

**Local Government
Boundary Commission
For England
Report No. 473**

Review of Electoral Arrangements

County of West Sussex



Local Government Boundary Commission
for England

20 Albert Embankment
London SE1 7TJ

Telephone Direct line 01 211

Switchboard 01 211 3000

WEST SUSSEX COUNTY ELECTORAL REVIEW
CORRIGENDUM TO REPORT NO.473

Schedule 1 : electoral divisions in Arun district

"Rustington" should read "Rustington West"

LOCAL GOVERNMENT

BOUNDARY COMMISSION

FOR ENGLAND

REPORT NO. 473

LOCAL GOVERNMENT BOUNDARY COMMISSION FOR ENGLAND

CHAIRMAN

Mr G J Ellerton CMG MBE

MEMBERS

Lady Ackner

Mr T Brockbank DL

Professor G E Cherry

Mr D P Harrison

TO THE RT. HON. LEON BRITTAN QC MP

SECRETARY OF STATE FOR THE HOME DEPARTMENT

PROPOSALS FOR THE FUTURE ELECTORAL ARRANGEMENTS FOR THE COUNTY OF WEST SUSSEX

1. The last order under section 51 of the Local Government Act 1972 in relation to the electoral arrangements for districts in the county of West Sussex was made on 7 May 1980. As required by section 63 and Schedule 9 of the Act, we have now reviewed the electoral arrangements for that county, using the procedures which we had set out in our Report No. 6.
2. The Act contains no provisions about the size of councils. For the 1973 elections of the new authorities the Home Office, after consultation with local authority associations, decided that, save in exceptional circumstances, the number of councillors for counties should be in the range 60-100. We announced in our Report No. 6 of November 1973 that we proposed to use the same range for our reviews under Schedule 9. We corresponded during the summer and autumn of 1975 with all the non-metropolitan county councils about this range of council sizes, setting out the principles to be followed by the councils when considering their future position within that range. We wrote to West Sussex County Council on 26 August 1975, indicating that a council of 65-70 members would in our view be appropriate for the county. The County Council wished to retain the existing number of members (80) and explained their reasons in correspondence and meetings with us during the intervening period up to the commencement of the review. After careful consideration of all the information available to us, although we were not without sympathy for the objectives which the Council were seeking to achieve in arguing for a council size of 80 members, we were not persuaded that their case was sufficiently strong to justify the exceptional treatment this would entail. We decided in the circumstances to ask the Council to prepare a draft scheme of representation based on a council size of 71 members; this size was in line with the councils of other counties of similar population.

3. We notified the West Sussex County Council formally in a consultation letter dated 21 May 1982 that we proposed to conduct the review, and we sent copies of the letter to all local authorities and parish meetings in the county, to the Members of Parliament representing the constituencies concerned, to the headquarters of the main political parties, to local newspapers circulating in the county, to the local government press and to local radio stations serving the area. Notices in the local press announced the start of the review and invited comments from members of the public and from interested bodies.

4. On 22 October 1982 the County Council submitted to us a draft scheme in which they suggested 71 electoral divisions for the county, each returning one member in accordance with section 6(2)(a) of the Act.

5. We considered this scheme together with the views expressed on it. On 14 October 1983 we issued draft proposals which we sent to all those who had received our consultation letter or commented on the County Council's draft scheme. Notices were inserted in the local press, announcing that the draft proposals had been issued and could be inspected at the County Council's offices.

6. We incorporated the County Council's draft scheme in our draft proposals, subject to the following amendments which we adopted either to achieve a better standard of representation, to take account of comments on the draft scheme, to accord with our normal practice with regard to names of divisions, or to avoid splitting parish or district wards unless there was a counterbalancing gain:

(a) Adur District

The adoption of an alternative scheme suggested by Adur District Council, which in our view offered a substantially more even standard of representation, numerically, than the County Council's draft scheme, even though it involved splitting the Churchill ward of Lancing parish between two divisions.

(b) Arun District

The adoption of an alternative scheme of our own divising, which avoided splitting parish wards while still achieving an appropriate numerical balance.

(c) Chichester District

A rearrangement of the suggested Bosham and Chichester City divisions, to avoid splitting the west ward of the City between the two, and to produce a markedly more even numerical balance between the divisions.

(d) Crawley Borough

(i) The renaming of the suggested Pound Hill North division as "Pound Hill";

(ii) the renaming of the suggested Furnace Green and Pound Hill South division as "Furnace Green"; and

(iii) the renaming of the suggested Northgate and Three Bridges division as "Northgate Three Bridges".

(e) Horsham District

(i) The realignment of the boundaries of the suggested Holbrook, Hurst, Riverside, Roffey, Southwater and Warnham divisions, to conform to the existing pattern of parishes and parish wards in the vicinity of Horsham;

(ii) the transfer of the parish of Thakeham from the suggested Billingshurst to the suggested Pulborough division.

(f) Mid Sussex District

(i) the omission of hyphens from the names of some suggested divisions;

(ii) the renaming of the suggested East Grinstead West division as "Imberdown";

(iii) the realignment of the suggested Mid Sussex North/Cuckfield Rural and Cuckfield Rural/Mid Sussex South boundaries to follow the existing Balcome/Ardingley and Cuckfield Rural/Bolney parish boundaries respectively, in order to avoid splitting parish wards;

(iv) the rearrangement of the suggested divisions for the Haywards Heath area, to give a more even standard of representation.

(g) Worthing Borough

(i) The renaming of the suggested Tarring division as "West Tarring";

(ii) the renaming of the suggested West Darrington division as "Darrington"; and

(iii) the renaming of the suggested Manor division as "Broadwater".

