

Starkie, Emily

From: Mayers, Mishka on behalf of reviews
Sent: 25 July 2016 08:11
To: Starkie, Emily
Subject: FW: ELECTORAL REVIEW OF SOUTH CAMBRIDGESHIRE: DRAFT RECOMMENDATIONS

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

From: Stephen Bartlett - Mindworks [REDACTED]
Sent: 24 July 2016 16:34
To: reviews <reviews@lgbce.org.uk>
Subject: FW: ELECTORAL REVIEW OF SOUTH CAMBRIDGESHIRE: DRAFT RECOMMENDATIONS

The Review Officer (South Cambridgeshire)
Local Government Boundary Commission for England
14th Floor Millbank Tower
Millbank
London
SW1P 4QP

Dear Sir

Review of Electoral Arrangements for South Cambridgeshire District Council

We object strongly to your proposed three member Fen Ditton and Fulbourn Ward on the grounds that you have not taken account of its geographical size and dispersion of the eight villages contained within it which will make it impossible to reflect community interests and identities whilst creating a ward which is 7% above the norm.

You appear to have failed to appreciate the close working relationship between members and parish councils/communities in rural areas and the travelling time involved. Your proposal will therefore lead to less effective governance for us all.

We therefore seek that you reconsider your proposal as regards to the parish councils of Fen Ditton, Fulbourn, Great Wilbraham, Horningsea, Little Wilbraham & Six Mile Bottom, Stow cum Quy and Teversham. With regard to Great Wilbraham in particular, we would request that we remain in Ward with a single District Councillor because the council is of the opinion that will provide us with the most effective representation.

Yours faithfully

Great Wilbraham PC Chairman