

Local Government
Boundary Commission
For England
Report No. 469

LOCAL GOVERNMENT

BOUNDARY COMMISSION

FOR ENGLAND

REPORT NO. 469

LOCAL GOVERNMENT BOUNDARY COMMISSION FOR ENGLAND

CHAIRMAN - Mr G J Ellerton CMG MBE

MEMBERS - Lady Ackner

- Mr Tyrrell Brockbank DL

- Professor G E Cherry

- Mr D P Harrison

THE RT. HON. PATRICK JENKIN MP
SECRETARY OF STATE FOR THE ENVIRONMENT

1. In accordance with the responsibilities imposed by section 48(8) of the Local Government Act 1972, Malvern Hills District Council conducted a parish review and reported to us on 27 April 1981. The District Council's report, with supporting documents, is enclosed. It recommends alterations to the boundaries of 30 of the 107 parishes in their area, the creation of a new parish in part of the unparished area of the district, the constitution of three new parishes by the amalgamation of six existing parishes, and a request for two parishes to be grouped under one parish council. It should be noted, however, that recommendation 7b in the summary of recommendations contained at section 2 of the District Council's report is incorrect. An examination of the mapping provided by the local authority shows that recommendation 7b should read "realign Knightwick/Whitbourne boundary".

2. We considered the Borough Council's report and associated comments in accordance with the requirements of section 48(9) of the Act, together with the enclosed representations which were received direct and are listed in the attached Schedule A. We are satisfied that the review was carried out in accordance with the requirements of section 60 of the Act.

3. The Ordnance Survey have suggested certain minor adjustments to boundary lines for technical reasons: these have been agreed by the District Council. They are illustrated in map extracts which are being sent to you separately.

Our preliminary conclusions

4. As a result of our initial consideration we were disposed, bearing in mind the guidelines contained in Department of the Environment Circular 121/77 and our own Report No. 286, to adopt most of the proposals for parish boundary changes recommended by the Borough Council as apt for securing effective and convenient local government; however, we had reservations about the Council's decision to recommend the creation of only one new parish in the unparished area of the district and about the Council's recommendation for the grouping of the parishes of Great Witley and Hillhampton.

Great Witley and Hillhampton

5. In considering the recommendation for the grouping of the parishes of Great Witley and Hillhampton we noted that (a) grouping is normally a matter for the district council acting with the consent of the parish meetings concerned, and (b) the Hillhampton Parish meeting had not given their consent. In the circumstances we decided we could not endorse this particular recommendation.

Ledbury Rural and Ledbury Town

6. Included in the proposal is a recommendation that Ledbury Rural and Ledbury Town Parishes should be amalgamated. The two parishes concerned had agreed this and the District Council in endorsing the suggestion intended that the new parish should be called the parish of Ledbury. The necessary recommendation to this effect was not included in the formal proposals however, but the Commission are satisfied that the name is appropriate and should be adopted.

The unparished area

7. The District Council's review report contained a recommendation for the constitution of one new parish in the unparished area of the borough. We noted that, in the course of examining whether there was any justification for parishes in this area, the District Council had decided to test local support by means of a referendum in two areas only, and that in regard to those two areas had suggested parishes would be proposed only where more than 40% of the affected electorate expressed themselves in favour. We were concerned that the adoption by the Council of this 40% requirement - which of course had no basis, either statutorily or in the Commission's guidelines - might not have allowed for the proper reflection of local opinion. We decided therefore that we should exercise the powers conferred on us by section 48(9) of the 1972 Act and review the whole of the unparished area of the present district ourselves, for the purpose of considering whether or not it would be desirable in the interests of effective and convenient local government for us to make proposals for parishes in all or any part of that area.

8. On 20 August 1982 we issued a consultation letter announcing the start of the review. The letter was addressed to Malvern Hills District Council; copies were sent to Hereford and Worcestershire County Council, all parishes within the district, the Members of Parliament for the constituencies concerned, the headquarters of the main political parties, the Hereford and Worcestershire County Association of Parish and Town Councils, the editors both of local newspapers circulating in the area and of the local government press, the local radio station serving the area and the Hereford and Worcestershire Association for Neighbourhood Councils. The letter also announced that a public meeting would be held at which local opinion could be fully tested. The start of the review was also announced by notices in the local press and views were invited from members of the public and interested bodies.

