

Local Government
Boundary Commission
For England
Report No. **472**

Review of Electoral Arrangements

County of Norfolk

LOCAL GOVERNMENT

BOUNDARY COMMISSION

FOR ENGLAND

REPORT NO. 472

LOCAL GOVERNMENT BOUNDARY COMMISSION FOR ENGLAND

CHAIRMAN

Mr G J Ellerton CMC MBE

MEMBERS

Lady Ackner

Mr T Brockbank DL

Mr D P Harrison

Professor G E Cherry

To the Rt. Hon. Leon Brittan MP
Secretary of State for the Home Department

PROPOSALS FOR THE FUTURE ELECTORAL ARRANGEMENTS FOR THE COUNTY OF NORFOLK

1. The last order under section 51 of the Local Government Act 1972 in relation to the electoral arrangements for districts in the County of Norfolk was made on 10 October 1979. As required by section 63 and Schedule 9 of the Act, we have now reviewed the electoral arrangements for that county, using the procedures we had set out in our Report No.6.

2. We informed the Norfolk County Council in a consultation letter dated 16 January 1980 that we proposed to conduct the review, and we asked them to prepare and publish a draft scheme of electoral representation for the county and to submit it to us on 30 May 1980. We sent copies of the consultation letter to all local authorities and parish meetings in the county, to the Members of Parliament representing the constituencies concerned, to the headquarters of the main political parties, and to the editors both of local newspapers circulating in the county and of the local government press. Notices in the local press announced the start of the review and invited comments from members of the public and from interested bodies not later than 30 May 1980.

3. On 24 April 1980 the County Council informed us that they would not be in a position to submit a complete draft scheme to us by the specified date. We informed the recipients of the consultation letter accordingly on 21 May 1980, and asked the County Council to place notices in the local press explaining the situation.

4. On 31 October 1980, having been informed that they were almost ready to publish their draft scheme, we wrote to the County Council asking them to submit the scheme to us on 7 January 1981, and invited comments on it by that date. We sent copies of this letter to all the recipients of our original consultation letter, and asked the County Council to advertise the revised arrangements in the local press.

5. On 7 January 1981 the County Council duly submitted to us their draft scheme, in which they suggested 84 electoral divisions for the county, each returning one member in accordance with section 6(2)(a) of the Act.

6. We gave initial consideration to this scheme, and were disposed to accept the suggested council size, subject to any amendment that might result from our detailed consideration of the scheme and associated comments. We noted, however, that the County Council had only been able to supply electorate figures for the years 1979, 1980 and 1984. Paragraph 1(2) of Schedule 11 to the Act requires us, in reviewing the electoral arrangements for counties, to have regard to any change in the number or distribution of the local government electors of the county that is likely to take place within the period of five years immediately following our consideration. Since this particular review had formally begun in 1980, we therefore decided that, before we could continue with our examination of the draft scheme, it was essential for us to have some indication of what the 1985 figures would be, especially as that would be a county council election year. In addition, we considered we needed further details about the expected electorate increase in Norwich. We wrote to the County Council accordingly on 19 June 1981.

7. The County Council decided to make a detailed reappraisal of the forecast electorates for all the electoral divisions suggested in their draft scheme, and they eventually replied on 28 October 1982, giving 1985 electorates and an explanation of the Norwich figures as requested.

8. We now considered the draft scheme in detail, together with the views which had been expressed on it. We noted in particular that the proposed Northgate division in the Borough of Great Yarmouth would be considerably over-represented, and it seemed possible that the situation could be improved by the adoption of an alternative grouping of polling districts. On obtaining from Great Yarmouth Borough Council a map of the relevant polling districts, however, we noted that the grouping we had in mind would be geographically unsatisfactory, in that two divisions would contain

detached portions. We therefore decided to adhere to the County Council's proposed Northgate division for the purpose of our draft proposals.

9. We issued our draft proposals on 13 May 1983, and sent copies of them to all those who had received our consultation letter or commented on the County Council's draft scheme. Notices were inserted in the local press announcing that the draft proposals had been issued and could be inspected at the County Council's offices.

10. We incorporated the County Council's draft scheme in our draft proposals, subject to certain slight boundary adjustments to take account of parish boundary changes effected by the South Norfolk (Parishes) Order 1981 and the Breckland (Parishes) Order 1982, as well as the following modifications which we adopted either to produce a more even standard of representation or to accord with our normal practice with regard to names of divisions:-

(a) Breckland District

The replacement of the proposed Thetford North and Thetford South divisions with an alternative arrangement devised by ourselves, producing a Thetford West and a Thetford East division. (We had regard to the fact that one particular parish boundary change effected by the Breckland (Parishes) Order 1982 had significantly increased the projected 1985 electorate of one of the Thetford wards).