7. We received comments in response to our draft proposals from the County Council, five district councils, 29 parish or town councils, eight political organizations, ten county or district councillors, four other organizations and 58 private individuals. A list of those who wrote to us is given in Appendix A to this report.

8. The comments we received can be summarized as follows:-

(a) Adur District

West Sussex County Council objected to the draft proposals on the basis that their own draft scheme adhered more closely to the hierarchy of rules set out in Schedule 11 to the 1972 Act. The County Council's objection was supported by the West Sussex County Council Labour Group. Shoreham Beach Residents' Association objected to the linking of Shoreham Beach with part of the parish of Lancing. They stated that their links were with the town of Shoreham and that they had virtually no affinity with Lancing. In support of this

contention they forwarded the results of a survey, with 583 signatures in favour of being linked with the town of Shoreham and 4 in favour of being linked with Lancing. The Residents' Association also complained about the proposed reduction in the size of the county council. Further objections to the linking of Shoreham Beach and Lancing were received from 51 residents (41 from Shoreham Beach and 10 from Lancing). Adur District Council supported the draft proposals, which, they considered, adhered more closely to the requirements of Schedule 11 to the Act than did any of the suggested alternatives. Further support for the draft proposals was voiced by Lancing Parish Council, the Shoreham Constituency Liberal Association, Councillors Clifford Robinson and A R Kimmins and a local resident.

(b) Arun District

West Sussex County Council and Arun District Council objected to the draft proposals, on the grounds that they did not take sufficient account of local ties. The District Council submitted an alternative scheme which had the support of the County Council, who also suggested names for the revised divisions. Their alternative scheme was also supported by Aldingbourne Parish Council, Arundel Town Council, Barnham Parish Council, Eastergate Parish Council, Littlehampton Town Council, Tortington Parish Council, Walberton Parish Council, Felpham Neighbourhood Council, Felpham Association of Ratepayers, Arundel Constituency Conservative Association and West Sussex County Council Labour Group. Clymping Parish Council requested that the parish be moved from the proposed Littlehampton Quay division to the proposed Middleton division, on the grounds that it would be better served by being included in a rural, rather than an urban, division. Lyminster Parish Council objected to their rural parish being included in an urban division, but did not suggest any specific alternative arrangement. Yapton Parish Council requested that the proposed Barnham and Felpham division should include the name "Yapton",

which was the largest parish in the locality. Arundel Town Council, while supporting the District Council's alternative scheme, considered that the proposed Arun North West division should be renamed "Arundel". Our draft proposals were supported by County Councillor W H D Keymer, County and District Councillor A T D Tyler and Ferring Parish Council. Qualified support for the draft proposals was received from County Councillor L A Foster, Angmering Parish Council, Findon Parish Council, the Findon branch of the Shoreham Constituency Conservative Association and the Shoreham Constituency Liberal Association, all of whose reservations concerned the composition of the proposed Hammerpot division. The Liberal Association also expressed a preference for electoral divisions adhering to the Parliamentary constituency boundary.

(c) Chichester District

West Sussex County Council accepted the draft proposals, although they noted that none of the proposed divisions would lie wholly within Chichester City. Chichester District Council objected to the draft proposals on the grounds that local ties would be broken and that some divisions, because of their size and shape, would be difficult to represent. They considered that we had given too much weight to the need for electoral equality. They believed that an alternative scheme which they had submitted earlier had substantial local support, and they asked us to consider it again. Fairly similar objections were raised by the West Sussex County Council Labour Group, Bosham Parish Council, Bury Parish Council, Chichester City Council, Chichester Parish Council, East Dean Parish Council, East Lavington Parish Council, Funtington Parish Council, Graffham Parish Council, Heyshott Parish Council, Hunston Parish Council, Chichester City Liberal Party, Chichester Constituency Labour Party, Chichester Conservative Association, District Councillor Mrs I M Hyde, the Goodwood Estate Company and seven local residents, one of whom forwarded a petition containing 64 signatures. Several of the objectors considered that we had ignored the fact that the South Downs formed a natural boundary. Easebourne Parish Council pointed to their associations with the parish of Midhurst and asked that Easebourne should be placed in the proposed Midhurst division. Oving Parish Council

and Westhampnett Parish Council emphasized their community of interest and suggested that the two parishes be placed in the same division. Sidlesham Parish Council suggested that the proposed Selsey division should be renamed "Selsey and Sidlesham".

(d) Crawley Borough

West Sussex County Council accepted the draft proposals.

(e) Horsham District

West Sussex County Council and Horsham District Council both took the view that the proposed electoral divisions should have been based on the new parish pattern proposed in the District Council's parish review report. The County Council, the District Council and Billingshurst Parish Council all agreed with the inclusion of Thakeham parish in the Pulborough division. Horsham Conservative Association supported the draft proposals, but expressed reservations about our approach to the naming of divisions. Lower Beeding Parish Council objected to the name of the Holbrook division.

(f) Mid Sussex District

West Sussex County Council accepted the draft proposals. Town and County Councillor Mrs P J Meek, District Councillor M Wallace, District Councillor Mrs Z G Birch, District Councillor M V Coombes and Burgess Hill Town Council all suggested that "Burgess Hill West" should be added to the name of the proposed Hassocks division; apart from a feeling that the Burgess Hill West area stood to lose its identity, it was also suggested that the name "Hassocks" could lead to confusion among the electorate over the identity of their county council representative. East Grinstead Town Council and the Mid-Sussex Conservative Association objected to the name of the proposed Imberdown division and asked that it be renamed "East Grinstead West". As an alternative, the Conservative Association suggested "Imberholme". Worth Parish Council, however, considered that the name "Imberdown" reflected appropriately the two parts of the proposed division.

