Coleford East	2	3,349	-2%	3,680	-2%
Coleford West	3	5,241	2%	5,426	-4%
Hartpur	1	1,888	10%	1,956	4%
Mitcheldean & Drybrook	3	4,677	-9%	4,943	-13%
Lydbrook & Ruardean	1	1,863	9%	1,938	3%
Lydney East	3	4,212	-18%	6,308	12%
Newent & Taynton	3	4,939	-4%	5,508	-3%
Newnham, Westbury, & Littledean	2	3,548	3%	3,757	0%
Pillowell	1	1,855	8%	1,924	2%
Tidenham	3	5,272	2%	5,966	6%
Alvington, St Briavels & Hewelsfield	1	1,970	15%	2,074	10%
Redmarley & Bromsberrow	1	1,619	-6%	1,702	-10%
		66,941	1.74%	73,509	0.31%
			0.64%		0.41%

Current wards

Check your data	2017	2023
Number of councillors:	48	48
Overall electorate:	131,316	-51
Average electorate per cllr:	2,736	-1

Fill in the name of each ward once	Fill in the number of councillors per ward	These cells will show you the electorate and variance. They change depending what you enter in the table to the left.
------------------------------------	--	---

Name of ward	Number of cllrs per ward	Electorate 2017	Variance 2017	Electorate 2023	Variance 2023
--------------	--------------------------	-----------------	---------------	-----------------	---------------

Alvington, Aylburton & West I	2	2,512	-10%	2,615	-15%
Awre	1	1,380	-1%	1,440	-6%
Berry Hill	1	1,295	-7%	1,370	-11%
Blaisdon & Longhope	1	1,468	5%	1,619	6%
Bream	2	2,547	-9%	2,732	-11%
Bromsberrow & Dymock	1	1,585	14%	1,660	8%
Christchurch & English Bicknor	1	1,305	-6%	1,399	-9%
Churcham & Huntley	1	1,462	5%	1,557	2%
Cinderford East	2	2,650	-5%	2,703	-12%
Cinderford West	3	4,004	-4%	4,512	-2%
Coleford Central	2	2,819	1%	3,120	2%
Coleford East	3	4,328	3%	4,474	-3%
Hartpur	1	1,390	0%	1,428	-7%
Hewelsfield & Woolaston	1	1,434	3%	1,495	-2%
Littledean & Ruspidge	2	3,293	18%	3,596	17%
Lydbrook & Ruardean	3	3,943	-6%	4,145	-10%
Lydney East	3	4,212	1%	6,308	37%
Lydney North	1	1,512	8%	1,569	2%
Mitcheldean & Drybrook	3	3,657	-13%	3,888	-15%
Newent Central	2	3,350	20%	3,789	24%
Newland & St Briavels	2	2,796	0%	2,929	-4%
Newnham & Westbury	2	2,544	-9%	2,673	-13%
Oxenhall & Newent North Eas	1	1,325	-5%	1,424	-7%
Pillowell	2	2,954	6%	3,060	0%
Redmarley	1	1,532	10%	1,615	5%
Tibberton	1	1,412	1%	1,508	-2%
Tidenham	3	4,232	1%	4,882	6%
			0.81%		-0.61%
			0.66%		1.39%

Scroll left to see the first table

PV	Pillowell Div 3 - Parkend	West Dean	Pillowell	Pillowell	476	490
PW	Pillowell Div 4 - Yorkley	West Dean	Pillowell	Pillowell	1077	1111
PX	Pillowell Div 5 - Viney Hill	West Dean	Pillowell	Lydney West & Aylburton	411	423
PY	Woolaston	Woolaston		Tidenham	1040	1084

|