9. Arrangements were subsequently made for the local meeting to be held on 27 October 1982 at the Trinity Parish Hall, Link Top, Malvern. In accordance with section 65(2) of the 1972 Act, at our request Mr C. V. Lucas was appointed an Assistant Commissioner to hold the meeting and report to us. Mr Lucas was asked to establish the justification, if any, and support, for the creation of a new parish or parishes in the unparished area, and to make appropriate recommendations. A copy of his report is attached at Schedule 5 to this report.

10. After the announcement of the date of the meeting seven letters were received - from the County Council, the Malvern Hills branch of the Social Democratic Party, a district and county councillor, the Hereford and Worcestershire County Association of Local Councils, the Malvern Wells Electors and Ratepayers Association, and two local residents. Of these, all supported the constitution of a parish in Malvern Wells; all but one were in favour of a new parish of West Malvern, while only two supported the constitution of new parishes in any other part of the unparished area.

11. In the light of the discussion at the meeting, the Assistant Commissioner came to the firm conclusion that two new parishes should be created, based on the unparished part of Wells district ward and on polling district No 2 of West district ward.

12. We accepted the Assistant Commissioner's recommendation and on 30 June 1983 published draft proposals for two new parishes and for consequential amendments to the electoral arrangements for the district.

13. We received four comments following the publication of our draft proposals. The District Council and Hereford and Worcestershire County Council both supported the creation of the new parishes, but the District Council opposed the inclusion of part of the existing parish of Mathon in the proposed new parish of Malvern West. The District Council also recommended that the proposed new parish should be called "West Malvern", as the area had always been known by that name. Mathon Parish Council and a Mathon resident did not comment on the principle of the proposed new parishes, but objected to the inclusion of part of Mathon in the proposed parish of Malvern West.

14. We considered the objections carefully. It appeared to us, however, that the residents affected were to all intents and purposes effectively a part of the West Malvern community, and that it would be conducive to effective and convenient local government to include them in the proposed new parish. We have decided, therefore, to confirm our draft proposals as our final proposals, subject to the adoption of the name "West Malvern" for the proposed Malvern West parish.

PROPOSALS

15. We accordingly make to you the proposals recommended by Malvern Hills District Council (with the exception of those concerning the grouping of the parishes of Great Witley and Hillhampton and the creation of a parish in the unparished area), together with our own final proposals for two new parishes of West Malvern and Malvern Wells, including the consequential adjustments to electoral arrangements mentioned in paragraph 11 above. Full details of our proposals are set out in the Schedules to this report and illustrated by sketch maps.

PUBLICATION

16. A letter is being sent with copies of this report (excluding enclosures) to Malvern Hills District Council, asking them, in accordance with section 60(5)(b) of the Local Government Act 1972, to place the copies on deposit at their main offices and to put notices to this effect on public notice boards and in the local press. The text of the notices will refer to your power to make an order implementing the proposals, if you think fit, after the expiry of six weeks from the date they are submitted to you; it will suggest that any comments on the Commission's report and proposals should be addressed to you, in writing, preferably within six weeks of the date of the letter. Copies of this report are also being sent to those who received the consultation letter and to those who made comments.

L.S.

Signed:

G J ELLERTON (Chairman)

JOAN ACKNER

TYRRELL BROCKBANK

G E CHERRY

D P HARRISON

L B GRIMSHAW
Secretary

16 February 1984

REPRESENTATIONS RECEIVED DIRECT BY THE COMMISSION

Great Witley Parish Council

Hereford and Worcester County Council

LOCAL GOVERNMENT BOUNDARY COMMISSION FOR ENGLAND
MALVERN HILLS DISTRICT - CIVIL PARISH REVIEW

DRAFT PROPOSALS

NOTE: Where a boundary is described as following a road, railway, river, canal or similar feature, it shall be deemed to follow the centre line of the feature, unless otherwise stated.

the District Wards referred to in this description are those defined in the District of Malvern Hills (Electoral Arrangements) Order 1976.

SCHEDULE 1

Creation of new Civil Parishes.

Proposal 1 : MALVERN WELLS CP

It is proposed that a new Civil Parish, to be known as Malvern Wells shall be created and will comprise the areas described below:

Area 1a: that part of the Wells Ward of Malvern Hills District bounded by a line commencing at the point where the northern boundary of Little Malvern CP meets the western boundary of Wells Ward, thence northwards along said Ward boundary to the northern boundary of said Ward, thence eastwards along said northern boundary to Wells Road, thence southwards along said road and southeastwards along the Track and path leading to Peachfield Road to NG ref: 7755144515 as shown on OS 1:2500 Microfilm (A1) SO 7744, date of publication Mar 1978, thence due east to and eastwards along the northern boundary of Malvern Common to NG ref: 7790344483, thence due east to the northeastern boundary of Wells Ward, thence southwards and eastwards along said Ward boundary to the eastern boundary of said Ward, thence southwards and southwestwards along said eastern boundary to the northern boundary of Little Malvern CP, thence northwestwards along said CP boundary to the point of commencement.