(g) Worthing Borough

Worthing Borough Council felt most strongly that the number of councillors allocated to the borough should be 12. Otherwise, they accepted the draft proposals, subject to a minor modification. West Sussex County Council accepted the draft proposals, but supported the minor amendment put forward by the District Council.

9. We have come to the following conclusions, taking into account all the comments that were submitted to us:-

(a) Adur District

While we noted that there was considerable opposition to our draft proposals from the residents of Shoreham Beach, it was obvious that a considerable number of those who wrote to us were under a misapprehension as to the nature of the review: in particular, several of the writers clearly thought that Shoreham Beach was to become a part of Lancing parish and thus subject to an additional parish rate. In those cases our Secretariat replied, explaining the position. While this still leaves a substantial body of opposition, which may or may not be fully conversant with the nature of the review, we cannot accept the view apparently held by West Sussex County Council and others, that local ties should take precedence over equality of representation; indeed, in our view it is clear that Schedule 11 to the 1972 Act gives the achievement of electoral equality, where that is reasonably practicable, pride of place over all other factors. Moreover, we have noted Adur District Council's support for our draft proposals, and we are impressed by their argument that the disruption of local ties inherent in the County Council's draft scheme would be far greater than that brought about by our draft proposals. We have concluded, therefore, that we should not make any changes to our draft proposals for the district.

(b) Arun District

We have been impressed by the amount of support commanded by Arun District Council's alternative scheme. We have also noted that the District Council's alternative scheme would provide a marginally more even standard of representation than our own draft proposals, besides achieving better preservation of local ties. Although the District Council's scheme is not without its opponents, we have concluded that its advantages, coupled with the wide support for it, are sufficient to justify our adopting it - together with the divisional names suggested by the County Council - in place of our draft proposals.

(c) Chichester District

We have noted the widespread opposition to our draft proposals and we have considered again the alternative scheme put forward earlier by Chichester District Council. Although it is slightly inferior, numerically, to our draft proposals, it nevertheless fulfils the criteria set out in paragraphs 7 and 8 of our Report No. 443 (reproduced as Appendix B to this Report). We have therefore allowed ourselves to be guided by the weight of public opinion and have concluded that we should adopt the District Council's alternative scheme, subject to

- (i) an adjustment suggested by the Council to provide more even representation between the proposed Chichester East and Chichester South divisions, and

- (ii) the renaming of the proposed Selsey division as "Selsey and Sidlesham".

(d) Crawley Borough

In the absence of any adverse comment, we have decided to adhere to our draft proposals.

(e) Horsham District

Whilst we are sympathetic to the arguments underlying the view expressed by

the County and District Councils about basing the proposed electoral divisions on the proposed new parish pattern, there are practical difficulties over achieving what they have in mind. If new county electoral arrangements are to be operative in time for the county council elections in May 1985, then we understand an Order would need to be made by December 1984 at the latest. Our proposals for parishes in Horsham District were sent to the Secretary of State for the Environment in March this year only, and we are advised that, given the resources available, he would not be in a position to reach a decision and make an Order on those proposals in time for the changes to be reflected in the electoral arrangements Order. In the circumstances, therefore, we have decided to adhere to our draft proposals for the district, though clearly we would see no objection to the proposed parish boundaries being followed instead, if this should prove to be practicable. We see no advantage in the changes to divisional names suggested by the Horsham Conservative Association and Lower Beeding Parish Council.

(f) Mid Sussex District

Given that our draft proposals include two divisions which incorporate "Burgess Hill" in their names, we accept that some confusion might be caused in the remaining area of Burgess Hill, which is included in the proposed Hassocks division. In the circumstances we consider that the division should be renamed "Hassocks and Burgess Hill West". We do not consider that the alternative names put forward in respect of the proposed Imberdown division offer any improvement; indeed, we consider that the name "Imberdown" is well representative of the area. There have been no objections to the composition of the proposed divisions in this district, and, with the exception of the renaming of the proposed Hassocks division, we have decided to adhere to our draft proposals.

(g) Worthing Borough

There have been no objections to the actual composition of the divisions in the borough and, subject to the minor adjustment suggested by the Borough Council,

which we regard as a sensible improvement, we have decided to adhere to our draft proposals.

10. In the light of the conclusions set out in paragraph 9 above, we have decided to confirm our draft proposals as our final proposals for the county, subject to the modifications in the districts of Arun, Chichester, Mid Sussex and Worthing referred to in paragraph 9(b), (c), (f) and (g).

11. Details of these final proposals are set out in Schedules 1 and 2 to this report and are shown on the accompanying map. Schedule 1 gives the names of the electoral divisions. A detailed description of the boundaries of the proposed electoral divisions, as illustrated on the map, is set out in Schedule 2.

PUBLICATION

12. In accordance with section 60(5)(b) of the Local Government Act 1972, a copy of this report and a copy of the map are being sent to the West Sussex County Council and will be available for inspection at the Council's main offices. Copies of the report (without the map) are being sent to those who received the consultation letter and to those who made comments.

L.S.

