


## Reigate and Banstead District

### Personal Details:

**Name:** James Durrant  
**E-mail:** dlr.durrant@reigate-banstead.gov.uk  
**Postcode:** [REDACTED]  
**Organisation Name:** Reigate and Banstead Borough Council

### Feature Annotations



### Map Features:

Annotation 2: Hooley Ln and Tilehurst Dr tend to associate with the Earlswood community

Annotation 3: Retaining the existing A23 border and not cutting Woodlands Rd or Earlswood Rd reflects community affinities

Annotation 5:

Annotation 8: Including the Gardlands Rd area and the eastern side of the A23 into the Earlswood ward reflects those communities better

Annotation 9: I would not include properties in the St John's area in Earlswood ward as they have a distinct community feel and have greater affinity with the Meadvale community

### Comment text:

All my comments relate to the Earlswood Common Ward. I support the southern boundary being the Salfords and Sidlow Parish Boundary as it meets the expectations and community alignment of residents currently in the EWB4 polling district. To the north, it is hard to understand why Woodlands and Earlswood Rds have been divided. These are two major roads in north Earlswood and form a contiguous community, these should therefore be retained in the ward as a whole. Equally, residents in Hooley Lane and Tilehurst drive send their children to the school in Brambletye Park Rd and use the facilities within Earlswood. Having these areas in the Earlswood ward would reflect their affinity. I would look to include the Garlands Rd and houses to the east of the A23 in the Earlswood ward as whilst they do not consider themselves part of Earlswood per se, they are a distinct community outside of the Redhill town centre and would fit with the transition to Earlswood. Whilst I would include Earlswood Common in the ward, I would not include any of the houses in the St John's community. This area has a different community to Earlswood and aligns itself closer to the Meadvale community. In terms of naming I would recommend retaining the Earlswood and Whitebushes name. Whilst including the common reflects the northern part of Earlswood, it ignores the southern Earlswood community, Royal Earlswood Park and Whitebushes, all of which have a strong community identities. The current ward name is accepted by residents and wouldn't benefit from changing.

### Uploaded Documents:

None Uploaded