Area 1b: that part of Little Malvern CP bounded by a line commencing at the point where the northern boundary of Little Malvern CP meets the southern curtilage of the property known as Arosfa, thence westwards along said southern curtilage and the southern curtilages of the properties known as Kinley Cottage, Greenacres, The Clovers and Oaklands to the western

curtilage of the last-mentioned property, thence northeastwards along said western curtilage to the northern boundary of Little Malvern CP, thence southeastwards along said CP boundary to the point of commencement.

This parish will have a council of nine members.

Proposal 2 : WEST MALVERN CP

It is proposed that a new Civil Parish, to be known as **West Malvern**, shall be created and will comprise the areas described below.

Area 2a: that part of the West Ward of Malvern Hills District bounded by a line commencing at the junction of the eastern and southern boundaries of Wells Ward, thence northwestwards along said southern boundary and northwards along the western boundary of said Ward to the southern boundary of Parcel No 7736, as shown on OS 1:2500 Microfilm (A) SO 7547, date of publication 1973, thence eastwards along said parcel boundary and the southern boundary of Parcel No 8341 to the Path (um) leading eastwards from the southeastern corner of the last-mentioned parcel, thence eastwards along said path (um) to its junction with the Path (um) leading southeastwards to the County Field Centre, thence eastwards in a straight line from said path junction to the southwestern corner of Parcel No 1757, as shown on OS 1:2500 Microfilm (A) SO 7647, date of publication 1973, thence northeastwards, generally southeastwards and northeastwards along the southern boundary of said parcel to the southwestern boundary of Parcel No 3650, thence southeastwards along said parcel boundary to the northwestern boundary of Parcel No 4532, thence northeastwards along said parcel boundary and southeastwards along the northeastern boundary of said parcel to the road known as Old Hollow, thence southwestwards along said road to accessway leading from said road to West Malvern Road, thence southeastwards along said accessway to West Malvern Road, thence northeastwards and southeastwards along said road to the western boundary of Parcel No 6800, thence southwards along

said parcel boundary, continuing on OS 1:2500 Microfilm (A) SO 7646, date of publication 1973, and eastwards along the southern boundary of said parcel to the southwestern boundary of Tank Quarry (Disused), thence southeastwards along said southwestern boundary to its southernmost point, thence southeastwards in a straight line from said point to the junction of the northern boundary of Priory Ward and the eastern boundary of West Ward at North Hill, thence southwards along said eastern boundary to the point of commencement.

Area 2b: that part of Mathon CP bounded by a line commencing at the point where the southern boundary of said CP meets Brockhill Road, thence northwards along said road to the Track leading to Park Lane, thence northwestwards along said track and Park Lane to the eastern boundary of Mathon CP, thence generally southeastwards along said CP boundary and westwards along the southern boundary of said CP to the point of commencement.

This parish will have a Council of seven members.

SCHEDULE 2

Changes to existing Civil Parishes.

Proposal 1 : Bransford CP/Leigh CP.

Area A : description of an area of land proposed to be transferred from Bransford CP to Leigh CP.

That area bounded by a line commencing at the point where the existing boundary between Bransford CP and Leigh CP meets the rear curtilage of the property known as Water Lea, thence eastwards along said rear curtilage and southwards along the eastern curtilage of said property to Stocks Lane, thence eastwards and southwards along said lane to the unnamed stream flowing northeastwards from Brook Farm, thence southwestwards along said

stream and the boundary between Bransford CP and the non-parished area to the boundary between Bransford CP and Leigh CP, thence generally northwards along said CP boundary to the point of commencement.

Proposal 2: Bransford CP/non-parished area.

Area B : description of an area of land proposed to be transferred from Bransford CP to the non-parished area.

That area bounded by a line commencing at the point where Stocks Lane meets the boundary between Bransford CP and the non-parished area, thence generally southwestwards and northwestwards along said CP boundary to the southeastern boundary of Area A, as described in Proposal 1 in Schedule 2, thence northeastwards along said southeastern boundary to Stocks Lane, thence southwards and southeastwards along said lane to the point of commencement.

SCHEDULE 3

Revised District electoral arrangements, consequent upon the proposals described in Schedules 1 and 2.