SIGNED: G J ELLERTON (Chairman)

JOAN ACKNER

TYRRELL BROCKBANK

G E CHERRY

D P HARRISON

L B GRIMSHAW
Secretary

3 May 1984

11F

LIST OF THOSE WHO COMMENTED ON THE DRAFT PROPOSALS

	<u>Area concerned</u>
West Sussex County Council	Whole county
Shoreham Constituency Liberal Association	" "
 <u>ADUR DISTRICT</u>	
Adur District Council	Whole district
Lancing Parish Council	" "
County Councillor A R Kimmins	" "
County/District/Parish Councillor C Robinson	" "
Mr R Shippam	" "
West Sussex County Council Labour Group	" "
Shoreham Beach Residents' Association	Saltings division
51 private individuals	" "
 <u>ARUN DISTRICT</u>	
Arun District Council	Whole district
County Councillor L A Foster	" "
County Councillor W H D Keymer	" "
County/District Councillor A T D Tyler	" "
Aldingbourne Parish Council	" "
Arundel Town Council	" "
Barnham Parish Council	" "
Eastergate Parish Council	" "
Littlehampton Town Council	" "
Tortington Parish Council	" "
Walberton Parish Council	" "
Felpham Neighbourhood Council	" "
Felpham Association of Ratepayers	" "
Arundel Constituency Conservative Association	" "

ARUN DISTRICT (contd...)Area concerned

West Sussex County Council Labour Group	Whole district
Findon Branch of Shoreham Constituency Conservative Association	" "
Angmering Parish Council	Hammerpot division
Findon Parish Council	" "
Ferring Parish Council	Highdown division
Clymping Parish Council	Middleton/Littlehampton Quay divisions
Lyminster Parish Council	Lyminster
Yapton Parish Council	Barnham and Felpham West division

CHICHESTER DISTRICT

Chichester District Council	Whole district
East Dean Parish Council	" "
East Lavington Parish Council	" "
Funtington Parish Council	" "
Heyshott Parish Council	" "
Hunston Parish Council	" "
Chichester Constituency Labour Party	" "
Chichester Conservative Association	" "
West Sussex County Council Labour Group	" "
Seven private individuals	" "
Bosham Parish Council	Bosham division
Bury Parish Council	Chichester East division
Graffham Parish Council	Chichester East division
District Councillor Mrs I M Hyde	" " "
Chichester City Council	City of Chichester
Chichester City Liberal Party	" " "
Easebourne Parish Council	Fernhurst/Midhurst divisions
Oving Parish Council	Chichester South/Chichester East divisions

CHICHESTER DISTRICT (contd....)

Area concerned

Westhampnett Parish Council

Chichester South/Chichester East
division

Sidlesham Parish Council

Selsey division

Goodwood Estate Company

Parishes of Boxgrove, East Dean,
Lavant, Singleton and Westhampnett

HORSHAM DISTRICT

Horsham District Council

Whole district

Horsham Conservative Association

" "

Billingshurst Parish Council

Pulborough division

Lower Beeding Parish Council

Holbrook division

MID SUSSEX DISTRICT

Burgess Hill Town Council

Hassocks division

District Councillor Mrs Z G Birch

" "

District Councillor M V Coombes

" "

Town/County Councillor Mrs P J Meek

" "

District Councillor M Wallace

" "

East Grinstead Town Council

Imberdown division

Worth Parish Council

" "

Mid-Sussex Conservative Association

" "

WORTHING BOROUGH

Worthing Borough Council

Whole district

EXTRACT FROM REPORT NO. 443

7. County electoral reviews give rise to a good deal of interest among political organisations, but rather less general public interest. This is because changes to electoral boundaries can affect the operation of local party machines and because of the well understood desire of all those involved in local politics to avoid confusion in electors' minds with different parish ward, district ward and county division boundaries. Of course, wherever reasonably practicable, county electoral divisions should not cross district boundaries or the boundaries of parishes and parish wards; all counties therefore make considerable efforts to reduce boundary crossing to a minimum. Nevertheless, in many instances division boundaries do have to cross the boundaries of district wards, because although the Act requires that electoral divisions (outside Greater London where special rules apply) should have regard to district ward boundaries, the overriding consideration must nonetheless be the question of numerical balance between divisions. This is in contradistinction to the thinking behind the criteria which the Parliamentary Boundary Commission have been given, namely, that numerical considerations are subordinate to questions of geography.

8. Equality of representation therefore is given pride of place in the rules set out in the 1972 Act, and is of very great concern to the Commission. We seek to ensure that as few cases as possible result in a level of representation greater or less than 10% from the average for the authority as a whole, and that representation

departing more than 20% from the average is backed by very strong reasons and has public support. This does not mean that we do not take into account community ties but they cannot be allowed to override equality of representation unless there are the most cogent reasons. Where the level of representation is within 10% of the average, greater weight can be given to geographical and community factors. On the combination of urban and rural areas within a division (as also in district wards) we have no fixed views. We deal with each case on its merits, taking the view that level of representation is the most important factor.

COUNTY OF WEST SUSSEX

NAMES OF PROPOSED ELECTORAL DIVISIONS (EACH RETURNING ONE COUNTY COUNCILLOR)

ADUR

Kingston Buci

Lancing

Saltings

Shoreham

Sompting

Southwick

ARUN

Arundel and Angmering

Arun East

Bersted

Bognor Regis

Felpham

Fontwell

Hotham

Littlehampton North

Littlehampton Town

Middleton

Nyetimber

Preston Manor

Rustington

CHICHESTER

Chichester East

Chichester North

CHICHESTER (contd...)

Chichester South

Chichester West

Fernhurst

Midhurst

Petworth

Selsey and Sidlesham

Southbourne

The Witterings

CRAWLEY

Bewbush

Broadfield

Furnace Green

Gossops Green

Ifield

Langley Green

Northgate Three Bridges

Pound Hill

Tilgate

HORSHAM

Billingshurst

Henfield

Holbrook

Hurst

Pulborough

Riverside

Roffey

Southwater

Steyning

Storrington

Warnham

MID SUSSEX

Burgess Hill Central
Burgess Hill East
Cuckfield Rural
East Grinstead East
East Grinstead South
Hassocks and Burgess Hill West
Haywards Heath East
Haywards Heath West
Imberdown
Lindfield
Mid Sussex North
Mid Sussex South

WORTHING

Broadwater
Cissbury
Durrington
East Worthing
Goring-by-Sea
Maybridge
Richmond
Salvington
West Parade
West Tarring

COUNTY OF WEST SUSSEX

DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED COUNTY ELECTORAL DIVISIONS

FINAL PROPOSALS

NOTE: Where a boundary is described as following a road, railway, river, canal or similar feature, it should be deemed to follow the centre of that feature, unless otherwise stated

ADUR DISTRICT

KINGSTON BUCI ED

Adur District Wards of:-
Southlands
Southwick Green

LANCING ED

Adur District Wards of:-
Manor
Mash Barn

and that part of the Churchill Ward of Adur District bounded by a line commencing at a point where the Brighton-Worthing railway line meets the western boundary of Churchill Ward, thence northwards, eastwards and southwards along the western, northern and eastern boundaries of said ward to the Brighton-Worthing railway line, thence southwestwards along said railway line to the point of commencement.