(b) King's Lynn and West Norfolk Borough

The replacement of the proposed King's Lynn Central, King's Lynn North and King's Lynn South West divisions with an alternative arrangement of three divisions devised by ourselves, to be named King's Lynn North and Central, Gaywood North and Central, and King's Lynn South; and the deletion of the words 'King's Lynn' from the name of the proposed King's Lynn Gaywood South division.

(c) North Norfolk District

The replacement of the oblique stroke in the name of the proposed Erpingham/Melton Constable division with "and".

11. We also drew attention, in the letter announcing our draft proposals, to the following cases where we considered that more appropriate division names could perhaps be adopted if it was felt desirable:-

(i) The proposed Dereham North division actually extended further south than the Dereham South division. If this was felt to be unsatisfactory, we considered that one possible solution might be to add the words 'and Shipdham' to the name of the Dereham North division - Shipdham being the largest whole parish (in terms of electorate) in the division;

(ii) Both Great Yarmouth Borough and Norwich City contained a proposed division named 'Nelson'. If this was ~~thought~~ likely to lead to confusion, we considered that the divisions in question could be renamed 'Great Yarmouth Nelson' and 'Norwich Nelson' respectively;

(iii) The proposed Marshland division in King's Lynn and West Norfolk Borough did not include the borough ward of Mershe Lande. We wondered, therefore, whether the similarity of these names might prove confusing;

(iv) In North Norfolk District, the proposed North Smallburgh division included the whole of the parish of Smallburgh, whereas the proposed South Smallburgh division did not include any of it. We considered that possible alternative names for these divisions might be Stalham and Hoveton respectively (the name of the largest constituent parish in each case), although we recognised that 'Hoveton' would perhaps not be an ideal name, as that parish was situated right at the western extremity of the proposed South Smallburgh division:

(v) In South Norfolk District, the proposed East Depwade division contained the whole of the Depwade district ward, whereas the proposed West Depwade division contained none of it. The largest parish in the East Depwade division was Redenhall with Harleston, and we considered that this name, or perhaps simply 'Harleston', could possibly be adopted as an alternative name for the division. The largest parish in the West Depwade division was Mulbarton, but we felt this might not be an entirely suitable name for that division, as the parish was situated right at its north-eastern extremity.

12. We received letters in response to our draft proposals from the County Council, six district councils, nine parish councils, two political organisations, and three private individuals. A list of those who wrote to us is given in the Appendix of this report.

13. The responses we received can be summarised as follows:-

(a) Breckland District

The County Council accepted our proposed arrangement of divisions in Thetford, but suggested that the proposed Dereham North and Dereham South divisions be renamed 'Dereham West' and 'Dereham East' respectively. Breckland District Council had no observations to make. Scarning Parish Council wished to remain in a wholly rural division rather than be included with part of the town of Dereham.

(b) Broadland District

Broadland District Council had no objection to our draft proposals. Great and Little Plumstead Parish Council objected to being included in the proposed Thorpe St. Andrew division and wished to be linked with the Blofield area as at present, on the grounds that the latter was a rural area with which they had more in common. Hellesdon Parish Council wished the whole of the Hellesdon parish to form one division. Similarly, Thorpe St. Andrew Parish Council and one private individual wished the whole of the parish of Thorpe St. Andrew to form a division

on its own, on grounds of local ties. Spixworth Parish Council wished to be included in the Horsford rather than the more urban Old Catton division. Norwich Labour Party objected to the urban/rural combinations in the area around Norwich, and suggested an alternative arrangement involving the allocation of two whole divisions each to the Hellesdon and Sprowston parishes and one each to the Old Catton and Thorpe St. Andrew parishes; they did not however offer any suggestion for the rural parts of three divisions of our draft proposals, which would be left over if their arrangement were adopted.

(c) Great Yarmouth Borough

The County Council agreed with our informal suggestion that, to avoid possible confusion, the proposed Nelson division in Great Yarmouth Borough could be renamed 'Great Yarmouth Nelson'.

Great Yarmouth Borough Council objected to our draft proposals, on the grounds that they split borough wards in the unparished urban area of the borough and paid insufficient regard to local ties and natural boundaries; they objected in particular to the straddling of the boundary of the unparished area, and to the splitting of both of the suburban parishes of Caister-on-Sea and Bradwell. They also objected to the proposed Northgate division, which straddled the River Bure; and to the proposed Southtown and Cobholm division, which they claimed did not represent any community of interest. Moreover, they were against the proposed separation of the two Magdalen wards of Great Yarmouth; and in any event they objected to the proposed name 'Lothingland East' for a division which included the Magdalen West ward, since this ward did not form part of the old Lothingland Hundred, from which the name originated. They pointed out that in the case of King's Lynn our draft proposals did not split any borough wards or straddle the town boundary and, adopting these criteria, they put forward an alternative 10-member scheme for the Borough of Great Yarmouth which, they considered, gave proper weight to local ties. They

recognised that the standard of representation in this alternative arrangement would be uneven in the period up to 1986, but they argued that we should take into account expected electorate trends beyond that time; they claimed that their arrangement was superior to our draft proposals in that it made allowances for the expected shift of population from urban to rural areas, with the result that its numerical unevenness would tend to improve with the passage of time whereas that of our draft proposals for the borough could be expected to worsen.

