
From: brenda gray [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 July 2016 23:15
To: reviews <reviews@lgbce.org.uk>
Subject: Boundary Review for South Lakeland District Council

Please find attached a response to the consultation on the boundary review for South Lakeland.

Regards
BrendaGray
Cllr Kendal Oxenholme and Natland

LGBCE Recommendations for South Lakeland 2018

Levens and Stonecross

Natland. I agree that Natland with other rural villages is in an improved place in Levens and Stonecross..

BUT

Natland ideally would be accommodated in Burton and Crooklands with its neighbouring villages. This move would keep the variance within the limits.

Hincaster

Hincaster should be moved **from** Burton and Crooklands **to** Levens and Stonecross Hincaster shares facilities with its neighbour, Heversham (church, schools and village hall) and is in the later stages of adopting a joint Neighbourhood Plan. This move would favourably affect the variances.

Stonecross

Stonecross should not be detached from Kendal. Kendal is a parish in its own right and should not be split or additions tacked on. The community is different and its needs are different.

SLDC BOUNDARY CHANGE RECOMMENDATIONS. 2018

It is fundamental that communities matter more than numbers. Natland is a village community. Kendal is an urban community.

Amendments suggested to facilitate Natland's wish to be grouped with other villages and within the South Westmorland Local Area Partnership.

REFER TO:

Section P: Remove Natland new total 4758 entirely within Kendal -11%

If necessary the -11% could be increased by a minor amendment within Kendal's boundary and naturally between now and 2025...

Section J: Remove Cartmel Fell and Crosthwaite (-277 and -546 = -823)

Section J: Add Natland new total 5756 7.6%

Section I: Add Crosthwaite new total 5672 6%

Section H Add Cartmel Fell new total 5657 5.76%

Cllr.BrendaGray Kendal Oxenholme and Natland.