SALTINGS ED

Adur District Wards of:-
Marine
Widewater

and that part of the Churchill Ward of Adur District not included in Lancing ED.

SHOREHAM ED

Adur District Wards of:-
Buckingham
St Mary's
St Nicholas

SOMPTING ED

Adur District Wards of:-

Cokeham

Peverel

SOUTHWICK ED

Adur District Wards of:-

Eastbrook

Hillside

ARUN DISTRICT

ARUNDEL AND ANGMERING ED

Arun District Ward of:

Arundel

and the parishes of:

Angmering

Houghton

Poling

ARUN EAST ED

Arun District Wards of:

Ferring

Findon

and the parishes of:

Clapham

Kingston

Patching

BERSTED ED

Arun District Wards of:

Bersted

Bognor Regis North

BOGNOR REGIS ED

Arun District Ward of:

Bognor Regis South

and that part of the Bognor Regis Aldwick Ward of Arun District bounded by a line commencing at a point where Gossamer Lane meets the western boundary

of Bognor Regis Aldwick Ward, thence generally northwards, eastwards and southwards along the western, northern and eastern boundaries of said ward to Fish Lane, thence westwards along said road to Aldwick Street, thence northwestwards along said road and continuing northwestwards along Gossamer Lane to the point of commencement.

FELPHAM ED

Arun District Wards of:	Felpham East
	Felpham West

FONTWELL ED

Arun District Ward of:	Aldingbourne
and the parishes of:	Barnham
	Eastergate
	Madehurst
	Slindon
	Walberton

HOTHAM ED

Arun District Wards of:	Bognor Regis Central
	Bognor Regis Hotham

LITTLEHAMPTON NORTH ED

Arun District Wards of:	Littlehampton Ham
	Littlehampton Wick

and that part of the Littlehampton River Ward of Arun District bounded by a line commencing at a point where the southern boundary of Arun District meets the western boundary of Littlehampton River Ward, being Mean Low Water, thence generally northwards and eastwards along the western and northern boundaries of said ward and continuing eastwards along

High Street to Beech Road, thence southeastwards and southwards along said road to the path leading from the junction of Beach Road and the road known as South Terrace to The Promenade, thence southwards along said path to The Promenade, thence due south to the southern boundary of Arun District, being Mean Low Water, thence generally westwards along said southern boundary to the point of commencement.

LITTLEHAMPTON TOWN ED

Arun District Ward of: Central

and that part of the Littlehampton Beach Ward of Arun District bounded by a line commencing at a point where the southern boundary of the District meets the western boundary of Littlehampton Beach Ward, being Mean Low Water, thence northwards and eastwards along the western and northern boundaries of said ward to the road known as Beaumont Park, thence southwestwards along said road to the road known as Tideway, thence southeastwards, southwards and southwestwards along said road to the northern boundary of Mewsbrook Park, thence westwards along said northern boundary to the eastern boundary of the miniature railway terminus as shown on 1:1250 scale Ordnance Survey microfilm TQ 0401 NW Edition C, date of publication 1981, thence southwestwards, southeastwards, southwestwards and northwestwards along the eastern and southern boundaries of said miniature railway terminus to the western boundary of the area of land lying to the south of the said miniature railway terminus, thence southwestwards along said western boundary to the westernmost corner of said area of land, thence southwards in a straight line to the northwestern corner of the property known as Windycot as shown on 1:1250 scale Ordnance Survey microfilm TQ 0401 SW Edition C, date of publication 1973, thence southwards along the western curtilage to the southwesternmost corner of said property thence due southwards from said southwesternmost corner to the southern boundary of the District, being Mean Low Water, thence westwards along said southern boundary to the point of commencement.

and that part of the Arun District Ward of Littlehampton River not included in Littlehampton North ED.

MIDDLETON ED

Arun District Ward of:

Middleton-on-sea

and the parishes of:

Ford

Yapton

NYETIMBER ED

Arun District Wards of:

Bognor Regis West

Pagham

and that part of the Bognor Regis Aldwick Ward of Arun District not included in Bognor Regis ED.

PRESTON MANOR ED

Arun District Ward of:

Rustington East

and the parish of:

East Preston

RUSTINGTON WEST ED

Arun District Wards of:

Rustington West

and that part of the Littlehampton Beach Ward of Arun District not included in Littlehampton Town ED.