The County Council, who had received a copy of the Borough Council's letter to us but had not yet had an opportunity to consider it in detail, asked to be consulted if we were disposed to reassess our draft proposals in the light of that letter.

(d) King's Lynn and West Norfolk Borough

The County Council raised no objections to our draft proposals for King's Lynn, but suggested that the proposed Marshland and Upwell divisions in the rural part of the borough be renamed 'Marshland North' and 'Marshland South' respectively, in order to avoid the possible confusion to which we had referred in our draft proposals letter. The Borough Council of King's Lynn and West Norfolk suggested a different solution, i.e. that the Marshland division be renamed 'Terrington'. Denver Parish Council - and one of its councillors and his wife, writing as private individuals - objected on grounds of local ties to the composition of the proposed Fincham division and of the division in which it was proposed to include Denver (i.e. Downham Market). The Secretary of the North West Norfolk Conservative Association questioned the proposed Fincham and Winch divisions on the grounds that they straddled the boundary between the new Parliamentary constituencies of North West Norfolk and South West Norfolk; he argued that such a situation would complicate the Association's procedures for selecting candidates and contesting elections, and he suggested an alternative arrangement which involved dividing the area in question into two divisions along the constituency boundary and adding to one of them the southerly protrusion of the unparished King's Lynn area.

(e) North Norfolk District

The County Council supported our adoption of ~~the~~ word "and" in the proposed Erpingham and Melton Constable division in place of an oblique stroke. They noted our observation about the names of the proposed North Smallburgh and South Smallburgh divisions, but they considered that these names should nevertheless be retained. Little Snoring Parish Council had no objections to our draft proposals.

(f) Norwich City

The County Council made no comments on our informal suggestion that the proposed Nelson division in Norwich could be renamed 'Norwich Nelson' in order to avoid confusion with the proposed Nelson division in Great Yarmouth. Norwich City Council considered it unnecessary to include the town name in the Nelson divisions; otherwise they had no comments to make.

(g) South Norfolk District

The County Council noted our observation about the names of the proposed East Depwade and West Depwade divisions, but considered that these names should be retained, having regard to the historical association with the Hundred of Depwade. South Norfolk District Council, however, favoured the names 'Harleston' and 'Mulbarton' for these two divisions respectively. Little Denton Parish Council wished to be included in the same division as the town of Harleston (i.e East Depwade) on grounds of local ties, and expressed their fear that the current arrangement whereby they held regular joint meetings with the Alburgh, Wortwell and Farsham parish councils about matters of common interest could be terminated if our draft proposals were confirmed. Little Melton Parish Council wished to be included in the Humbleyard rather than the Hingham division, in view of their strong links with the town of Hethersett.

14. In reassessing our draft proposals we noted the alternative scheme submitted by Great Yarmouth Borough Council in respect of their borough, together with their supporting arguments, and we decided to seek the County Council's views on these before reaching a conclusion on the matter. We wrote to the County Council accordingly.

15. The County Council replied that they found the Borough Council's scheme unacceptable, principally because of the inequality of representation which it would produce. They considered that this scheme should be assessed on the same basis as the rest of the county, i.e. on 1985 electorate figures, bearing in mind that the review had begun in 1980 and that Schedule 11 of the Local Government Act 1972 requires us to look only five years ahead. They pointed out that, on the basis of the best County Council projections for that year, the Borough Council's scheme produced a far more uneven standard of representation than the draft proposals. Whilst conceding that there might be a gradual fall in the electorate of the urban area of the Borough in subsequent years, and some increase in that of certain rural areas, they considered that the Borough Council's estimates of the rate of change, and hence of the reduction in the disparities between the electorate figures for the Borough Council's proposed divisions, were too optimistic. They also considered the Borough Council's scheme to be unfairly weighted in favour of the rural part of the Borough. The County Council recognised that the Borough Council's scheme had some advantages in the recognition of local ties, but thought that it placed undue emphasis on a spurious distinction between urban and rural areas. They reiterated that their own scheme, while having regard to community interests, had given priority to the objective of providing electoral equality, so far as possible, and suggested that this was in line with the Commission's Report 443. They did not consider that the arguments for treating the urban area of King's Lynn and the town of Thetford as separate entities were applicable to the Great Yarmouth urban area, which contained a much larger proportion of the electorate of its district. They therefore strongly urged us to adhere to our draft proposals in respect of Great Yarmouth Borough, subject only to the possible reconsideration of certain division names so as to associate these more clearly with Great Yarmouth. The County Council were particularly anxious that the new

electoral arrangements should come into effect in time for the 1985 county council elections.