It is proposed that the District Wards, as defined in the District of Malvern Hills (Electoral Arrangements) Order 1976, shall be altered as described below.

1. The existing Priory Ward shall be extended by the addition of that part of the Wells Ward which is not included in the proposed Malvern Wells CP, (as described in Proposal 1 in Schedule 1) or Little Malvern CP.
2. The existing Wells Ward shall be reduced to comprise Area 1a and Area 1b, as described in Proposal 1 in Schedule 1, and the remaining part of Little Malvern CP.
3. The existing West Ward shall be extended to include Area 2b, as described in Proposal 2 in Schedule 1
4. The existing Hope End Ward shall be reduced by the deduction of Area 2b, as described in Proposal 2 in Schedule 1.
5. The existing Link Ward shall be extended by the addition of Area B, as described in Proposal 2 in Schedule 2.
6. The existing Leigh and Bransford Ward shall be reduced by the deduction of Area B, as described in Proposal 2 in Schedule 2.

SCHEDULE 4

Revised County electoral arrangements, consequent upon the proposals described in Schedules 1 and 2.

It is proposed that the County Electoral Divisions, as defined in the County of Hereford and Worcester (Electoral Divisions) Order 1973, shall be altered as described below.

1. The existing Malvern South ED shall be extended by the addition of Area 1b, as described in Proposal 1 in Schedule 1.
2. The existing Powick ED shall be reduced by the deduction of Area 1b, as described in Proposal 1 in Schedule 1.
3. The existing Malvern West ED shall be extended by the addition of Area 2b as described in Proposal 2 in Schedule 1.
4. The existing Ledbury No 2 ED shall be reduced by the deduction of Area 2b as described in Proposal 2 in Schedule 1.
5. The existing Malvern North ED shall be extended by the addition of Area B, as described in Proposal 2 in Schedule 2.
6. The existing Martley No 1 ED shall be reduced by the deduction of Area B, as described in Proposal 2 in Schedule 2.

Highfield Lodge
7 Salterton Road
Exmouth
Devon

4^d November 1982

The Secretary
Local Government Boundary Commission
20 Albert Embankment
London
SE1 7TJ

Dear Sir,

PARISHES IN MALVERN HILLS DISTRICT

The following is my report arising from the public meeting on 27 October 1982.

1. Attendance

There were 29 persons present and 10 of them gave evidence. The attendance list is at Appendix A.

2. Referendum

I made it clear that the imposition of a 40% majority in conjunction with the referendum was a condition which was unacceptable and in this I had the full support of the Hon Secretary of the County Association of Local Councils. The District Council attempted to justify their course of action but agreed it had been dropped in respect of Wells Ward because of pressure after the Committees recommendation became known but had been maintained for West Ward as no similar pressure had come from that Ward. I do not think this requirement had any effect on the voting in those Wards. However due to understandable reasons the voting papers were not distributed until a holiday weekend and at any rate in some cases were received after the date for their return. Although subsequently a public statement was made that late replies would be accepted I believe some people would already have thrown away their voting papers so that this reduced the number of voting papers returned.

3. Wards other than Wells and West

There were two public meetings in 1979 at which about 100 and 80 persons respectively were present and I am satisfied there was a full discussion with the pressure for the Creation of Parishes being largely restricted to Wells and West Wards. One person who represented a Ward other than Wells and West gave evidence before me. He was in favour of the creation of Parish Councils (as were two other witnesses) but accepted there was no demand by the public. This may be due partly to the fact that prior to local government re-organisation Malvern was an urban district with, for example, provision for the Arts being available for the whole area in one ward and for sports in another ward. There was perhaps also apathy, a wish not to be over governed, extra costs and a feeling that Parishes might compete against each other and break up the cohesiveness of the urban area of Malvern. There appeared to be few organisations based on a Ward or area and although there were Central and Ward Electors/Rate payers Associations none

appeared to be active except in Wells Ward. It would have been possible to put forward suggestions for Parishes to be created based either on Wards or defined localities such as Barnards Green, Poolbrook and North Malvern although the boundaries would have been difficult to define in some cases. In the absence of any public demand I recommend no action be taken to create Parishes in the areas outside Wells and West Wards. This is also the recommendation of the District Council.

