

## **Comments on Draft Recommendations of the Boundary Review**

### **General**

I agree the proposal to have 15 Wards with 3 Councillors each

I agree that Communities should not be split wherever possible

Whilst I broadly agree that Wards should be of a similar size, a 2% tolerance is too low and am pleased to note your acceptance of a +8% to -9% to support better community cohesion

Agree that despite their differences Chipstead and Kingswood form a logical Ward. I would prefer it to be named Chipstead, Woodmansterne and Kingswood.

Liberal Democrat proposals are identified on P 11 and P 12 but they have no representation in the area.

### **Specific**

#### **1 Woodmansterne**

- a) Agree that Croydon Lane and Park Road move into Banstead
- b) Do NOT agree the Woodmansterne Lane and the northern part of Chipstead Way should move to Banstead  
The shops at the top of Chipstead Way do form a focal point, as recognised by the Proposal, but for Woodmansterne NOT Banstead. Chipstead Way, Woodmansterne Street, including the Church, part of Woodmansterne Lane and Carshalton Road are part of the community and Parish of Woodmansterne.  
The boundary should move further East on Woodmansterne Lane to Kenneth Road, as those areas to the West do gravitate towards Banstead and were previously part of Banstead.
- c) The Commissioners' proposal would split the local Residents Association and be against the intention not to split Communities

#### **3 Kingswood , Tadworth & Walton**

- a) Not really my area, but cannot believe Kingswood residents North of the railway line would accept not being part of Chipstead and Kingswood  
Seems boundary should be the A217 with the possible exception of Burgh Heath
- b) Agree Lower Kingswood included in Kingswood as proposed, but with M25 as southern boundary

#### **4 Area South of M25**

- a) This is not acceptable as part of Chipstead and Kingswood.  
Although only a few houses, this is not accessible from North of the M25.

#### **5 Hooley**

- a) Hooley has been associated with Chipstead since the time of the Domesday Book, and I am sorry to see the Parish split. However, I do recognise that there is little synergy with Chipstead and agree that it be part of Merstham and Netherne EXCEPT
- b) That the boundary be drawn South and East of the Church, so that it and the two nearby houses remain in the Chipstead Parish

#### **6 Netherne**

- a) Agree that Netherne becomes part of the Merstham Ward
- b) This Ward should be named Merstham, Hooley and Netherne Ward

In General I support RBBC's comments on the proposals