16. We have come to the following conclusions, taking into consideration all the points that were made to us:-

(a) Breckland District

We can find no practicable way of meeting Scarning Parish Council's wish to be included in a wholly rural division. We have decided to rename the proposed Dereham North and Dereham South divisions as 'Dereham West' and 'Dereham East' respectively, as suggested by the County Council, but otherwise to adhere to our draft proposals for the district;

(b) Broadland District

We have noted the objections concerning local ties, arising from our proposed configuration of divisions in the area around Norwich. Geographical and numerical factors, however, place severe constraints upon the possibilities in this area. The Norwich Labour Party's suggested arrangement - which was in any event incomplete - would produce serious inequalities of representation, and we therefore find it unacceptable. We have considered the suggestions made by the Hellesdon, Great and Little Plumstead, Spixworth, and Thorpe St. Andrew Parish Councils and a private individual, but these too would result in a less even standard of representation. In view of this, and bearing in mind that both the County Council and Broadland District Council are in agreement with our proposed arrangement, we have decided to adhere to our draft proposals for the whole district;

(c) Great Yarmouth Borough

We have given careful consideration to the scheme submitted by Great Yarmouth Borough Council. We accept that it comes nearer to reflecting local ties and that its electoral imbalances might well improve after 1985. However we agree with the County Council that at this stage we should only take into account the

figures up to 1985; on that basis, the standard of representation provided by our draft proposals is considerably better than that of the Borough Council's scheme; theirs contained five divisions with a deviation of more than 20% from the average, and only one within the 10% mark, whereas our draft proposals provided for six divisions within 10% and only one outside 20%. Unfortunately, it has not been possible to achieve this more balanced arrangement without splitting district wards or straddling the town boundary. In all the circumstances, including the County Council's reasoned opposition to the Borough Council's scheme, we have decided to adhere to the arrangement of divisions set out in our draft proposals. However, we have accepted the Borough Council's argument that 'Lothingland East' would not be an entirely suitable division name in the circumstances, and we have decided to rename it 'Lothingland East and Magdalen West'. Arising from this change, we have also decided to rename our proposed Magdalen and Claydon division 'Magdalen East and Claydon'. In addition we have decided that the proposed Nelson division should be renamed 'Great Yarmouth Nelson' as agreed by the County Council;

(d) King's Lynn and West Norfolk Borough

We note that Denver Parish Council, and the parish councillor and his wife who have written in their support, have failed to specify any particular alternative arrangement in objecting to our proposed Fincham and Downham Market divisions. The alternative arrangement suggested by the Secretary of the North West Norfolk Conservative Association, affecting a total of three divisions, produces a much worse electoral balance than our draft proposals, and we therefore consider it unacceptable. We have not received any other objections to our draft proposals for those divisions; we have therefore decided to adhere to them. On the question of names, we do not consider that it would be wholly appropriate for the proposed Marshland division to be renamed 'Terrington' as suggested by the Borough Council, since this division includes the parish of Terrington St. Clement but not that of Terrington St. John. However, the County Council's suggestion that the proposed Marshland and Upwell divisions be renamed

'Marshland North' and 'Marshland South' respectively seems reasonable, since it would incorporate the word 'Marshland' in the name of the proposed division which includes the borough ward of Mershe Lande and the parish of Marshland St. James; it also appears to be geographically appropriate. We have therefore decided to adopt the County Council's suggestion with regard to the names of these two divisions, but otherwise to adhere to our draft proposals for the borough;

(e) North Norfolk District

Since no-one has objected to our proposed arrangement for this district, and the County Council do not wish the names of the proposed North Smallburgh and South Smallburgh divisions to be changed, we have decided to adhere to our draft proposals;

(f) Norwich City

In the absence of any objections, and having regard to the lack of support for the idea of including the town name in the proposed Nelson division, we have decided to adhere to our draft proposals;