CHICHESTER DISTRICT

CHICHESTER EAST ED

Chichester District Wards of:

Boxgrove

Chichester East

and the parishes of:

Westampnett

West Dean

CHICHESTER NORTH ED

Chichester District Ward of:

Chichester North

and the parishes of:

Funtington

Lavant

CHICHESTER SOUTH ED

Chichester District Wards of:

Chichester South

Hunston

Oving

CHICHESTER WEST ED

Chichester District Wards of:

Bosham

Chichester West

FERNHURST ED

Chichester District Wards of:

Easebourne

Fernhurst

Linchmere

Lodsworth

and the parishes of:

East Lavington

Graffham

Heyshott

Northchapel

MIDHURST ED

Chichester District Wards of:

Harting

Midhurst

Rogate

Stedham

and the parishes of:

Bepton

Cocking

PETWORTH ED

Chichester District Wards of:

Bury

Plaistow

Wisborough Green

and the parish of:

Petworth

SELSEY AND SIDLESHAM ED

Chichester District Wards of:

Selsey North

Selsey South

Sidlesham

SOUTHBOURNE ED

Chichester District Wards of:

Southbourne

Westbourne

and the parishes of:

Chidham

Compton

Marden

Stoughton

THE WITTERINGS ED

Chichester District Wards of:

Birdham

Donnington

East Wittering

West Wittering

CRAWLEY BOROUGH

BEWBUSH ED

Crawley Borough Ward of:- Bewbush

and that part of the Ifield Ward of Crawley Borough bounded by a line commencing at a point where the southwestern boundary of Ifield Ward meets the western boundary of the Borough, thence generally northwestwards and northeastwards along said Borough boundary to a point opposite the northern curtilage of the property known as The Tummel, thence eastwards to and along said northern curtilage to the stream known as Ifield Mill Stream, thence eastwards southwestwards and southwards along said stream to the northern boundary of Ifield Pond, thence eastwards and southeastwards along said northern boundary to the northwestern boundary of the railway property and continuing southeastwards in a straight line to the southwestern boundary of Ifield Ward, thence southwestwards along said ward boundary to the point of commencement.

BROADFIELD ED

Crawley Borough Ward of:- Broadfield

FURNACE GREEN ED

Crawley Borough Ward of:- Furnace Green

and that part of the Pound Hill South Ward of Crawley Borough bounded by a line commencing at a point where the northern boundary of said ward meets Balcombe Road, thence southwards along said road to the northern curtilage of the property known as Spring Cottage, thence westwards along said northern curtilage to the rear curtilage of said property, thence southwards along said rear curtilage to the southern curtilage of said property, thence generally eastwards, along said southern curtilage to Balcombe Road, thence southwards along said road to the northern curtilage of the Telephone Exchange, thence westwards along said northern curtilage

to the rear curtilage of said Telephone Exchange, thence southwards along said rear curtilage to the northern curtilage of the property known as Little Moat House, thence westwards along said northern curtilage to the rear curtilage of said property, thence southwards along said rear curtilage and the rear curtilages of the properties known as The Moat House, and Woodways and continuing southwards along the eastern curtilage of No 6 Trevanne Plat to the northern curtilage of the property known as Oak Cottage, thence westwards along said northern curtilage to the rear curtilage of said property, thence southwards along said rear curtilage to the southern curtilage of said property, thence generally eastwards, along said southern curtilage to Balcombe Road, thence southwards along said road to the northern curtilage of the property known as Kixes, thence westwards along said northern curtilage to the rear curtilage of said property, thence southwards along said rear curtilage to and eastwards along the southern curtilage of said property to the rear curtilage of the property known as White Walls, thence southwards along said rear curtilage and the rear curtilages of the properties known as Hurstings and Laybrook to the southern curtilage of the last mentioned property, thence eastwards along said southern curtilage to Balcombe Road, thence southwards along said road to a point opposite the northern curtilage of the property known as Forge Cottage, thence westwards to and along said northern curtilage to the rear curtilage of said property, thence southwards along said rear curtilage to the southern curtilage of said property, thence eastwards along said southern curtilage to Balcombe Road, thence southwards along said road to the northern curtilage of the property known as Barnwood, thence southwestwards along said northern curtilage to the rear curtilage of said property, thence southwards along said rear curtilage to the northern curtilage of No 23 Blackwater Lane, thence northeastwards along said northern curtilage to the rear curtilage of said property, thence

southeastwards along said rear curtilage and in prolongation thereof to the footpath leading from Balcombe Road to Blackwater Lane, thence southwestwards along said footpath to the eastern curtilage of No 25 Blackwater Lane, thence southeastwards along said eastern curtilage to the southern boundary of Pound Hill South Ward, thence generally westwards, northwards and northeastwards along the southern, western and northern boundaries of said ward to the point of commencement.

GOSSOPS GREEN ED

Crawley Borough Ward of:- Gossops Green

and that part of the Southgate Ward of Crawley Borough bounded by a line commencing at a point where the northern boundary of said ward meets Brighton Road, thence southwards along said road to the southern boundary of Southgate Ward, thence southwestwards, northwestwards and eastwards along the southern, western and northern boundaries of said ward to the point of commencement.

IFIELD ED

That part of the Ifield Ward of Crawley Borough not included in Bewbush ED

and that part of the West Green Ward of Crawley Borough bounded by a line commencing at a point on the northwestern boundary of said ward due north of the northernmost corner of 259 Ifield Road, thence due southwards to said corner and southwards along the rear curtilage of said property and the rear curtilages of Nos 257-179 Ifield Road and continuing southwards along the western curtilage of No 4 Ewhurst Road crossing Ewhurst Road to and continuing southeastwards along the western curtilage of No 3 Ewhurst Road to the rear curtilage of said property, thence northeastwards northwards and northeastwards along the rear curtilages of Nos 3-5 Ewhurst Road, No 26 Ginhams Road, and Nos 7-9 Ewhurst Road to Ginhams Road,

