

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 31 March 2018 15:07
To: reviews
Subject: Tinsley Lane - Three Bridges Ward - Objection to Proposal

FAO: Boundaries Commission

Dear all

We are very disappointed to learn that an official proposal has been made to move **Tinsley Lane** from Three Bridges Ward to Langley Green Ward.

a) Given the distance of local services, it is quite clear all those in Tinsley Lane have far better access to local facilities in Three Bridges. Logic clearly shows why Tinsley Lane forms part of the Three Bridges Ward in the first place.

b) Are you not already aware of the traffic issues that already concern the routes **between** Three Bridges Ward and Langley Green (let alone the distance) all during rush hour?

c) Is the intention, along with the Council's consideration of an unnecessarily large, burdensome development behind Tinsley Lane, deliberately to target resident members and absolutely continue to ignore concerns? It does appear Tinsley Lane Residents are continually put upon for *something* that quite clearly negatively affects all the residents of the Lane, and we continue to be a target to satisfy unnecessary bureaucracy.

d) Of more concern would clearly be the number of cars parking on Tinsley Lane during the day, blocking residents ability to see incoming traffic. This is going to cause an accident, please consider applying yellow lines long the Lane outside of [REDACTED]. You have granted permission for development of a neighbouring house to a day care centre without connected consideration for traffic and particularly, on street parking completely negatively impacting visibility for Residents. The action has encouraged workers to park on the Lane during the day. This must be, as a health and safety, road safety concern, more of a priority than the arbitrary decision to spend staff's time on something as wholly unnecessary as moving the Lane into Langley Green. Visibility is horribly impacted Monday to Friday, and one day there is going to be an accident unless the Council put down yellow lines where there are none. Can more time please be spent on this, which creates risk to life particularly for the children walking to and from school, and not on aggravating Residents by proposing to force us to travel all the way to Langley Green amenities?

e) In case it was not already made clear. The residents of Tinsley Lane all use Three Bridges amenities, we use everything in Three Bridges that a resident would usually need access too - they are the closest and easiest to access. If this proposal is an attempt to support the businesses of Langley Green, by forcing Lane residents to use the Langley Green amenities, then the Council must declare any conflict of interests among the proposal makers and decision makers. If a conflict is discovered, this will immediately be brought to the attention of the necessary departments.

In this vein, please confirm whether any conflicts have been investigated, and if conflicts need to be declared by the people involved in this proposal and decision making as part of the process.

f) We identify with Three Bridges Ward, not Langley Green, and wish to stay in the Three Bridges Electoral Ward.

g) If the Council insist that Tinsley Lane is moved into the Langley Green Ward, then the flyover must be reconsidered because the traffic from Tinsley Lane and Langley Green is atrocious and it makes us wonder exactly what happened with the position of the flyover, which does nothing to alleviate the traffic generated at Manor Royal.

We hope these points will be taken seriously, having only just been made aware of the Council's proposal to move Tinsley Lane into the Langley Green Ward, and we hope we have made it clear we **strongly oppose** for all the sensible reasons anyone would oppose a Ward change after all these years.

Yours sincerely



Virus-free. www.avg.com