(g) South Norfolk District

Denton Parish Council's desire to go into the East Depwade rather than the Clavering division (accompanied, presumably, by the parish of Alburgh) appears to have some geographical merit in view of the latter division's shape, but the result would be an inferior electoral balance (which would be made worse still if the parish of Earsham were also transferred to East Depwade); we have consequently decided to adhere to our proposed Clavering and East Depwade divisions, the composition of which has been accepted by both the County Council and the District Council. We have noted Denton Parish Council's statement about their joint meetings with other parish councils, but we can see no reason why these should not continue to take place, irrespective of county electoral boundaries, if the parish councils so wish. We have some sympathy with Little Melton Parish Council's wish to be included in the Humbleyard rather

than the Hingham division. Transferring the parish to Humbleyard would avoid splitting the Beckhithe district ward; it would, however, worsen the electoral balance between the two divisions, and in view of this, plus the fact that our proposed arrangement for these divisions is in accordance with the wishes of the County Council and has not been opposed by South Norfolk District Council, we have decided against adopting Little Melton Parish Council's suggestion. In view of the County Council's comment about the Depwade Hundred, we have decided to retain the names East Depwade and West Depwade.

17. We accordingly confirm our draft proposals as our final proposals for all the districts in the county, subject to the renaming of two divisions in Breckland District (paragraph 16(a)), three in Great Yarmouth Borough (paragraph 16(c)), and two in the King's Lynn and West Norfolk Borough (paragraph 16(d)).

18. Details of these final proposals are set out in Schedule 1 and 2 to this report and on the attached map. Schedule 1 gives the names of the electoral divisions. A detailed description of the boundaries of the proposed divisions as shown on the map is set out in Schedule 2.

PUBLICATION

19. In accordance with section 60(5) of the Local Government Act 1972, a copy of this report and the map are being sent to Norfolk County Council and will be available for inspection at the Council's main offices. Copies of the report (without the map) are being sent to those who received a copy of our draft proposals

letter and to those who commented on our draft proposals.

L.S.

SIGNED: G J ELLERTON (Chairman)

JOAN ACKNER

TYRRELL BROCKBANK

G E CHERRY

D P HARRISON

L B GRIMSHAW

Secretary

5 April 1984

LIST OF THOSE WHO WROTE IN RESPONSE TO THE DRAFT PROPOSALS

	<u>Area concerned</u>
Norfolk County Council	Whole County
 <u>Breckland District</u>	
Breckland District Council	Whole district
Scarning Parish Council	Scarning
 <u>Broadland District</u>	
Broadland District Council	Whole district
Great and Little Plumstead Parish Council	Great and Little Plumstead
Hellesdon Parish Council	Hellesdon
Spixworth Parish Council	Spixworth
Thorpe St. Andrew Parish Council	Thorpe St. Andrew
Norwich Labour Party	Hellesdon, Old Catton, Sprowston and Thorpe St Andrew
A private individual	Thorpe St. Andrew
 <u>Great Yarmouth Borough</u>	
Great Yarmouth Borough Council	Whole borough
 <u>King's Lynn and West Norfolk Borough</u>	
Borough Council of King's Lynn and West Norfolk	Marshland division
Denver Parish Council	Fincham and Downham Market divisions
Secretary of North West Norfolk Conservative Association	Fincham, Winch, and King's Lynn South divisions
A Denver Parish Councillor and his wife (writing as private individuals)	Fincham and Downham Market divisions

Area concerned

North Norfolk District

Little Snoring Parish Council

Little Snoring

Norwich City

Norwich City Council

Nelson divisions in Norwich
and Great Yarmouth

South Norfolk District

South Norfolk District Council

East Depwade and West Depwade
divisions

Denton Parish Council

Denton

Little Melton Parish Council

Little Melton

COUNTY OF NORFOLK

NAMES OF PROPOSED ELECTORAL DIVISIONS (EACH RETURNING ONE COUNTY COUNCILLOR)

District of Breckland

Attleborough
 Dereham East
 Dereham West
 Elmham and Mattishall
 Guiltcross
 Necton and Launditch
 Swaffham
 Thetford East
 Thetford West
 Watton
 Wissey

Borough of Great Yarmouth

Caister and Great Yarmouth North
 East Flegg
 Gorleston St Andrews
 Great Yarmouth Nelson
 Lothingland East and Magdalen West
 Lothingland West
 Magdalen East and Claydon
 Northgate
 Southtown and Cobholm
 West Flegg

Borough of King's Lynn and West NorfolkDistrict of Broadland

Acle
 Aylsham
 Blofield and Brundall
 Hellesdon
 Horsford
 Old Catton
 Reepham
 Sprowston
 Taverham
 Thorpe St Andrew
 Woodside
 Wroxham

Dersingham
 Docking
 Downham Market
 Feltwell
 Fincham
 Freebridge Lynn
 Gaywood North and Central
 Gaywood South
 Hunstanton
 King's Lynn North and Central
 King's Lynn South
 Marshland North
 Marshland South
 Winch