4. West Ward

a. This ward consists of the areas known as West Malvern (Polling District 2) and North Malvern (Polling District 1). The one steep road along the side of the Malvern Hills joins North Malvern to West Malvern and has houses built on one or both sides for most of its length and the Polling District Boundary is one which adequately separates the two localities. At its Southern and Western ends West Malvern finishes at what was before local government re-organisation the Worcestershire/Herefordshire boundary and is a known and convenient boundary. Brockhill Road is a long unmade road joining West Malvern to Colwall with quite a number of big houses built along it. The Ward boundary (former County Boundary) runs at right angles across Brockhill Road leaving some of the houses in West Malvern and some in Colwall. The boundary would have to cut across this road somewhere and would again be an arbitrary point. I believe that any change would be opposed by people in Herefordshire many of whom are still opposed to the amalgamation with Worcestershire. I think the present Polling District Boundary is satisfactory for a Parish Boundary.

b. I received evidence in favour of a Parish Council for this Polling District from one witness who takes a prominent part in the locality as a member of the Hills Conservators and President of the Parent/Teachers Association. I was informed that one of the District Councillors for the Ward intended to give evidence to me in favour of the creation of such a Parish Council. He had become a County Councillor and the fact that the County Council had written on 29 September 1982 that West Malvern (as well as Malvern Wells) was a village type community and that they had no objection to the parishing of these areas added support. This District/County Councillor has since the enquiry closed written to confirm his support for a Parish Council.

c. I recommend that a West Malvern Parish Council be established with 7 Councillors and should consist of the land with the boundaries of Polling District No 2 of West Ward and be called West Malvern Parish Council. The District Council did not recommend the creation of such a Parish Council.

5. Wells Ward

a. Nobody who gave evidence was opposed to a Parish Council being created and it was left therefore for me to pursue the questions as to whether more than one Parish Council should be created and the boundaries. All those giving evidence about Wells Ward were opposed to the creation of more than one Parish Council and therefore the view point of the majority voting in the Referendum that there should be two Parish Councils or one for Malvern Wells (Polling District 2) only was not put forward. Those giving evidence stressed that there was Community of interest and that co-operation had been created between the areas of the Wyche and Malvern Wells particularly by the active Electors/Ratepayers Associations. The Chairman lives in Malvern Wells and the Secretary in the Wyche. Despite many questions from myself I was unable

to get any support for the proposal for two Parish Councils. Because of my previous knowledge of the area (but this was many years ago) I might well have recommended, if there had been evidence in support, the creation of two Parish Councils with the present boundary between the two Polling Districts being altered where it runs to the South of Peachfield Road so as to ensure that any extension of the Fruitlands Development would be in the Polling District 1 area. In the absence of any evidence produced to me at the enquiry I cannot recommend the creation of two Parish Councils in Wells Ward. I therefore recommend that the proposal of the District Council that one Parish Council be created should be supported.

b. After consideration of replies to my questions as to the suitability of the present North East boundary I am satisfied that the area of the Firs, Collage Grove and other areas in that vicinity have no real affinity to the remainder of the Ward and I recommend that the boundary should be revised as shown on the map in Appendix 2 and coloured green and marked A-B-C.

c. Because Little Malvern is part of Wells Ward and has links with the centre of Malvern Wells village I raised the question of the future of Little Malvern. I was informed that in the past the ancient Priory of Little Malvern was believed to have owed allegiance to a different ecclesiastical authority to that acknowledged by Malvern Abbey in the centre of Malvern. Prior to Local Government re-organisation Little Malvern was in the area of Upton-on-Severn RDC and not in Malvern UDC. It already forms part of a Parish with the remainder of which it has close links. In view of this and the absence of any evidence produced to suggest a change I do not recommend it should be part of a Malvern Wells Parish. However my attention was drawn to the fact that the boundary between Wells and Little Malvern runs along Upper Welland Road and at the South East corner the boundary has been diverted from the road so as to include the houses on the South East side of that road at Assarts Common in the Wells (shown marked D on map at Appendix 2). There are also another five dwellings on the South side of this road which are named Oaklands, The Clovers, Greenacres, Kenley Cottage and Arosfu. Their links are clearly with the new development at Assarts and St Walstans and it would seem from a site inspection that this could be accomplished by altering the boundary to run along the line shown in green on the map in Appendix 2 and marked E-F-G. I recommend accordingly.

d. A suggestion was made that Upper Wyche and Upper Colwall had common links and might be united and that there was a Joint Horticultural Society. All those who lived in Malvern and gave evidence about this were opposed to the suggestion. The Wyche cutting was the boundary between the former Worcestershire and Herefordshire County Councils and for the reasons set out in Para 4(a) above this would lead to objections. There is a church both at Lower Wyche and Upper Colwall to which those on either side of the cutting respectively go. Upper Wyche and Upper Colwall would really be too small a community in this context for a Parish Council and in any event would cut off their residents from Lower Wyche and Colwall. I do not support such a suggestion which was also opposed by representatives of the District Council.