thence northwestwards along said road to Ewhurst Road, thence northeastwards along said road to the access road to the properties known as The Twitten, thence southeastwards along said access road to a point opposite the rear curtilages of Nos 11-21 Ewhurst Road, thence northeastwards to and along said rear curtilages and in prolongation thereof to the western curtilage of No 23 Ewhurst Road, thence southeastwards along said western curtilage to the rear curtilage of said property, thence northeastwards along said rear curtilage to the eastern curtilage of said property, thence northwards along said eastern curtilage to the rear curtilage of No 25 Ewhurst Road thence northeastwards along said rear curtilage to the eastern curtilage of said property, thence generally northwestwards, along said eastern curtilage to Ewhurst Road, thence northeastwards along said road to West Green Drive, thence southeastwards along said drive to a point opposite the northwestern curtilage of No 88 West Green Drive, thence northeastwards to and along said northwestern curtilage to the rear curtilage of said property, thence southeastwards, northeastwards, and southeastwards along said rear curtilage and the rear curtilages of Nos 86-82 West Green Road, Nos 2 and 4 Town Barn Road, and Nos 80-76 West Green Road to the eastern curtilage of the last mentioned property, thence southwestwards along said curtilage to the rear curtilage of 74 West Green Road, thence southeastwards, southwestwards and southeastwards along said rear curtilage and the rear curtilages of Nos 72-66 West Green Drive, Nos 5 and 3 Buckmans Road and No 64 West Green Drive to the southeastern curtilage of the last mentioned property, thence southwestwards, northwestwards and southwestwards along said southeastern curtilage to West Green Drive, thence southeastwards along said drive to a point opposite the northwestern curtilage of No 62 West Green Drive, thence northeastwards to and along said northwestern curtilage to the rear curtilage of said property, thence southeastwards along said rear

curtilage and the rear curtilage of No 60 West Green Drive to the northwestern curtilage of No 58 West Green Drive, thence northeastwards along said northwestern curtilage to the rear curtilage of said property, thence generally southeastwards, along said rear curtilage and the rear curtilages of Nos 56 West Green Drive, No 6 Buckmans Road and Nos 54 and 52 West Green Drive to the northwestern curtilage of the property known as Fairfield House (hospital education centre), thence northeastwards and eastwards along the northwestern and northern curtilages of said property, crossing the access road to Fairfield House from Sunnymead, continuing eastwards and southeastwards along the northern and northeastern curtilages of said property to the Car Park access road from Orchard Street, thence eastwards along said access road to Orchard Street, thence northwards and eastwards along said street to the eastern boundary of West Green Ward, thence southwards, westwards and generally northeastwards along the eastern, southern and northwestern boundaries of said ward to the point of commencement.

LANGLEY GREEN ED

Crawley Borough Ward of:-

Langley Green

and that part of the West Green Ward of Crawley Borough not included in Ifield ED.

NORTHGATE THREE BRIDGES ED

Crawley Borough Wards of:-

Northgate

Three Bridges

POUND HILL ED

Crawley Borough Ward of:-

Pound Hill North

and that part of the Pound Hill South Ward of Crawley Borough not included in Furnace Green ED

TILGATE ED

Crawley Borough Ward of:-

Tilgate

and that part of the Southgate Ward of Crawley Borough not included in
Gossops Green ED

HORSHAM DISTRICT

BILLINGSHURST ED

The Horsham District Wards of:-

Billingshurst

Itchingfield and Shipley

HENFIELD ED

Horsham District Wards of:-

Henfield

West Grinstead

and the parish of:-

Cowfold

HOLBROOK ED

Horsham District Ward of:-

Nuthurst

and the parishes of:-

Lower Beeding

Rusper

and the Holbrook Ward of the Parish of Horsham Rural

HURST ED

Horsham District Ward of:-

Trafalgar

and that part of the Denne Ward of Horsham District bounded by a line commencing at a point where the eastern boundary of said ward meets North Street thence southwestwards along said street to and continuing southwestwards along the road known as Carfax to Middle Street, thence northwestwards along said road and West Street to the northwestern boundary of Deene Ward, thence generally northwards, northeastwards and southeastwards along the northwestern, northern and eastern boundaries of said ward to the point of commencement.

PULBOROUGH ED

Horsham District Wards of:-

Pulborough

West Chiltington

and the parishes of:-

Amberley

Parham

Thakeham

RIVERSIDE ED

Horsham District Ward of:-

Riverside

and that part of the Forest Ward of Horsham District bounded by a line commencing at a point where the northwestern boundary of said ward meets Parsonage Road, thence southeastwards along said road and continuing southeastwards along the road known as Redkilyn Way, to Harwood Road, thence eastwards along said road to the eastern boundary of Forest Ward, thence southwards, generally westwards, northwestwards and eastwards along the eastern, southern and western boundaries of said ward to the point of commencement.

ROFFEY ED

The Horsham Rural Parish Ward of:-

Roffey

and that part of the Forest Ward of Horsham District not included in
Riverside ED