District of North Norfolk

Cromer
Erpingham and Melton Constable
Fakenham
Holt
Mundesley
North Smallburgh
North Walsham
Sheringham
South Smallburgh
Wells

District of South Norfolk

Clavering
Costessey
Diss
East Depwade
Hendstead
Hingham
Humbleyard
Loddon
Long Stratton
West Depwade
Wymondham

City of Norwich

Bowthorpe
Catton Grove
Coslany
Crome
Eaton
Heigham
Henderson
Lakenham
Mancroft
Mile Cross
Mousehold
Nelson
St Stephen
Thorpe Hamlet
Town Close
University

The proposed electoral divisions are shown on a map which can be inspected at the County Council's offices. A description of the areas of the proposed electoral divisions shown on the map is attached at Schedule 2.

LOCAL GOVERNMENT BOUNDARY COMMISSION FOR ENGLAND

COUNTY OF NORFOLK - DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED ELECTORAL DIVISIONS

FINAL PROPOSAL

Note: Where a boundary is described as following a road, railway, river, canal or similar feature, it shall be deemed to follow the centre line of the feature, unless otherwise stated.

BRECKLAND DISTRICT

ATTLEBOROUGH ED

The Breckland District Wards of Besthorpe

Haverscroft

Queen's

and the parishes of Croxton

Great Ellingham

Hockham

Roudham

Shropham

Snetterton

Wretham

DEREHAM EAST ED

The Breckland District Wards of East Dereham - Toftwood

East Dereham - Town

Upper Yare

DEREHAM WEST ED

The Breckland District Wards of East Dereham - Neatherd

East Dereham - St Withburga

Shipworth

and the parish of Scarning

ELMHAM AND MATTISHALL ED

The Breckland District Wards of Eynsford

Mattishall

Swanton Morley

Two Rivers

Upper Wensum

GUILTCROSS ED

The Breckland DisitRICT Wards of Buckenham

East Guiltcross

Harling

West Guiltcross

and the parishes of Blo'Norton

Brettenham

Bridgham

Garboldisham

Kilverstone

Riddlesworth

NECTON AND LAUNDITCH ED

The Breckland District Wards of Beetley and Gressenhall

Hermitage

Launditch

Necton

Taverner

and the parishes of Fransham

Little Dunham

Wendling

SWAFFHAM ED

The Breckland District Wards of Nar Valley

Swaffham

and the parishes of Beachamwell

Cockley Cley

Oxborough

THETFORD EAST ED

The Breckland District Wards of Thetford - Barnham Cross

Thetford - Guildhall

THETFORD WEST ED

The Breckland District Wards of Thetford - Abbey

Thetford - Saxon

WATTON ED

The Breckland District Wards of Templar

Watton

Wayland

and the parishes of Little Ellingham

Rocklands

WISSEY ED

The Breckland District Wards of Conifer

Haggard De Toni

Weeting

Wissey

and the parishes of Great Cressingham

Hilborough

Little Cressingham

BROADLAND DISTRICT

ACLE ED

The Broadland District Wards of Acle

Freethorpe

Reedham

South Walsham

and the parish of Strumpshaw

AYLSHAM ED

The Broadland District Wards of Buxton

Hevingham

and the parishes of Aylsham

Blickling

BLOFIELD AND BRUNDALL ED

The Broadland District Wards of Blofield

Brundall

and the parish of Lingwood and Burlingham

HELLESDON ED

The Broadland District Wards of Hellesdon Southeast

Hellesdon West

HORSFORD ED

The Broadland District Wards of Hellesdon North

Horsford

St Faiths

OLD CATTON ED

The Broadland District Wards of Catton

Spixworth

REEPHAM ED

The Broadland District Wards of Cawston

Foulsham

Great Witchingham

Reepham

and the parish of Oulton

SPROWSTON ED

The Broadland District Wards of Sprowston Central

Sprowston South

Sprowston West

TAVERHAM ED

The Broadland District Wards of Drayton

Taverham

THORPE ST ANDREW ED

The Broadland District Wards of Plumstead

Thorpe St Andrew Northeast

Thorpe St Andrew South

WOODSIDE ED

The Broadland District Wards of Sprowston East

Thorpe St Andrew Northwest

WROXHAM ED

The Broadland District Wards of Coltishall

Hainford

Rackheath

Wroxham

BOROUGH OF GREAT YARMOUTH

CAISTER AND GREAT YARMOUTH NORTH ED

The Great Yarmouth Borough Wards of Caister South

Yarmouth North

EAST FLEGG ED

The Great Yarmouth Borough Wards of Caister North

Ormesby

and the parishes of Filby

Mautby

Stokesby with Herringby

GORLESTON ST ANDREWS ED

The Great Yarmouth Borough Ward of Gorleston

and that part of the Great Yarmouth Borough Ward of St Andrews bounded by a line commencing at the point where the western boundary of St Andrews meets Church Lane, thence eastwards along said lane and continuing eastwards along Baker Street and in prolongation thereof to the eastern boundary of St Andrews Ward, thence generally southwards, westwards, northwestwards and northwards along the eastern, southern and western boundaries of said ward to the point of commencement.