6. Leigh-Bransford Boundary

The Commission propose to support the District Council in rejecting a boundary revision proposed by Leigh and Bransford Parish Council. Nobody appeared to contest this. I was however asked to consider a re-alignment of the boundary between a point near the level crossing and Brooks Farm. The use of Stocks Lane from near the level crossing to the point where Stocks Lane crosses the existing

boundary would, from an inspection of the site, make a good boundary if it was thought worthwhile making this minor alteration.

7. General

I am satisfied that the letter written to Mrs Vere by the District Council on 22 May 1979 (Page 60a) relates to the minimum and not the maximum size of a Parish Council.

• Yours faithfully

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'C. V. Davies', with a long, sweeping flourish extending to the right.

Assistant Commissioner


MALVERN ENQUIRY : 27 OCTOBER 1982 : ATTENDANCE LIST

<u>NAME</u>	<u>ADDRESS</u>	<u>STATE WARD IN WHICH YOU LIVE AND WHETHER YOU REPRESENT ANY ORGANISATION</u>
H W Bourne	11 Hanley Rd, Malvern Wells	Wells
M P Clarke	17 Grundys Lane, Malvern Wells	
S G Clarke	17 Grundys Lane, Malvern Wells	Chairman Malvern Wells Electors and Ratepayers Assn.
S D Gordon	9 Hanley Rd, Malvern Wells	Committee Member Electors and Ratepayers Assn.
R H Bennett	25 Castle Street, Hereford	Hon Secretary, County Assn of Local Councils
Mary Micklewright	28 Woodshears Rd, Malvern	Chase Ward
C S Dunbar	9 Christchurch Rd, Malvern	Chase
Richard Attridge	Dahallow, Hancock's Lane, Welland Malvern	District Councillor Wells Ward. Ex non sec Wells R/P 7 Malvern R/P Central Committee
C W Molliday	1 Stocks Lane, Newland, Malvern	District Councillor, Powick Ward
A E Fisix	35 Tan House Lane, Malvern, Link	District Councillor, Langland Ward
Margaret Baron	14 Hardwicke House, Abbey Rd, Malvern	Priory Ward
M L C Burgess	Chevenham Corner, Colwall	Vice-Chairman Malvern Hills SDF
Betty Hilsun	24 Geraldine Rd, Malvern	Chase Ward. Secretary Malvern Hills SDF
Tony Armstrong	79 Geraldine Road, Malvern	Chase Ward
Gillian Fox	43 North End Lane, Malvern	Langland Ward, Malvern Hills SDF
G J Norrie	Calderdale MBC Legal Officer	Observer
D W Ellis	Calderdale MBC Sni Regn Officer	Observer
Paul Chandler	The Hopkilns, Evckley	District Councillor - Link Ward
W A Malbon	48 King Edwards Road, Malvern Wells	Committee Member, Malvern Wells Electors & Ratepayers Assn
P G Malbon	48 King Edwards Road, Malvern Wells	Wells
Peter K Carroli	80 Albert Park Rd, Malvern Link	Malvern Gazette reporter
John Rea	Turangi Croft Bank, West Malvern	West Malvern
John Rea	Turangi Croft Bank, West Malvern	West Malvern
Kenneth Dice	6 Holywell House, Holywell Rd	Malvern Wells, Vice Chairman Malvern Wells & Central Com. R/P Assn
G F Williams	34 Old Lyche Road,	Malvern Wells, Secretary, Malvern Wells & Central Committee Ratepayers Association
I J Martin	Lower Holt Croft Bank, West Malvern	West Malvern
K Luften Morris	44 Meadows Road,	Secretary to District Council, Portland House, Malvern
K Fray	'Padstow' 347 Worcester Road, Malvern	Committee Clerk - Malvern Hills District Council
E A Wilcock	97 Wells Road, Malvern, WR14 4PE	Member MDC for Wells Ward

PARISH REVIEW

LOCAL GOVERNMENT BOUNDARY COMMISSION
FOR ENGLAND
FINAL PROPOSAL

SCALE 1:50000



— EXISTING CP BOUNDARY
- - - DISTRICT COUNCIL'S PROPOSED CP BOUNDARY
..... LGBC'S PROPOSED CP BOUNDARY