SOUTHWATER ED

Horsham District Ward of:-

Southwater

and that part of the Horsham District Ward of Deene not included in
Hurst ED

STEYNING ED

Horsham District Wards of:-

Bramber and Upper Beeding

Steyning

STORRINGTON ED

Horsham District Ward of:-

and the parishes of:-

Sullington

Ashington

Storrington

Washington

Wiston

WARNHAM ED

Horsham District Wards of:-

Broadbridge Heath

Rudgwick

Slinfold

Warnham

MID SUSSEX DISTRICT

BURGESS HILL CENTRAL ED

Mid Sussex District Wards of:-

Burgess Hill - Chanctonbury

Burgess Hill - North

Burgess Hill - Town

BURGESS HILL EAST ED

Mid Sussex District Wards of:-

Burgess Hill - Franklands

Burgess Hill - St Andrews

CUCKFIELD RURAL ED

Mid Sussex District Wards of:-

Ardingly

Cuckfield

Horsted Keynes

Lindfield Rural

EAST GRINSTEAD EAST ED

Mid Sussex District Wards of:-

East Grinstead East

East Grinstead North

EAST GRINSTEAD SOUTH ED

Mid Sussex District Wards of:-

East Grinstead South

West Hoathly

HAS SOCKS AND BURGESS HILL WEST ED

Mid Sussex District Wards of:-

Burgess Hill - West

Keymer

and the parish of:-

Clayton

HAYWARDS HEATH EAST ED

Mid Sussex District Wards of:-

Haywards Heath Bentswood

Haywards Heath Franklands

HAYWARDS HEATH WEST ED

Mid Sussex District Wards of:-

Haywards Heath Ashenground

Haywards Heath Harlands

IMBERDOWN ED

Mid Sussex District Wards of:-

Crawley Down

East Grinstead West

LINDFIELD ED

Mid Sussex District Wards of:-

Haywards Heath - Heath

Lindfield Urban

MID SUSSEX NORTH ED

Mid Sussex District Wards of:-
 Balcombe
 Copthorne and Worth
 Slaugham
 Turners Hill

MID SUSSEX SOUTH ED

Mid Sussex District Wards of:-
 Bolney
 Hurstpierpoint
 and the parish of:-
 Pyecombe

WORTHING BOROUGH

BROADWATER ED

Worthing Borough Ward of:-
 Broadwater

and that part of the Gaisford Ward of Worthing Borough bounded by a line commencing at a point where the southern boundary of said ward meets Broadwater Road, thence northwards along said road to the northern boundary of Gaisford Ward, thence northwards, eastwards, southwards and westwards along the northern, eastern and southern boundaries of said ward to the point of commencement.

CISSBURY ED

Worthing Borough Ward of:-
 Offington

and that part of Gaisford Ward of Worthing Borough bounded by a line commencing at a point where the western boundary of Broadwater ED meets Queen Street, thence westwards along said street to South Farm Road, thence southwards along said road to St Lawrence Avenue, thence westwards along said avenue to the western boundary of Gaisford Ward, thence westwards and northwards along said boundary to the northern boundary of said Ward, thence generally eastwards and southwards along said boundary to the western boundary of Broadwater ED, thence southwards along said

western boundary to the point of commencement.

DURRINGTON ED

Worthing Borough Ward of: Durrington

EAST WORTHING ED

Worthing Borough Ward of: Selden.

and that part of the Central Ward of Worthing Borough bounded by a line commencing at a point where the eastern boundary of said ward meets the southern boundary of the Borough, being Mean Low Water, thence southwards along said Borough boundary to a point being due south of the southeastermost corner of the Club situated at the southwestern corner of Denton Gardens, thence due north to said southeastermost corner, thence northwards, westwards, southwards, westwards, southwards and westwards along the eastern and rear curtilage of said club to the western boundary of Denton Gardens, thence northwards along said western boundary to Brighton Road, thence westwards along said road to High Street, thence northwards along said street to North Street, thence northwestwards along said street to Chapel Road, thence northwards along said road and Broadwater Road to the northern boundary of Central Ward, thence northeastwards and generally southeastwards along the northern and eastern boundaries of said ward to the point of commencement.

GORING-BY-SEA ED

Worthing Borough Ward of: Goring

and that part of the Marine Ward of Worthing Borough bounded by a line commencing at a point where the southern boundary of the Borough, being Mean Low Water, meets the western boundary of Marine Ward, thence generally northwards and eastwards along the western and northern boundaries of said ward to a point in line with the eastern boundary of West Park Recreation Ground, thence southwards to and along said eastern boundary to the

northern curtilage of No 175 George V Avenue, thence eastwards along said northern curtilage and the northern boundary of George V Avenue to George V Avenue, thence southeastwards along said road and in prolongation southeastwards in a straight line to the southern boundary of the Borough, being Mean Low Water, thence generally westwards along said Borough boundary to the point of commencement.

MAYBRIDGE ED

Worthing Borough Ward of:- Castle

and that part of the Tarring Ward of Worthing Borough bounded by a line commencing at a point where the northern boundary of said ward meets Lindum Road, thence southeastwards along said road to Pelham Road, thence southwards along said road to Terringes Avenue, thence westwards along said avenue to the western boundary of Tarring Ward, thence westwards, northwards and northeastwards along the western and northern boundaries of said ward to the point of commencement.

RICHMOND ED

That part of the Central Ward of Worthing Borough not included in East Worthing ED

and that part of the Heene Ward of Worthing Borough bounded by a line commencing at a point where the eastern boundary of the said ward meets the southern boundary of the Borough, being Mean Low Water, thence generally westwards along said Borough boundary to a point being the prolongation southwards of Heene Road, thence northwestwards along said prolongation and Heene Road to the northern boundary of Heene Ward, thence generally eastwards and southwards, along the northern and eastern boundaries of said ward to the point of commencement.

SALVINGTON ED

Worthing Borough Ward of:

Salvington

and that part of the Tarring Ward of Worthing Borough bounded by a line commencing at a point where the eastern boundary of the said ward meets Glebe Road, thence westwards along said road to Church Road, thence northwestwards and westwards along said road to the northeastern boundary of Maybridge ED, thence generally northwestwards along said ED boundary to the northern boundary of Tarring Ward, thence eastwards and generally southwards along the northern and eastern boundaries of said ward to the point of commencement.

WEST PARADE ED

That part of the Heene Ward of Worthing Borough not included in

Richmond ED

and that part of the Marine Ward of Worthing Borough not included in

Goring-by-Sea ED.

WEST TARRING ED

That part of the Gaisford Ward of Worthing Borough not included in Broadwater

ED or Cissbury ED

and that part of the Tarring Ward of Worthing Borough not included in

Maybridge ED or Salvington ED.