GREAT YARMOUTH NELSON ED

The Great Yarmouth Borough Ward of Nelson

and that part of the Great Yarmouth Borough Ward of Regent bounded by a line commencing at the point where the southern boundary of Regent Ward meets Haven Bridge, thence northeastwards along said bridge to the road known as Hall Quay, thence northwestwards along said road to the road known as Stonecutters Way, thence eastwards along said road to Howard Street North, thence northwards along said street to the road known as The Conge, thence eastwards along said road to the western carriageway of the road known as Market Place, thence northwards along said western carriageway to St Nicholas Road, thence eastwards along said road and continuing eastwards along the northeastern boundary of Regent Ward to the eastern boundary of said ward, thence southwards along said ward boundary and westwards and northwestwards along the southern boundary of said ward to the point of commencement.

LOTHINGLAND EAST AND MAGDALEN WEST ED

The Great Yarmouth Borough Wards of Bradwell South and Hopton

Magdalen West

LOTHINGLAND WEST ED

The Great Yarmouth Borough Wards of Bradwell North

Lothingland

MAGDALEN EAST AND CLAYDON ED

The Great Yarmouth Borough Ward of Magdalen East

and that part of the Great Yarmouth Borough Ward of Claydon bounded by a line commencing at the point where the western boundary of Claydon Ward meets Beccles Road, thence northeastwards along said road to the eastern boundary of Claydon Ward, thence generally southwards, northwestwards, westwards and northwards along the eastern, southern and western boundaries of said ward to the point of commencement.

NORTHGATE ED

The Great Yarmouth Borough Ward of Northgate

and that part of the Great Yarmouth Borough Ward of Regent not included in Great Yarmouth Nelson ED.

SOUTHTOWN AND COBHOLM ED

The Great Yarmouth Borough Ward of Lichfield and Cobholm,

that part of the Great Yarmouth Borough Ward of Claydon not included in Magdalen East and Claydon ED,

and that part of the Great Yarmouth Borough Ward of St Andrews not included in Gorleston St Andrews ED.

WEST FLEGG ED

The Great Yarmouth Borough Wards of Hemsby

Martham

Rollesby

Winterton and Somerton

and the parish of Fleggburgh

BOROUGH OF KING'S LYNN AND WEST NORFOLK

DERSINGHAM ED

The King's Lynn and West Norfolk Borough Wards of Dersingham

Snettisham

Valley Hill

DOCKING ED

The King's Lynn and West Norfolk Borough Wards of Burnham

Creake

Docking

North Coast

Rudham

DOWNHAM MARKET ED

The King's Lynn and West Norfolk Borough Ward of Denver

and the parishes of

Downham Market

Hilgay

FELTWELL ED

The King's Lynn and West Norfolk Borough Wards of Denton

Wissey

and the parish of

Southery

FINCHAM ED

The King's Lynn and West Norfolk Borough Wards of St Lawrence

Watlington

Wiggenhall

the parishes of

Barton Bendish

Fincham

Shouldham Thorpe

Stradsett

Wimbotsham

and the East Stow and West Stow Wards of the parish of Stow Bardolph.

FREEBRIDGE LYNN ED

The King's Lynn and West Norfolk Borough Wards of Gayton

Grimston

The Woottons

GAYWOOD NORTH AND CENTRAL ED

The King's Lynn and West Norfolk Borough Wards of Gaywood Central

Gaywood North

GAYWOOD SOUTH ED

The King's Lynn and West Norfolk Borough Ward of Gaywood South

HUNSTANTON ED

The King's Lynn and West Norfolk Borough Wards of Heacham

Hunstanton

KING'S LYNN NORTH AND CENTRAL ED

The King's Lynn and West Norfolk Borough Wards of Lynn Central

Lynn North

St Margarets

KING'S LYNN SOUTH ED

The King's Lynn and West Norfolk Borough Wards of Chase

Lynn South West

MARSHLAND NORTH ED

The King's Lynn and West Norfolk Borough Wards of Clenchwarton

Spellowfields

The Walpoles

West Walton

MARSHLAND SOUTH ED

The King's Lynn and West Norfolk Borough Wards of Emneth

Mershe Lande

Upwell, Outwell and Delph

WINCH ED

The King's Lynn and West Norfolk Borough Wards of Middleton

Priory

West Winch

and the parishes of

Marham

Shouldham

Wormegay

NORTH NORFOLK DISTRICT

CROMER ED

The North Norfolk District Wards of Cromer

Suffield Park

and the parishes of Felbrigg

Overstrand

Roughton

ERPINGHAM AND MELTON CONSTABLE ED

The North Norfolk District Wards of Astley

Corpusty

Fulmodeston

and the parishes of Briningham

Brinton

Colby

Erpingham

Great Ryburgh

Gunthorpe

Ingworth

Little Ryburgh

Stody

Suffield

Thornage

FAKENHAM ED

The North Norfolk District Wards of Lancaster

The Raynhams

and the parish of Pudding Norton

HOLT ED

The North Norfolk District Wards of Bodham

Chaucer

Cley

and the parishes of Holt

Letheringsett with Glandford

MUNDESLEY ED

The North Norfolk District Ward of Mundesley

and the parishes of Bacton

Happisburgh

Knapton

Lessingham

Northrepps

Paston

Sidestrand

Southrepps

Thorpe Market

Trimingham

Trunch

Witton

NORTH SMALLBURGH ED

The North Norfolk District Wards of Scottow

Stalham

Worstead

and the parishes of Dilham

East Ruston

Honing

Ingham

Smallburgh

Sutton

NORTH WALSHAM ED

The North Norfolk District Wards of North Walsham East

North Walsham West

and the parishes of Antingham

Swafield

SHERINGHAM ED

The North Norfolk District Wards of Sheringham

The Runtons

SOUTH SMALLBURGH ED

The North Norfolk District Wards of Horning

Horsefen

Hoveton

and the parishes of Ashmanhaugh

Barton Turf

Catfield

Hickling

Horsey

Neatishead

Sea Palling

WELLS ED

The North Norfolk District Wards of Blakeney

Walshingham

Wells

and the parishes of Binham

Field Dalling

Great Snoring

Hindringham

Kettlestone

Little Snoring

Thursford

CITY OF NORWICH

BOWTHORPE ED

The Norwich City Ward of Bowthorpe.

CATTON GROVE ED

The Norwich City Ward of Catton Grove.

COSLANY ED

The Norwich City Ward of Coslany.

CROME ED

The Norwich City Ward of Crome.

EATON ED

The Norwich City Ward of Eaton.

HEIGHAM ED

The Norwich City Ward of Heigham.

HENDERSON ED

The Norwich City Ward of Henderson.

LAKENHAM ED

The Norwich City Ward of Lakenham.

MANCROFT ED

The Norwich City Ward of Mancroft.

MILE CROSS ED

The Norwich City Ward of Mile Cross

MOUSEHOLD ED

The Norwich City Ward of Mousehold.

NELSON ED

The Norwich City Ward of Nelson.

ST STEPHEN ED

The Norwich City Ward of St Stephen.

THORPE HAMLET ED

The Norwich City Ward of Thorpe Hamlet

TOWN CLOSE ED

The Norwich City Ward of Town Close.

UNIVERSITY ED

The Norwich City Ward of University.

SOUTH NORFOLK DISTRICT

CLAVERING ED

The South Norfolk District Wards of Clavering

Marshland

Waveney

and the parishes of

Alburgh

Denton

Ditchingham

Earsham

Thwaite

COSTESSEY ED

The South Norfolk District Wards of New Costessey

Old Costessey

DISS ED

The South Norfolk District Wards of Boyland

Diss Town

and the parishes of

Burston and Shimpling

Shelfanger

Winfarthing

EAST DEPWADE ED

The South Norfolk District Wards of Beck Vale

Depwade

Harleston

and the parishes of

Dickleburgh and Rushall

Wortwell

HENSTEAD ED

The South Norfolk District Wards of Broads

Crown Point

Rosebery

and the parish of

Stoke Holy Cross

HINGHAM ED

The South Norfolk District Wards of Forehoe

Hingham

Kidner

Wodehouse

and the parish of

Little Melton

HUMBLEYARD ED

The South Norfolk District Wards of Cringleford and Colney

Humbleyard

and the parish of

Hethersett

LODDON ED

The South Norfolk District Wards of Abbeyfield

Beauchamp

Brookwood

Chet

and the parishes of

Bedingham

Hedenham

Topcroft

LONG STRATTON ED

The South Norfolk District Wards of Hempnall

Smockmill

Stratton

and the parishes of

Shotesham

Tasburgh

Tharston

WEST DEPWADE ED

The South Norfolk District Wards of Mergate

Springfields

Westwood

and the parishes of

Ashwellthorpe

Gissing

Tibenham

Tivetshall St Margaret

Tivetshall St Mary

WYMONDHAM ED

The South Norfolk District Wards of Abbey

Cromwells

Northfields

Rustens

Town

